

THE COLUMBIAN.

BLOOMSBURG, PA. FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1883.

DEMOCRATIC STATE TICKET.

FOR AUDITOR GENERAL, ROBERT TAGGART, of Warren.

FOR STATE TREASURER, JOSEPH POWELL, of Bradford.

DEMOCRATIC COUNTY TICKET.

FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY, R. BUCKINGHAM, OF BLOOMSBURG.

FOR COUNTY SURVEYOR, SAMUEL KEYNARD, OF BLOOMSBURG.

ELECTION, TUESDAY NOVEMBER 6th.

Most of the members of the Standing Committee have performed their duties faithfully and well.

This is the year for Democrats to vote the Democratic ticket.

The Bellefonte Watchman, Chief Clerk Meek's paper, says: "Keep it before the people that Mr. Niles, as soon as he received the nomination for Auditor General from the Republican State Convention, deserted his post at Harrisburg as representative, and went home to electioneer, remaining absent for weeks at a time."

A vote cast for the Republican ticket is an endorsement of the revolutionary action of the Republican State senate in refusing to obey the plain mandate of the constitution that an appointment of the State shall be made after every decennial census.

A Democrat who fails to vote, endorses this outrageous and useless expenditure just as much as the Republican who votes.

The ancestors of Joseph Powell, Democratic candidate for State Treasurer, came to this country a century ago. Mr. Powell was born in Bradford county and has always lived there.

William Lively, Republican candidate for State Treasurer, was born in England, and has been a citizen of this State for only a few years.

Last year the Republican press argued a reason why Lively should not be elected, that he was born in Maryland. If there was any justice in this claim then, the fact that Lively was born in England is a good reason why he should be defeated this year.

As predicted by the Intelligence, ex-Governor Hoyt did not speak at the Republican meeting in his old home, Wilkesbarre, on Saturday evening.

It is true that he was confined to his room in Philadelphia and was unable to go, but he never had any intention of going nor of making any such speech as he was billed for.

Chairman Cooper knew that he would not be there, but held out the promise of his presence as an attraction to secure an audience for himself.

Ex-Governor Hoyt sent no letter or regrets for his inability to be present, nor of sympathy with the cause that Cooper advocates.

His friend, Gen. McCarty, made no sign; his political associate and confidante, ex-Auditor General Geo. Lear, did not go, though invited.

The attempt to make it appear that the Independents are reconciled and all the Republicans harmonious is a dismal failure.

Wolfe wants Lively beaten; Levi Bard Duff, the candidate for lieutenant governor on the Stewart ticket last year, is now out spoken for the Democratic ticket.

Col. Wm. McMichael, late Independent candidate for congressman-at-large, has nothing to say for the regular Republican nominees.

After industriously drumming through the state for a week and sending out telegraphic appeals for authority to append names, to the Lee address, Wharton Barker succeeded in getting only about a half score of names appended to it.

The Republican party must go.—Lancaster Intelligence.

GET OUT THE VOTE.

Next Tuesday ends the campaign. When the polls close and the votes are counted, the effectiveness of the work that has been done by either party will be known.

There has been a quiet canvass. There have been no brass bands, torch light processions and no stump speeches for the purpose of firing the heart of the voter.

But because there has been no public demonstrations in this county, our people should not feel indifferent.

It does not require a brass band and a spread eagle oration to incite a true Democrat to do his duty, and therefore every member of the party, old and young, is expected to go out next Tuesday and cast his ballot against the Republican ring that has ruled Pennsylvania for many years.

Chairman Hensel says we can carry the State if we get out the vote. Last year's election proved that there are enough Democrats in this State to carry it this year if they will vote.

The great secret of Republican success for so many years is that they always vote, while Democrats are too much inclined to feel indifferent, and not put themselves to any trouble to get to the polls.

Columbia county is expected to give a majority of at least 2,300 for Taggart and Powell. She can do it easily with a fair vote; she can do better than that with a full vote.

Then let every Democrat get out on Tuesday and help to elect a solid Democratic administration in this commonwealth.

It will be a grand victory, and will put Pennsylvania in the Democratic column for the Presidential election next year. Get out the vote!

A FOE TO WORKINGMEN.

Jerome B. Niles's Record on Labor Legislation.

AGAINST WORKINGMEN ALL THE TIME.

It is the acts of a man, not his profession nor the professions of his friends, that one is to judge by.

Jerome B. Niles, the Republican candidate for Auditor General, was a member of the last legislature. A number of bills were introduced and acted upon by that body which had for their sole purpose the protection and benefit of the laboring classes of the State.

The friends and representatives of the workingmen voted for these bills; their enemies either voted against them or dodged the vote.

That Jerome B. Niles was not a friend of the workingmen is shown by his vote. On nearly every measure that was introduced in their interest he voted so. As he had no consideration for their welfare when he was making laws, he deserves no consideration from them now, when he wants an office.

