| CAUCUS CALLED |  |  | Pennsylvania RAILROAT. |  condensed time table |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Travelers, Gulde. TIME TABLE N coudersport \& oort allegany r. b dire eleet June 1sth. 1 sge: |  |  |  |
| ON SENATORSHIP |  |  | RAILROAD. <br> philadelphia and erie rail boad |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENT BLUFF CALLED. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Party Mien Declare That to Ignore the Custom of Years nind Bolt the Caucus Means Political Suicide For Young Men |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of the ineurgents of Pennsylvania has <br> just been called by friends of Col. M G. Ouay. short time ago it was an <br> f. Quay. A short Ime ago insis |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the Republican members elect of the legislature, as they knew that such a |  |  |  |  |  |
| caucus would show that Col. Quay had |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States sanate. Following out their usual custom of claiming every |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| have "Quay licked," but they never can members of the senate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1720 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cift } \\ & \text { cife } \\ & \text { ciede } \end{aligned}$ | lars will be given dates at my rooms in this mupils. Out of town res |
| publican members of the respective cordance with eustom and deciledet that |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the e fepubicican members of the general zasembly shal be called in caucus onJan. 1 next at $8 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in the hall or. the house of representatives at Harrisburg, to agree upon a candidate to besupported by the Republican members of the legislature for United States senator. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ator. <br> This action, following so quickly be taken as a challenge from the regulars and indicating that they are entirely satisfied with their position, and the election of Col. Quay to succeed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| himself. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | O. |
| $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s} 0}^{\mathrm{man}}$ on that occasion. former national chairman of the vic-tory which is due nim that already |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| caucus on the senatorship the fight willbe over and the so-called insurgenfmovement will go to pieces. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| There that been considerable diserus- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ienpion innst matter of the party caul-cas and submititing to the will of tho majority. An interesting decelaration onthis s issue comes from Livy $S$ Rithards. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| editor of the Scranton Tribune. AS TO THE CAUCUS. |  |  |  |  |  |
| "A general discussion of the natureand binding force of a party caucus and binding force of a party caucus,particularly of a caucus of Republican |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M. Oscoind |  |  |  |
| members of the legislature to select a nominee for United States senator " <br> nominee for United States senator," says Mr. Richards, "is proceeding in |  |  |  |  |  |
| the pross of the state with opinionsvarying in reatection of ef ery hu orent factional prefudice. Let us, thereforeexamine this interesting and timely |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| subject buacinilily. with, may be set down as generally tonceded that political |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , |  |  |
| be no linit to the number of these |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Wholesame as a means of expressing different shades of public oplinion. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| different shades of public opinion <br> But where sharp differences of opin |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Suive |  |  |
| adopted, it is plain that methods of reaching $a$ conclusion must be taken and these, by custom, are clearly de |  |  |  |  |  |
| fined. If the difference is over the choice for nominee for an elective of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| fice the party primary is utilized and the choce of the largest number is |  |  | cein |  |  |
| legally entitled to have his name ap-pear on the official ballot as the regular party nominee. The law does not |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Where the office to be filled is elective by a legislature, as in the case of |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the United States senatorship, the law is entirely silent as to preliminary methods of arriving at a concurrence |  |  | es and |  | sonable |
| of party support, but long established custom has ordained the party caucus |  |  | ovisions, |  |  |
| of a party to choose from among thenominees placed before it one name |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to be presented as the choice of the whole party. This has been found |  |  |  |  |  |
| by doing away with prolonged ballot-ing in joint legislative session. It corresponds in principle to the party pri-mary or the nominating convention, and is a recognition of the right of the majority in a given party to de-termine the policy and select the candidates of that party. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

