

The Columbian.

BLOOMSBURG, PA., FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1884.

Correct Railroad Time Table.

Table with columns for routes (e.g., Philadelphia & Reading, Harrisburg & York) and times for various stations.

Our Offers for 1884.

Front, Edge and Form is a monthly journal published at Albany, N. Y. It is the most interesting and useful...

The American Farmer and the COLUMBIAN for \$1.00 a year. We have perfected arrangements with the publishers...

We are also prepared to furnish South and West with the COLUMBIAN for \$1.00. South and West is not a cheap publication...

Public Sales. B. F. Reighard will sell personal property on his premises in Scott township...

Personal. Mrs. J. J. Brower is visiting her daughter, Mrs. Lingle, at Bellefonte.

Deaths. John William Bryson has nearly recovered from his attack of heart trouble...

Deaths. Jacob Fisher, A. R. Patterson, E. Cole, Eli Kunkel, W. L. Cole, E. R. Koster, H. J. Reder, Peter Werckmeister, Abraham Kreisher, W. Stoker and M. B. Butler were among our numerous callers...

Deaths. Col. A. D. Seely and wife, Geo. W. Yamata and wife, H. Berger and wife, J. J. Hoff, and a number of other Berwick people attended the Bell Ringers concert on Monday night.

Deaths. C. G. Murphy, Esq., of Centralia, was in town on Wednesday. He drove up in a sleigh, and probably found his return trip not so pleasant as the drive up, owing to the rain which nearly ended the sleighing.

Deaths. Horse to Let. Call on W. Krickbaum. There was a large attendance at Court on Monday.

Deaths. Valentine's Day, Thursday February 14th. Buy the Model sweeping brush, which is exposed to sale and save your carpets.

Deaths. John J. Hummel died at Wilkesbarre on Sunday. He was buried at Espy on Wednesday.

Deaths. Lent will be on Ash-Wednesday, February 27th. Easter Sunday falls on April 13th.

Deaths. Secure a bargain by going to C. C. Galligan's at once, and buying one of his heating stoves at cost.

Deaths. Well, what about the town election? Is anybody going to nominate anybody? Or is it to be a go-as-you-please?

Deaths. E. R. Kehler has removed his law office to rooms over Rawlings' meat store, 3d building below the Exchange Hotel. 1-25 adv.

Deaths. There were one hundred and twenty-five guests registered at the Exchange hotel on Monday.

Deaths. A sleighing party of about fifty couples went up to Henton on Friday, where they had a supper and dance at Hens' hotel.

Deaths. Present Tobacco advertisement in another column. Feb. 8-2m

The Grand Jury reported against making a borough of Catawissa.

The pupils of one of the Danville schools drove up here in sleighs on Friday last, stopping at the Central hotel.

The third Hemlock township Sunday School convention will be held in the Columbia church, February 20th at 10 o'clock a. m.

The election of township and borough officers is on the tapis for the month of February, the 19th of the present month. It is important that good men should be selected.

Representative Byson presented his warrant at the State Treasury on January 4th for legislative pay. He returned \$110 to the treasury to cover the eleven days' adjournment at the opening of the extra session.

Our townsman David Lowenberg has been a delegate to the Democratic National convention for many years. He has always served his party faithfully, and no better selection can be made in the district.

Mr. C. F. John and Miss Ida Breisch were married at the home of the bride's parents, Mr. and Mrs. George Breisch near Catawissa, on the 31st ult. by Rev. J. H. Seimann, in the presence of a number of invited guests. The bride was the recipient of many useful and handsome gifts.

The time for public vendues approaches. All sales for which bills are printed at this office are held in the local column under the head of public sales, without extra charge, thereby giving them a wide advertisement. Sales bills printed on short notice and at the lowest prices.

The trial of Allison, the deaf mute, for stealing a book at the store of I. W. Hartman & Son, is in progress as we go to press, Thursday. Considerable difficulty was experienced in the trial from the fact that the testimony had to be written out so that the defendant might know what it was.

Miss Clara White of Centre and Miss Swazey of New Jersey, were the guests of Misses Ida and Willie Kistler.

Protracted meeting will begin Sunday eve the 10th at the Lutheran Church. Some of our young people attempted to surprise Mr. Ohi's family of Hemlock, but came home with a sad countenance, as they did not find the folks at home. Be not discouraged, another sleigh ride will do you good.

Miss Ida Kistler who is teaching school at Wellersville, was home spending Sunday with her parents.

Our group of our good people were disappointed as the district meeting was postponed.

Quite a number of true bills were found by the grand jury, against persons for stealing coal from the cars of the Bloomsburg Iron Company. How long this pilfering has been going on it is impossible to say, but it is evident that a number of families have been supplying their needs with coal in this way for some time.

The punishment of those who have already been detected should deter others from following their bad example. Honesty is the best policy, even if you have to buy your coal.

A large audience greeted the Royal Hand Bell Ringers at the Opera House Monday night. Their skillful manipulation of the bells met with generous and well merited applause. They perform music of a high order, and with such facility as the simplest melody, and the tones produced range from pianissimo to forte without any perceptible effort on the part of the performers. The bells are 121 in number, the smallest one weighing three ounces, and the largest twelve and a half pounds. The programme was entitled by an aptly chosen motto: "The Royal Hand Bell Ringers at the Opera House Monday night." The bells are 121 in number, the smallest one weighing three ounces, and the largest twelve and a half pounds. The programme was entitled by an aptly chosen motto: "The Royal Hand Bell Ringers at the Opera House Monday night."

Notwithstanding the very unfavorable weather there was an attendance which showed a warm interest in the matter.

