

AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF A RELIEF NOTE.

I was born in May, of 1841. My father is William F. Johnson, of Armstrong county. I have passed through many eventful scenes. I have experienced many troubles. I have submitted to many insults. When I came into this breathing world, I was greeted by the maledictions of the people. As I passed on in life, I received many more kicks than coppers. And now, at this, my last moment of existence, curses are ringing in my ears, and thousands are hoping to see me return to my original rags.

Facts have recently been developed, that prove beyond question, that a scheme for the formation of a Northern party on the proviso platform, and by a union of the same elements of opposition to the Democratic party that were formerly combined at Buffalo under Van Buren and Adams, was in agitation here in this city, long before the Baltimore Convention met, and long before any of the pretenses for the Van Buren secession could have arisen. We refer to facts recently, and for the first time, disclosed by Mr. Sumner, a leader in the Van Buren and Adams scheme of disunion, in a speech at Faneuil Hall.

THE WEEKLY OBSERVER. SATURDAY MORNING, OCTOBER 7, 1848. Democratic Nominations. FOR PRESIDENT, GEN. LEWIS CASS, OF MICHIGAN. FOR VICE PRESIDENT, Gen. Wm. O. Butler, OF KENTUCKY. FOR GOVERNOR, Morris Longstreth, OF MONTGOMERY. FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER, Israel Painter, OF Westmoreland. FOR CONGRESS, James Thompson, OF Erie.

The Gazette of Thursday is filled to overflowing with falsehood and misrepresentation, the usual resort of desperate and bankrupt politicians. Knowing the prevalent position of Judge Thompson upon the slavery question, a position we have fortified with proof, strong as holy writ, derived from his votes, acts and letters—the editor writes, let it be remembered, when no political agitation of the question existed—that paper seals by such a disreputable course to mislead the public, and accomplish what it cannot by fair and honest discussion.

How long is it, then, since the Gazette—the same Gazette which now professes such a holy horror at the extension of slavery—was willing, yea, actually so willing, to accept the Missouri Compromise, that it thought that way of settling the question, would "BE THE BEST THING COULD BE DONE UNDER EXISTING CIRCUMSTANCES" No longer ago, brethren of Erie county, than the 29th of July, 1848: In speaking of the adoption of Mr. Clayton's proposition to submit the whole question to a select committee of the Senate, equally divided between the North and the South, that paper uses the following language:

"The opinion has been expressed that they will recommend the extension of the Missouri Compromise across the Continent. This we think would be unadvisable to the North, though perhaps, it is the best thing could be done under existing circumstances." Here we have this paper professing itself willing to accept the Missouri compromise as a basis of settling the question of slavery in our territories, and declining it "to be the best thing that could be done under existing circumstances." Now there are no reasons in existence at the present moment why this compromise would not be "the best thing that could be done," that did not exist then; the inference is therefore, that the Missouri Compromise is in favor of extending slavery over all territory South of 36 degrees, 30 minutes.

Recollect, fellow-citizens that the Erie Gazette, the paper that has charged Judge Thompson with favoring the extension of slavery over our territories, knowing such charge to be false, thinks that to surrender one hundred thousand square miles of territory to the slave power, "is the best thing that could be done under existing circumstances." What circumstances? The election of Gen. Taylor? the success of the whig party? the defeat of Gen. Cass?—the election of James Campbell? All these claims, and those are its circumstances! The convention that nominated Gen. Taylor kicked the Wilmot proviso with scorn from its midst—did not even treat it as a matter with the respect of listening to him, but under the eye, and by the decision of its President, himself a slave-holder, declared him out of order! And yet this paper claims for itself and party, exclusive opposition to the extension of slavery! We do not expect the "free soil" party to vote for Judge Thompson—we scarcely desire it—but we do say that if they vote for James Campbell, a supporter, as he is, of Gen. Taylor, advocated as he is, by the Erie Gazette, they will show but a poor adherence to the principles they advocate, and render themselves the by-word and scorn of every honest man.

Next Tuesday will tell how much they care for principle! GEORGIA ELECTION.—We have a few scattering returns from Georgia—not enough, it is true, to certainly indicate the result, but sufficient to show that, even in that state, confidently claimed by the Zacharites, Taylorism don't pay expenses. At the October election in 1844, the whigs carried the state, but at the November election Polk and Dallas received 2447 majority. Last fall the Democrats elected their Governor by about 1200 majority. What returns we have received show a democratic gain over that vote, and consequently a corresponding whig loss. The state is sure for Cass and Butler in November. For returns see Telegraphic head.

