



FOR TONING PHOTOGRAPHIC PAPERS, SUCH AS SOLIO, REX AND ALBUMA, USE OUR UNIVERSAL Combined Toning and Fixing Bath.

This solution keeps indefinitely and can be used several times until its strength is all exhausted. It gives a variety of beautiful tones, from light sepia to deep, rich brown and purple tones. It is rich in gold and works quickly. Price, 8 oz. bottles, 20c.; 16 oz. bottles, 35c.

J. E. ROYS, JEWELER, And dealer in Photographic Supplies, BLOOMSBURG, PA.

THE COLUMBIAN. BLOOMSBURG, PA.

J. S. Williams & Son, BLOOMSBURG PA. Public Sale Criers and General Auctioneers.

SALE REGISTER. SATURDAY, MARCH 30, 1901.—Otto Wolf, executor of the estate of Catherine Coleman, late of Mt. Pleasant Twp., deceased, will sell, at public sale, on the premises, a tract of land, containing one-half of an acre, on which there is a frame dwelling house, barn and other out-buildings. Sale to commence at 10 o'clock a. m.

HOLY WEEK. A week from next Sunday, April 7th is Easter. The week preceding is Holy Week. The services at St. Paul's Episcopal Church will be as follows: Monday and Tuesday, April 1 and 2. Morning service, 9:30. Evening prayer, 4:30. Wednesday, April 3. Morning service, 9:30. Evening service, 7:30. Maundy—Thursday, April 4. Morning prayer and Holy Communion, 9:30. Evening prayer, 4:30. GOOD FRIDAY, APRIL 5. Morning service, 10:30. Service and meditations, 12:00 to 3:00. Evening service, 7:30. EASTER EVENING, APRIL 6. Morning service, 9:30. Evening prayer, Holy Baptism, 4:30. EASTER DAY, APRIL 7. Holy Communion, 6:00. Morning prayer, 6:45. Holy Communion, 10:30. Evening service, 7:30.

The music on Easter will be rendered by the surpliced choir of twenty voices. A full choral service will be rendered at 6:45 in the morning, as has been the custom for many years. The choir has been rehearsing for several weeks, and the service will be an attractive one. The public is invited to all these services.

TO CURE A COLD IN ONE DAY. Take Lagavite Bromo Quinine Tablets. All druggists refund the money if it fails to cure. E. W. Grove's signature is on each box.

A Surprise Party. Milton L. Kocher expects to leave for Chicago, Illinois, to attend the Illinois Medical College on or about April 4th. So on Friday evening March 22 of last week his friends gave him a very pleasant surprise. The evening was passed by plays of all kinds, besides music and games of different descriptions were in progress. The refreshments were ice cream and cake after which they all returned to their homes.

Among those present were Estella Miller, Susie Stahl, Besse Hess, Virgil Bittenbender, Della Wertman, Martha Hughes, Clara Davis, Eva M. Davis, Dortha Kiefer, Bessie Bittenbender, Pearl Bower, Lovilla M. Davis, Blanche C. Bower, two Miss Shaffers, two Miss Dennis, four Miss Kochers, Charles Fedder, Harry Keifer, George Ruckle, Cleve Kocher, Harrison Stahl, Eliza Keichner, Bruce Hess, Delmar Sharrrets, Hurl Davis, Sam Davis, Pierce Hagenbuch and Bruce Creasy. A GUEST.

COURT HOUSE NEWS.

MARRIAGE LICENSES. The following marriage licenses have been issued recently by Clerk Henrie: Mr. O. E. Smith, of Wilkes-Barre, and Miss Emily Lamon, of Briarcreek township. Mr. Alfred Miller, of Beaver township and Miss Maggie Harder, of Catawissa. Mr. Frederick Wagner, of Greenwood township, and Miss Adorah E. Rundell, of Platteville, Wisconsin. Mr. Albert J. Naus and Miss Carrie Vandine, both of Berwick. Mr. Nathan Lutz, of Berwick, and Miss Cora Hutton, of Mountain Grove. Mr. Charles F. Hess, of Briarcreek, and Miss Ladorphia Betterly, of same place.