Workingmen mark his record, and remember the man who voted against you, when you come to the polls on the 6th of November. See pages of Legislation Record referred to in connection with each bill in proof of these statements.

Bill No. 11. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work. This bill was one of the most important of all bills, and its passage was petitioned for by thousands upon thousands of workmen in the mines, manufactories, shops and iron works of the State.

Bill No. 12. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 13. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 14. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 15. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 16. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 17. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 18. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 19. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 20. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 21. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 22. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 23. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 24. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 25. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 26. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 27. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 28. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 29. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 30. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 31. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 32. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 33. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 34. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 35. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 36. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 37. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 38. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 39. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

Bill No. 40. This bill was known as the Hines liability bill, and was intended to protect workmen from the consequences of their negligence, by making the employer liable for the negligence of his employees, while at work.

A TRUMPET BLAST.

HOYT'S ARRANGING OF BOSSISM.

WHAT THE EX-GOVERNOR HAD TO SAY OF REPUBLICAN METHODS ONE YEAR AGO.—THE MACHINE DENOUNCED.

The following extracts from Governor Hoyt's letter of November 3, last year, will read with interest in view of the fact that the same condition of affairs in the Republican party, which the governor so bitterly assailed, prevails at the present time.

It is a manly and indignant protest against the methods of a party which required from its followers the most abject servility to a few self-constituted, unscrupulous bosses, and the governor in his letter clearly proves that no citizen, if independent, could long remain in their company, without forfeiting his respectability as a citizen.

The wretched indictment of Hoyt is equally applicable at the present time when a corrupt treasury ring is struggling for supremacy in the State to the detriment of the taxpayers. Hear what the governor had to say one year ago in reference to this independent movement:

"When standing room is no longer allowed to the member of a political party with a margin for self-respect; when its lines become simply cotemporary with the limits of some usurping dynasty; when in all the space between abject submission and rebellion no place is given for appeal, argument, or protest, revolution is an appropriate remedy. All protest and argument will resist the imposition of serfdom and will leave to villains and retainers their badge of servitude to the intruding feudal system. Any characterization of those engaged in this movement which flippantly classifies them as 'sore-heads and kickers' mistakes the voting power of Pennsylvania manhood. There is an undeniable justification for your uprising. Its grounds are open and plain to the people, whether accepted or not. The tens of thousands of the best instructed, most conscientious and spirited citizens who have joined in this method of uprooting palpable public wrongs attest. Other tens of thousands of such citizens sympathize with you, and only wait for a fair promise to make the cause as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

AMINORATION.

THE VICTIM OF THE CRIME.

The victim is a young woman of Saugatusk pretty and accomplished. Popular with her friends, she is a woman of fine talents, gossily has trifled with her good name, and there have been no infrequent rumors that her husband had reasons for jealousy, and that her home life was not happy.

The young wife was full of other pleasures than the dull routine of home duty, and it was not unusual that while the husband remained at home at night to care for the family the thoughtless wife was deeply engrossed in the fascinations of some rural ballroom. She had many admirers, and "people will talk," was the phrase with which her unscrupulous neighbors threw suspicion upon her life as they shrugged their shoulders and affected airs to impress their opinions.

Edward Godfrey is one of the best known residents of Saugatusk. He has lived in the place all his life. Ten years the senior of his wife, her tastes in many ways have been marked with dissimilarity. His disposition has prompted him to avoid public parade. He could more for the quiet of his home than for other pleasures, and the years went by, it is alleged by those who claim personal knowledge of the matter, that he has become morose and had tempered and addicted to sullenness.

A QUARREL AND SEPARATION. A week ago, it is reported, there was a bitter quarrel in the Godfrey household and the husband is said to have told his wife that he could not stand her conduct. She must reform or leave his house. Crimination and recrimination made the scene a bad one, and uncorroborated rumors say that threats were interchanged. Julia Godfrey, at least, did not spend another night under the roof which had hitherto sheltered her, since the day when, eight years ago, a bride of eighteen, she came from Bridgeport to make all the girls of Saugatusk neighborhood envious of her pretty face.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

It is another mystery and there are surrounding circumstances which promise to make the case as famous as the crimes which ended in the unavenged deaths of Mary Stannard, Jennie Cramer and Rose Clark.

The latest in the Charlie Ross matter comes from Portland, Maine. A boy and girl, eight years of age, claim to be the identical Charlie. He says he remembers being in a large house and taken away by two men in a carriage, was put on a vessel and taken to Wintertown, Maine, where he was kept three or four years. He was then taken to Brazil, where he learned that his captors were Jesse James, David and Pinkham. He finally ran away from them and worked his way back to Maine. While on the vessel on his return he worked as a cook.

</