When men are bent on misrepresentation and falsehood it is utterly useless, so far as they, individually, are concerned, to endeavor to set them right; and for this reason we think it one of the most beautiful attributes of the human mind which sympathizes with the oppressed, and prompts the disinterested of all parties and sects to array themselves on the side of the injured. Were this not so, the boasted superiority of that mind would be a false, and intellectual man far below the brute in magnanimity. We shall not, therefore, in what we are going to say in regard to Judge Thompson's course on the question of extending slavery over the territories recently acquired from Mexico, attempt to convince the federal press of this place that they are doing that gentleman gross injustice—that they are falsifying the records of Congress, and branding themselves with a black mark of falsehood before the world—but with a brief statement of facts, show the people that these gentlemen are doing so, and then, relying on the integrity and impartiality of that tribunal—a tribunal which has never yet pronounced judgment against us—rest our case until Tuesday next.

We are told by the Gazette, the Commercial and the Chronicle—we have heard it proclaimed from the stump—that upon the question of extending slavery over our territories Judge Thompson ought not to be treated, but that in the person of James Campbell, the Whig candidate for Congress, the opponents of such extension would find a reliable champion. Now, so far as Judge Thompson is concerned, we have heretofore shown this position utterly false, without the shadow of a shadow of truth to stand upon. Still these men persist in the falsehood!

We have shown that Judge Thompson, on the 6th of August, 1846, offered the proviso to the Oregon bill, the only territory then possessed by the Union to which it was applicable. We have shown that he voted for it in 1847, and in 1848, when it finally passed and became a law. Still these men persist in the falsehood!

We have shown that he voted for the proviso to the two, and afterwards to the three million bill at each time those bills were before the House. In this instance the proviso was not applicable to any territory then in our possession, but in the language of Mr. Wilmot, was a mere "legislative expression" against extending slavery over territory we might afterwards acquire. It was well known that a treaty acquiring territory containing such a clause could never pass the Senate, hence it was not contended even by its mover, as before said, to be anything more than a "legislative expression." Therefore, when the three million bill, with this mere "legislative expression" stricken out, passed the Senate a few hours before the final adjournment of Congress, the house that had adopted the proviso concurred in the bill to prevent its being defeated by the whigs speaking against time as they had done in 1846. He voted in 1846 against the Clayton Compromise bill, and afterwards against the Missouri Compromise bill, because they might be the means of introducing slavery into New Mexico and California; thus showing, that although in order to secure the passage of the three million bill he had voted to concur with the Senate, he had surrendered nothing in his opposition to the extension of slavery! Still these men persist in the falsehood!

We have shown that he wrote Mr. Buchanan in September 1847, declaring his hostility to the extension of slavery over our territories in any shape. Still the falsehood is reiterated and persisted in!

Another "Fizzle."—The Zacharite club of this city had another "fizzle" on Monday evening. It was their regular meeting night, and the hall was rung enough to crack if it had not been extraordinary good metal. No one came, however, but the officers and orators, and the bottled up wrath of the latter, like a flask of ginger-plum, had to be wired down for another occasion. Alas! for Zacharite enthusiasm—in the language of Greely, it don't begin to pay expenses!

We scarcely pick up a Federal paper that we do not find a slur upon Gen. Cass' military services. Their orators, also, indulge in the same species of meanness, while in private conversation, the "bull-whees" of the party boldly charge him with being a party to the disgraceful surrender of Hull at Detroit. Not only is this policy pursued by the "small fry" politicians, but men of character have been induced to try their hand at it—Gen. Leslie Combs, it will be remembered, represented him as everything, almost, but a brave man; but it was left for Hon. (?) Thomas Butler King, of Georgia, to do to the lowest depth of political slander. That individual, recently, in a speech at Patterson, New Jersey, made the following statement:

"General Cass is the hero of Hull's surrender. Ordered away by Gen. Hull, before the attack upon Detroit by the British, Gen. Cass received no intelligence of the disaster till he was summoned by a single British officer, (fourteen miles from Detroit) to surrender. With two or three regiments of militia, Gen. Cass surrendered to a single British officer, fourteen miles from any other enemy. If Gen. Hull committed treason, is not Gen. Cass a traitor? Yes! he is an ass and a rascal! He should have been tried and hung; he should have been tried and shot at that very time!"

This base charge brought out General Jessup, who refuted the slander in the following emphatic and conclusive letter:

WASHINGTON CITY, Sept. 25, 1848. Sir: I have received your letter, dated the 23d inst., calling my attention to certain charges said to have been made by the Hon. Thomas Butler King against Gen. Cass, in a speech lately delivered by him at Patterson, New Jersey, in the following words, viz: "Gen. Cass is the hero of Hull's surrender. Ordered away by Gen. Hull before the attack upon Detroit by the British, General Cass received no intelligence of the disaster till he was summoned by a single British officer, fourteen miles from Detroit, to surrender. With two or three regiments of militia, General Cass surrendered to a single British officer, fourteen miles from any other enemy." In compliance with your request, I should give such information as I possess in regard to the situation of the detachment under the command of Gen. Cass at the time of Hull's surrender, and the position of Gen. Cass in relation to it, and whether the General was in any way guilty of anything unbecomingly brave and gallant officer. I have the honor to state, in reply, that the charges made by Mr. King relate to the surrender of a detachment under the command of Gen. Hull, on the 17th of August, 1812, to meet a convoy of provisions, under the command of Captain Henry Brush, of Ohio, supposed then to be on the route from the River Raisin to the army at Detroit.