SHERIFF'S SALES. Sheriff Knorr sold the following properties at the Court House on Saturday last: Two properties of J. N. Webb in Bloomsburg, to State Capital Savings and Loan Association for \$20. each. Property of J. N. Webb, on Fifth Street, to F. P. Masters for \$41.25. Property of John Moore in Sugarloaf, to Daniel H. Steadman, for \$60.00.

On Tuesday. Property of W. S. Fleckenstine in Bloomsburg, to Simon Reichart for \$100. The Kostenbauder sale was adjourned to Saturday, March 30th, at 10 a. m.

REAL ESTATE TRANSFERS. The following deeds were recorded during the month of March:

Sarah L. Boody et al. to H. D. Quick, land in Montour township. Jno. in Sugarloaf to Ellen E. Harvey, land in Sugarloaf. Samuel E. Smith to Silas E. Lynn, land in Briarcreek township. S. E. Lynn to Maggie G. Oliver, land in Berwick. Maggie G. Oliver to H. M. Evans, land in Berwick. Joshua Fetterman, Adm'r, to Samuel Knecht, land in Millin township. Wm. Mensch et al. to J. H. Mensch, land in Montour township. Chas. Gearhart, trustee, to Knecht, land in Millin township. W. Mosteller and wife to Geo. Mosteller, land in Madison. H. Wanick and wife to H. Doan, land in Berwick. T. H. Doan and wife to Henry Wanick, land in Berwick. Sarah Mensch et al. to Jere Mensch, land in Reisterstown. Iram D. Lewis et al. to A. J. Derr et al., land in Greenwood township. Thos. Seaborn's Adm'r to Robert M. Watkins. R. Watkins and wife to Henry Yeager, land in Lecest township. W. P. Milliken et al., ex'rs, to J. R. Schuyler, land in Bloomsburg. Wm. S. Utt et al., ex'rs, to Daisie A. Utt, land in Greenwood township. Daisie A. Utt to W. S. Utt, land in Greenwood township. Emma B. Michael to Sarah A. Durling, land in Briarcreek township. Amos Wanick and wife to Elmer K. Creveling, land in Mt. Pleasant township. E. K. Creveling and wife to Jennie Wanick, land in Mt. Pleasant township. C. R. Woodin et al. to L. Weaver et al., land in Berwick. Rebecca F. Harman to J. Lee Harman, land in Bloomsburg. C. A. Dent to Daniel Wanick, land in Hemlock township. Ella D. Weaver et al. to Lizzie C. Heacock, land in Benton. W. C. Hartman and wife to Minnie Rhawn, land in Catawissa. Moses Hower and wife to L. H. Fahringer, land in Catawissa. Peter Boone to Jno. H. Newman, land in Centre township. John Bauman and wife to John A. Shuman, land in Mainville. Margaret Vanhouten to Ellen Nungesser, land in Berwick. Ellen Nungesser et al. to James J. Nungesser, land in Berwick. Duval Dickson and wife to E. H. Kester, land in Briarcreek township. Sarah A. Dakin to Anna B. Houck. Citizens Land Ass'n to Annie E. Minier, land in Bloomsburg. Duval Dickson and wife to Josiah Naugle, land in Briarcreek township. D. Dickson and wife to Susan Ash, land in Briarcreek township. J. T. Moecker et al. to Penna. Mining and Copper Co., land in Sugarloaf township. Ellis Reese and wife to H. L. Thomas, land in Hemlock township. Freas Fowler and wife to Jacob Smith, land in Briarcreek township. Jacob Ludwig to Jacob Panebecker, land in Millin township. Samuel Smoyer and wife to J. Panebecker, land in Millin township. J. Panebecker and wife to Sarah Steely, land in Millin township. O. F. Ferris and wife to Helen M. Holloway, land in Berwick. O. F. Ferris and wife to Charles M. Holloway, land in Berwick. Fietta Gable to Margaret Hons, land in Cleveland township.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

