

JULY 31, 1867.

The Star & Sentinel.

Wednesday, July 31, 1867.

No. 1000—Price of the Supreme Court.
HON. HENRY W. WILLIAMS,
OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY.PRINTING PRESS
FOR SALE.

We have a surplus IRON FRANKLIN PRESS—plate 24 x 38 inches—which will be sold low. It is in good order—the "Specie" having been printed on it up to the date of consolidation. It is now offered for sale simply because we have no use for it.

Address
HARPER, MCPHERSON & BUEHLER,
Gettysburg, Pa.

SHARWOOD A SECESSIONIST.

COL. JORDAN, Chairman of the Republican State Committee, has issued an Address, which we regret we cannot publish in full. It is frank, manly and conclusive statement of the issues between the parties; but its chief point is the development it contains respecting Judge SHARWOOD's opinions on Secession.

Judge S. was a Whig member of the State Legislature about 1833, but was early understood to be a Free Trader and a State Rights man. Of course could not long stay at home in the Whig party; and in 1844 he openly pronounced in favor of Polk against Clay. No more natural change ever occurred. Polk was a CALHOUN Democrat, which is another name for a Free Trader, and a Nullifier. SHARWOOD was a Free Trader and a Nullifier of the worst form, and very properly went for the Democratic candidate.

The proof of his being a Nullifier or Secessionist, is fortunately within easy range, and has been produced, and is in his own words.

The controversy between Gen. JACKSON and President, and South Carolina while attempting to nullify an act of Congress to which a majority of its citizens were opposed, is well remembered.

JACKSON took the ground that the law should be enforced, and that the Union should be preserved.

In that controversy, SHARWOOD took ground against JACKSON, and in favor of the claim of CALHOUN, and became known over the State from that day, as a "State Rights" man. Politic clubs were established for the furtherance of political opinions, and Judge SHARWOOD, then a practicing Attorney, was a member of the State Rights Association of Philadelphia, and in April, 1834, was the "Orator of the Day," at one of their meetings, the proceedings of which were printed in "The Examiner and Journal of Political Economy, Devoted to the Advancement of the Cause of State Rights and Free Trade," Vol. I, page 309.

On that occasion he eloquently discussed and endorsed the Kentucky and Virginia resolutions of 1798 and '99, which contain the doctrine that this Union is not a Government with powers of self-maintenance, but a league which any State can break at any moment.

It was summed up the whole matter as follows:

"We come back to our starting-place, and finding nothing in the Constitution establishing any final judge of the enumerated powers, prohibitions, and reserved rights, it must rest upon the admitted principles of general law, in cases of compact between parties having no common superior. Each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for herself, proper and efficient remedies."

Toast: "John C. CALHOUN—The first to throw himself into the breach against Federal usurpation. May he live to see his principles predominant throughout the world."

Toast: "The Patriots, otherwise called Nullifiers of South Carolina—their memories will be cherished when the advocates of the Force Bill are forgotten, or remembered with execration."

Toast: "The State of South Carolina."

Toast: "The State of South Carolina—her principles are cherished, we need not fear usurpation, either in the Legislative, Judicial, or Executive departments of the Government."

John C. CALHOUN was among those invited to this meeting by Judge SHARWOOD and others; but declined in a public manner to sympathize.

Judge SHARWOOD has never re-joined that view. On the other hand it is known he still adheres to them. His Opinion on "Legal Tenders" denying the power of the Government to issue them, is an outgrowth of the view. In Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies." Hence, with Judge BLACK, he desired the power of the Government to coerce the seceding States, or to save the Union against Treasonable Confederacy backed by arms. In his view, the War for the Union was a gigantic crime, the debt an enormity, "Legal Tenders" a swindle, the debt only fit to be repudiated, and Reconstruction on our terms intolerable usurpation.

The election to the Supreme Bench of the State of any person with so unsound and dangerous views, would be an unmixed calamity, and would be hazardous to the prosperity of the State, and the repose of the Nation.

It is announced the Hon. HENRY D. FOWERS, of Greensburg, wants to be the Democratic candidate for the Vice Presidency next year. He tried to be Governor in 1860, but failed very miserably.

It is strange that anybody should wish to run next year on the Democratic ticket. It is not yet certain, that party will think it worth while to "go through the motions" of making nominations.

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

Judge SHARWOOD has never re-joined that view. On the other hand it is known he still adheres to them. His Opinion on "Legal Tenders" denying the power of the Government to issue them, is an outgrowth of the view. In Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies." Hence, with Judge BLACK, he desired the power of the Government to coerce the seceding States, or to save the Union against Treasonable Confederacy backed by arms. In his view, the War for the Union was a gigantic crime, the debt an enormity, "Legal Tenders" a swindle, the debt only fit to be repudiated, and Reconstruction on our terms intolerable usurpation.

The election to the Supreme Bench of the State of any person with so unsound and dangerous views, would be an unmixed calamity, and would be hazardous to the prosperity of the State, and the repose of the Nation.

It is announced the Hon. HENRY D. FOWERS, of Greensburg, wants to be the Democratic candidate for the Vice Presidency next year. He tried to be Governor in 1860, but failed very miserably.

It is strange that anybody should wish to run next year on the Democratic ticket. It is not yet certain, that party will think it worth while to "go through the motions" of making nominations.

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

Judge SHARWOOD has never re-joined that view. On the other hand it is known he still adheres to them. His Opinion on "Legal Tenders" denying the power of the Government to issue them, is an outgrowth of the view. In Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were not fit to pay debts of any kind.

The Indiana Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD's opinion, a nullifying or seceding State could not rightfully be coerced, as he claims that "each State has the right to judge for itself of the infractions of the compact, and to choose for itself the most proper and efficient remedies."

The Indians Democrats recently resolved that greenbacks, if good for soldiers' pay, should be good for paying interest bearing bonds and all other debts.

Judge SHARWOOD decided that they were not "legal tenders," and were