The county statement appears this week. We have not had time to examine it critically. In glancing over it, we observe that the total amount received by the commissioners for services is \$1142.90.

The total amount of commissions received by the County Treasurer is \$1199.48. Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Opinions were filed in the following cases on Monday morning by Judge Ewell: Daniel Martz vs. the School Directors of Briarcrest District. Mandamus ordered the plaintiff to restore him to his seat in the school board.

Tickets for township elections printed at this office for 50 cents a hundred.

Orders paid accompanied by the cash will be promptly filled. All names should be plainly written.

A fire at Milton on Monday destroyed the stock and fixtures of the drug store of J. M. Clark. Loss about \$3,200. The fire is supposed to have originated from spontaneous combustion in the cellar where it burnt up through the floor and had good headway before it was discovered. As soon as possible two streams were thrown on it from the new water supply and the building saved.

Centralia Licenses. While the applications for licenses in Centralia borough were before the Court the counsel for one of the applicants withdrew his petition, but suggested that there ought to be some licenses granted there, as those who have licenses will enforce the law against those who have none. In reply to this the Court remarked as follows: "So we think, that while it is permitted to grant licenses, the persons who have a monopoly are the best detectives that can be appointed. The information we get from that region (Centralia) is that when the landlords who failed to take out a license the year before were refused last year, that let the laws down, and they all went at it, and went at it so unanimously that there is nobody to complain. Therefore, their cases are not brought before the court. We announced at last court that, in view of the circumstances, we would allow the District Attorney, if he could by any means get hold of the facts, to lay indictments on the grand jury, without a previous binding over by a magistrate, hoping in some way to reach and stop the wholesale traffic, universal retail traffic, going on. But we have received no tangible evidence upon the subject, and are only able, by the examination of these cases, to come up, to partly unravel the situation of things over there; to get a little insight into how matters are, and under the circumstances, that hotel licenses for hotels properly kept are a necessity in Centralia as much as in any other place."

It is evident that an effort will be made to break up the unlawful sale of liquor at Centralia, and those who are engaged in the business will act wisely by discontinuing at once. Should they persist, they will likely get into trouble, for which they can blame no one but themselves.

Centralia Proceedings. The regular February session of court opened on Monday the 4th inst, Judge Ewell and associates Shuman and Lake on the bench.

The returns of constables were taken. County statement for 1883 was presented and ordered to be filed.

Report of inspectors of the bridge in Pipe and Greenwood near L. P. McHenry's mill filed.

Christopher Kuster was appointed guardian of his minor children.

Upon reading report of Board of Examiners, and on motion of C. G. Barkley Esq. Albert M. Yarnall was admitted to practice in the courts of Columbia county.

George Kraemer and Michael Walter appointed trustees for first week. Elijah Shutt and Abram Fry for second week.

Petition of the citizens of Catawissa to be incorporated as a borough presented and filed.

Madame McHenry appointed commissioner to take testimony in divorce case of Simpson vs. Simpson.

Commonwealth vs. Henry Miller, recognition of defendant and M. G. Hughes entered for appearance of defendant at next term.

B. R. Davis, et. ux. vs. Berwick borough, report of viewers assessing damages, returned and confirmed nisi.

Remonstrances filed by leave of court, against the incorporation of Espy as a borough.

The following reports of sales were confirmed nisi: George Craig estate, William Rhodes estate, Jacob Hous estate, Mary C. Musgrave estate, Henry W. Mayor estate.

Return of inquest in estate of Hannah Zarr.

The following licenses were granted: William Gilmore, Bloom, restaurant. W. M. Gilmore, " " liquor store. W. L. Tubbs, " " hotel. Willard Briffert, Centralia, hotel. D. F. Curry, " " restaurant. J. S. Mann, Centre, hotel. G. W. Billman, Conyngham, hotel. Samuel Hagenbach, Orange, hotel. John F. Keller, Berwick, hotel. M. A. Markle, " " restaurant. George Helman, Orange, hotel.

The following were rejected: Andrew Roach, M. F. Ryan, J. J. Murphy, M. Rodney Baker, Crayan; G. M. Baker, Espy. All others were withdrawn.

The following auditors' reports were confirmed nisi: Estate of Hannah Shive, Hannah Baird, Joseph Helwig, J. Vandercies, Jacob Evans, Benj. Habes.

Petition for re-view of a road in Scott near Robert Stiff's, filed, viewers not to be appointed until exceptions are disposed of. Road in Locust and Conyngham. Opinion filed setting aside report, and an alias order directed.

Sale of real estate ordered in estate of Joseph Hartman, deceased.

Report of viewers against a road in Mt. Pleasant near John Kelemer's confirmed. Petition of Charles McK. Ohi for the benefit of the insolvent held filed and public notice entered.

Petition filed for appointment of viewers of a road in Orange near D. W. Hicks' was not to be appointed until exceptions are disposed of with anything but the fullest propriety.

Christopher Earnberger was sworn and naturalized as a citizen of the United States.

The case commenced in 1871. The bill in equity from which the decree resulted was never signed or seen by Burdett. Waite signed and swore to it December 20, 1871, as may be seen from the record. The case was originally brought before Judge Small of the District of Vermont— who became incapacitated before hearing it, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place, and the case was argued together in November, 1878, and decided it in November, 1878, and it was then passed to the master, who after taking testimony and listening to arguments, found the damages of the profits which Estey & Co. have from the patent, conceding its validity, to be \$100,000. There were no doubts as to the facts, and it was arranged to be heard before Judge Woodruff of Connecticut. He only listened to some collateral motions in it, and died before the case itself was argued. Johnson, his successor, heard it in 1876, but died before reaching a decision. Blatchford was appointed in his place