YE PARK. Lo! The Conquering Hero Comes. Return of the Season's First and Most Substantial Successes, "The Dairy Farm"

Every theatre-goer in Philadelphia will be delighted to learn of the early return of James H. Wallack's elaborate scenic production of "The Dairy Farm," with all the original company scenery and effects, exactly as that attraction was presented at the Chestnut Street Opera House earlier in the season. Manager Nirdlinger will inaugurate the Spring Season at Ye Park theatre on Easter Monday, April 8th, with Eleanor Merron, in the charming rural play, "The Dairy Farm," which was one of the greatest successes of last season, and comes with the prestige of runs of 100 nights in New York and 133 in Chicago. No play has received a greater degree of approval from the best class of theatre goers; not only have the critics praised it, but it has won the endorsement of the clergy in every city where it has been presented, for its healthy moral, its purity of theme, its strength of characterization and the fidelity with which it depicts episodes of pastoral life. The scene is laid in a little village in Northern New York in the decade preceding the Civil War, and the work has been pronounced a perfect picture of the period, a time remote enough to be picturesque, yet recent enough to be remembered with the charm of distance idealizing it, by many playgoers of to-day. The story is extremely fascinating and of great dramatic power. The basis of the plot is the hate of a miserly old man for his neighbor, a country squire of the old school; the mutual love of the niece of one and the nephew of the other, and the tragic revenge of a runaway slave who has been trapped and sold back into bondage in the South by the miser. The slavery question, agitating the country during the campaign that resulted in the election of Franklin Pierce to the Presidency, plays a prominent part in the development of the story, and an old-time political rally, in which the abolitionists and proslavery men are arrayed against each other, forms one of the most thrilling episodes. The costumes of the period are faithfully reproduced, and an entirely new outfit of beautiful and picturesque scenery has been provided for the engagement, which is for two weeks only. Seats are now on sale. 3-28

Bargains. A good five octave melleodeon, Pieno style, Rosewood case, in excellent condition, for \$20. A liberal discount for cash at Thomas' music store. At the same place a good five-octave organ, walnut case, in good condition, for \$18.00, worth double the amount. Thomas' music store, Main St. Bloomsburg, Pa. 3-28-1f

Selection of Jurors.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

Change in the System Made by a New Law. District Attorneys throughout the State are being notified by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of important changes in the Jury system in accordance with the provisions of the new jury law recently adopted. The new law is known as the McCarrall law and makes radical changes in the selection of juries in the trial of misdemeanors and felonies as well as oyer and terminer cases. Under the old system twenty jurors were drawn in misdemeanors and felonies and the Commonwealth and defense were each entitled to challenge four jurors and select four from the eight extra jurors if they so desired. Under the new law twenty-four instead of twenty jurors are drawn and each side has the privilege to challenge six jurors. In the trial of cases classed as felonies under the old law the same number of jurors (twenty), were drawn as in the trial of misdemeanors. Under the new law twenty-eight jurors must be drawn and the Commonwealth and the defense each has the right to challenge eight jurors. There was really no cause or no demand for a change in the system of drawing jurors in misdemeanors and felonies, and no one in the past has complained of having suffered any injustice under the system. There will be little if any benefit in the increased number of jurors to be drawn hereafter, and if any benefit does exist it will be more than offset in the additional time and delay in the selection of juries. In the trial cases known as oyer and terminer cases—murder, burglary, manslaughter, arson, rape, etc.—the new law wipes out the right of the district attorney to stand aside jurors and increases the number of challenges of the commonwealth from four to twenty and the challenges of the defense from twelve to twenty. The district attorney is also deprived of the right to stand aside an entire panel when he may have good reasons to believe that jurors in the panel have been seen, or otherwise tampered with. Under the new system if a juror in a homicide case qualifies under oath the district attorney must exhaust one of his challenges if he desires to keep him off the jury. It often happens that a juror in a homicide case answers all questions satisfactorily, but at the same time the district attorney is satisfied that the man is biased or fixed, and could exercise his right to stand him aside. Under the new law this power is wiped out.