As the acting adjutant-general of the army, I detailed that detachment, and by the order of Gen. Hull, placed Col. McArthur, (not Col. Cass) in command of it. The General directed that the detachment should consist of five hundred men from Col. McArthur's, and the same number from Col. Cass's regiments. The colors of the detachment were directed to accompany it. Before the detachment marched, a number of volunteers joined it from both regiments, so that the aggregate force when it left the camp was about five hundred men. Col. Cass was not present at the time of the surrender, and the colors were not taken to the camp. When I understood that he desired to go, I objected to two colonels going with so small a detachment; but the service was considered by us all as extremely perilous. Col. Cass claimed a right to share the dangers with his men, and he was permitted by the General, not ordered, to accompany them.

On the 16th of August, Gen. Hull surrendered the fort and army, by capitulation, to the British forces under the command of Major General Brock, and included Colonel McArthur's detachment in the capitulation. The colors had been ordered by express to return to Detroit, and he was within three or four miles of the fort when he received intelligence of the surrender. He fell back about three miles to the river Huron, where he received the articles of capitulation with an order from Gen. Hull to surrender. The colors were, I believe, bound in good faith to surrender; but whether he was or not, he was compelled to submit, for he had not a day's subsistence, nor a dozen rounds of ammunition for his company. He was a gallant soldier, and as a soldier, he was to be commended; but he did not do that which was possible under the circumstances; but whether the surrender of the detachment was right or wrong, he alone was responsible for it. Colonel (now General) Cass had no more to do with it than the honorable gentleman who makes the charges against him.

All the circumstances connected with the appropriation to the Kittinging Feeder, under the act of May, 1841: 24. All the circumstances connected with the appropriation to the Kittinging Feeder, under the act of May, 1841: 24. All the circumstances connected with the appropriation to the Kittinging Feeder, under the act of May, 1841: 24. All the circumstances connected with the appropriation to the Kittinging Feeder, under the act of May, 1841: 24.

The Constitution of the Club having been transmitted in a book, these block committees should be sent to another, around through their respective block committees, to obtain the signature of every friend of the cause, its candidates, to it. Men who are, indeed, as the District referred to, if they do not republish it in a way which will long be remembered.—Gazette.

Now in what an awkward position is the Editor of the Gazette placed—denouncing one of the very gentlemen of his own State central committee, and then pronouncing it an "insult to free voters," and protesting against the whig party, many of whom are his political friends, every week to the ridicule of community through the publication of his official letter.

THE WARREN COUNTY STANDARD is ahead of the Democratic papers in the Union in charging Gen. Taylor's secession—usually the first and loudest in its business—has not ventured to make the ascription to Gen. Taylor's secession.

Now does not this come with a good grace from the Editor of the Gazette? He is a member of respectable and highly esteemed Christian denomination, and professes to live up to that commandment which declares, "Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor." We have never pronounced any of the letters of Gen. Taylor forgeries, white on the contrary this part of hypocrisy has, on several occasions. He pronounced to General's letter, first published in the Charleston News, in which he says he would have accepted a Democratic nomination, a forgery. That has since been proved genuine. He pronounced the Lippard letter a forgery. That, too, has been proved genuine. We think, then, our Warren county friend is mistaken, for we see the Erie Gazette—usually first and loudest in its business—has not ventured to make the ascription to Gen. Taylor's secession!

"INTERFERENCE OF OFFICER HOLLERS."—The Gazette has a good deal to say about the "interference of officer holders" in the election, and trusts that in the event of Gen. Taylor's election, "this crying abuse will be reformed by the speedy dismissal of all who attempt to practice it." "This is an old complaint of the whigs and one which they have never yet attempted to reform. We recollect in 1841, that the whig party, and its deputy, the whig post-master and his deputy, were very efficient in complaining for Clay, yet he has never complained that, however, as it may, it cannot have kept that one Van F. Johnston, the present acting Governor of this State, is none on the stump for himself—thinks his Attorney General, James Cooper, is on the stump for himself—that his own in this country, Matthew Taylor, Esq., is on the stump for himself—that Mr. Campbell, Esq., sheriff of the county, is on the stump for himself generally, and himself in particular, and that you can't lay your finger on a whig officer holder in the last that is not doing so. "Interference of officer holders," fozs! The Gazette had better try again!