I. W. Hartman & Son. I. W. Hartman & Son. I. W. Hartman & Son.

Hartmans OUR Odds and Ends Sale. ONE WEEK. (Copyrighted) Monday April 1st to Saturday Night April 6th. ONE WEEK.

Our New Store will Soon be Ready That's why these Odds and Ends And Remnants Must Go.

We can better afford to sell without profit, we can better afford to sell at a loss than to allow Odds and Ends on our new shelves and tables in our new store. We'll sell these goods if price will do it. These prices speak the force of lowliness, plainly show their worthiness.

WINDOW SHADES. Felt window shades, Odds and Ends Sale, 9c. Oil cloth window shades, were 29c., Odds and Ends Sale, 21c. Oil cloth window shades, were 69c., Odds and Ends Sale, 24c. Oil cloth window shades, were 75c., Odds and Ends Sale, 59c.

CORSETS. 3 doz. corsets, sizes 18, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 36. Were 50 to 75c. Odds and Ends Sale, 39c.

FLANNELETTE. Printed flannelette, Alteration Sale were 6 1/2 yd. Odds and Ends Sale, 5c. a yd.

WRAPPERS. Odds and Ends of wrappers, were 98c. Odds and Ends Sale, 74c.

BLANKETS. A few pairs of blankets, were \$6.50. Odds and Ends Sale, \$5.45. A few pairs of blankets, were \$4.50. Odds and Ends Sale, \$3.40. A few pairs of blankets, were \$3.75. Odds and Ends Sale, \$2.50.

MUSLIN UNDERWEAR. Slightly soiled during alterations. \$3.00 } Night Dresses, 2.50 } Odds and Ends Sale 2.00 } \$1.59. \$1.19 } Night Dresses, .98 } Odds and Ends Sale .89 } 74c.

\$1.25 } Drawers, 1.00 } Odds and Ends Sale .75 } 59c.

BLACK SATEEN SKIRTS. Dirty from Alterations. Were \$1.00, Odds and Ends Sale, 79c.

DRESS GOODS. Lot of dress goods, were 15c. to 25c. a yd. Odds and Ends Sale, 10c. yd.

CHILDREN'S KNIT WAISTS. 5 doz. children's knit waists, were 25c. Odds and Ends Sale, 19c.

LADIES' AND CHILDREN'S GOSSAMERS. Were up to \$3.00—not new. Odds and Ends Sale, 25c.

I. W. Hartman & Son, Bloomsburg, Pa.

The Harrison Estate. INDIANAPOLIS, March 22.—Ex-President Harrison left \$40,000 in life insurance. This fact was announced last night by the president of the Union Trust company, which is executor of General Harrison's will. He said: "General Harrison had four policies of \$10,000 each in force. The box containing the policies has not been opened yet. Our appraisal of the Harrison estate gives its total value at \$880,000. This includes all real estate, railroad bonds, stock in the Union Trust company, the law building here and other securities."

A Million For the Naval Arch. NEW YORK, March 23.—The city will be asked to appropriate \$1,000,000 for the naval arch to be erected in Battery park. This statement is made by Park Benjamin, chairman of the naval arch committee. Until now the committee has been unable to state definitely what the cost will be. Mr. Benjamin says \$1,000,000 will cover every expense in connection with building the arch and the beautifying of the park surroundings.

Farmer Robbed and Beaten. ELMIRA, N. Y., March 26.—The barn of Henry Wright, a farmer residing three miles from Addison, was discovered to be on fire at 7 o'clock last night. He went out to extinguish the flames, when three men set upon him, beat him into unconsciousness and robbed him of \$300. He is probably fatally injured.

The Portraits of Our Presidents With Biographical Sketches BY General Charles H. Grosvenor.

This page designed by Tiffany. The inaugural year, when the public mind is aroused over Presidential questions, is a fitting time to issue General Grosvenor's book. Its sale is already tremendous, and will perhaps exceed that of General Grant's Personal Memoirs. Every Patriotic American desires to read what General Grosvenor has to say of George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, Andrew Jackson, Abraham Lincoln, President McKinley and the other Chief Executives of the Nation. Everybody desires to read what General Grosvenor, the staunch old Republican leader in Congress, will say of that staunch old Democrat, Andrew Jackson, the father of the "two party" system. The biography of Lincoln is as beautiful as a sunrise over the hills. General Grosvenor has personally known all the Presidents since the time of James Buchanan. The General's book will therefore contain history which has never before been published, written from his own personal observation of these great men. General Grosvenor has served in Congress for nearly twenty years, and he has served his country in war and in Congress for nearly forty years. The book contains twenty-four large photographic Etchings as fine as Steel Plates, printed by hand, on heavy plate paper made especially to order. These 24 Photographic Etchings are in different colors, and are well worth \$2 to each. These Portraits are made from the paintings endorsed by the family and near relatives of the Presidents. The Etchings are in large open type in two colors; the work is so beautiful that when people see it they want it. The advance sale is very large—President McKinley was the first subscriber. There is one edition known as The Presidential Edition de Grand Luxe, initial letters hand-painted, portraits hand-colored, title page hand-illustrated, registered and numbered; subscription price, \$20. Orders and applications for territory are coming in rapidly. A high class man or woman of good social standing can also make a small fortune taking orders in this community. Send references and apply for territory as the territory will be assigned soon. Address THE CONTINENTAL PRESS, Corcoran Building, Opposite U. S. Treasury, WASHINGTON, D. C. 3-28

Brave Young Man. "I must speak to your father about our engagement to-night," said he, boldly. "But papa's in Philadelphia, George," she answered. "All the more reason why I should speak to him to-night. I'll use the telephone."—Yonkers Statesman.

SHERIFF'S SALE. By virtue of a writ of F. Pa., issued out of the Court of Common Pleas of Columbia County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, there will be exposed to public sale, at the Court House in Bloomsburg, County and State aforesaid, on SATURDAY, APRIL 20th, 1901, at 2 o'clock p. m., all the right, title and interest of Sadie J. Jones and Moore C. Jones in that certain lot of ground, situate in the Town of Bloomsburg, County of Columbia, and State of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows: On the east by Evangelical Parsonage lot; on the south by lot of Mrs. George Haasert; on the west by vacant lots; on the north by Fourth Street, the same being known as lot No. 52 of the Neal addition, or Town lot No. 418, and being forty and one-half feet front on Fourth Street, and one hundred and seven feet in depth whereon is erected a two-story

FRAME DWELLING HOUSE and out buildings. Seized, taken in execution, at the suit of William B. Cook's use vs. Sadie J. Jones and Moore C. Jones, and to be sold as the property of Sadie J. Jones and Moore C. Jones. DANIEL KNORR, Sheriff. CLYDE C. YETTER, AU. J.

EXECUTOR'S SALE —OF VALUABLE— REAL ESTATE. Pursuant to the power contained in the will of Catherine Coleman, the undersigned executor of the estate of Catherine Coleman, late of Mt. Pleasant Twp., in the County of Columbia and State of Pennsylvania, deceased, will expose to public sale, on the premises of said deceased, in said Mt. Pleasant Twp., on SATURDAY, MARCH 30, 1901, at ten o'clock in the forenoon of said day, the following described real estate to wit: All that certain message, tenement and tract of land, situate in said Mt. Pleasant Twp., County and State afores