



BLOOMSBURG, PA. Friday, May 16, 1879.

Another Veto

The acting President of the United States Monday last sent in objections to the bill prohibiting the presence of troops at the polls.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Judge Ava Packer is lying in a critical condition in Philadelphia. At 11 o'clock Wednesday evening he appeared to be sinking.

Who are the Revolutionists? We have a President who never was elected.

We have a Supreme Court, three members of which violated law, oath and justice to count in the re-elected President.

We have a Congress representing the majority of the people, but not permitted to act by a fraudulent executive and a fanciful minority.

We have the monstrous theory that the legislative is to obey the wishes of the executive, not the executive to execute the laws of the legislative.

We have the assumption that there is but one man to "save the country," and he Grant; but one party to rule—that is, the Republican party; but one great duty of the day, that to defy the will of a clear majority of the people.

Is this a real republic? Is this popular self-government? Is it not, on the contrary, breaking up the character of the government very fast?

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

Which is worse—living or destroying a republic? Which is more terrible—breaking up the form of the character of a government?

Had the rebels of 1861 succeeded, they would have only divided the republic. But if the stalwart crew of the Infidelity Term and Robeson order succeed they will destroy it.

THE MAN ON HORSEBACK.—Grant will run no better in 1880 than he was able to make Hayes run in 1876. In 1876 Grant held the purse and the sword, and his presence at the Senate held the count, but in 1880 a weak President holds but the shadow of a sword and a very costly purse, and the occupation of the returning board is gone.—Mobile Register.

Mrs. Sarah W. Underwood, of Milwaukee is a little woman of fine appearance and polished manners. She has long been known as a "society-woman." Recently she made \$25,000 on one investment in wheat and not long afterwards lost that amount and several hundred dollars more. A few days ago she was arrested on the charge of uttering \$15,000 worth of forged paper. It is alleged that she made her husband, Albert G. Underwood, a weak-minded man, who has been in an asylum more than once, give her eight small promissory notes "A. G. Woodward." These notes she put on the market with the statement that they were given by Alvin G. Underwood, father-in-law, a wealthy merchant of Milford, Mass., at which town they were all dated.

Another Mine Horror. Shortly before midnight on Tuesday last work an explosion of gas occurred at the Stanton shaft, near Newtown. The Stanton belongs to the L. & W. Coal Co., and is being operated by Chas. Farish & Co. There are various and conflicting theories as to the cause of the explosion. Of the men working in the mine at the time, the following named were more or less injured: Levi Gibson, David Morgan, Samuel Lloyd, Wm. Smith, Richard B. Hill, Jas. Davis, Jno. Richards and Wm. Watkins. Shortly previous to this unfortunate occurrence a slight explosion had injured Martin Kerrigan, but not seriously. On Wednesday Wm. Smith, who had been slightly injured, and John Davis both died in great agony. Richards, Lloyd and Watkins were at last accounts in a very precarious condition. The others are not so severely injured.

WASHINGTON LETTER. Washington, D. C., May 13, 1879. THE LAST NEWS.—WHAT WILL THE MAJORITY DO? PROBABLE CONTINUATION OF PRESENT APPROPRIATIONS UNTIL JAN. 1, 1880.—A FREE CASH-SHIFTING BILL TO BE PASSED.—EVERYBODY PLEASED WITH THE CHECKS.—THE BARRAZAZATION OF WASHINGTON.—THE PRESIDENT'S SUMMER AT SOLDIERS' HOME.

To parody Byron's famous dedication of man, Congress has become a pendulum between a caucus and a veto. One week ago all the world was positive that the President would sign the "anti budget bill," as it is called, but while I write the newsways are yelling—here's your evening paper with the President's veto message. The next question is what will the Democrats do about it. There is in the majority a strong sentiment in favor of a "journalment without provision for the army," but it is certain that the number in favor of this policy is not as great as it was when the last session adjourned. Senator Furness, who is the acknowledged leader of his party in Washington, is reported (I say reported) to have said that it would be a great mistake for Congress to adjourn without having passed the necessary supplies for the military and civil services. Just what will be done it is, of course, impossible to say, but some plan of action will be agreed upon before the end of the week, and that plan will probably be to continue the army appropriation bill of last year until the 1st of January, 1880. Although a veto of the legislative appropriation bill is anticipated, the Senate will proceed to pass it in the same form as it has been passed by the House. If the bill shall be met with the expected veto Congress will pass the joint resolution to make provision for the men through the life of the Sugar Trust disaster. No. 9 and 10 at that place, which have not been opened for two years, resumed yesterday.—Union Leader.

WILKESBARRE, PA., May 9.—William Watkins, another victim of the Stanton shaft disaster died today. Preparations are being made to turn water from Blackman creek into the mine. Immense quantities of black dust issue from the mouth of the mine. This indicates that the fire covers a large area. It is believed that black dust is being generated very rapidly, and it is predicted that when the water rises sufficiently high to shut off the air there will be a terrific explosion. The water in the mine is thought to be ten feet high. It will be necessary to flood it to the depth of three hundred feet to extinguish the fire. The damage to the mine will be very great.

THE MOTHER STARVING HERSELF AND DYING OF GRIEF. Barnstable, May 12.—It was announced this morning that Mrs. Freeman was dying and investigation shows that the probabilities are that she will live a short time only. She is weeping and starving herself to death, although she does not seek to commit suicide. Confined alone in the cell on the upper floor of the barn like building, she has, since the first Sunday after the killing of Edith, spent constantly day and night. She has tried to do so, but in the ten days of her imprisonment she has eaten less than would be an ordinary meal. When the Sunday passed and her little girl was not resurrected, she as firmly believed she would be, Mrs. Freeman at once admitted that she and her husband had made an awful mistake, and with this acknowledgment the maternal instinct pleaded so strongly in prayer that her child might be spared, has returned. She has said that she could not have consented to the sacrifice had she not firmly believed that Edith would be restored to life on the third day. She also says that it was revealed to her husband 36 hours before the sacrifice that Edith's immolation was demanded. He went to the barn and prayed for hours that she would take the cup from him, and she at length went to the barn and kneeling on the hay beside her husband, put her arm around his neck, and both of them prayed to be spared the necessity of doing the act, but they got no peace until they determined to do it. Her sorrow is most distressing now. She is in entire possession of her reasoning faculties, and the father's wife thinks that it would be a mercy if she could be bereft of reason. She moans, "Oh, my little darling child, how could God have permitted us, who loved Him so and tried to follow Him, to do such a wrong?" and then she bursts into the most passionate sobbing for hours at a time. The sorrow that she was on her face when she was brought before the justice is gone. Her face has wasted, leaving her cheek bones prominent, and both are bright red with hectic flush which indicates fever. Her otherwise white face and bloodless lips, and her great eyes that are red with weeping, her tottering step, and her inability to eat or sleep, are regarded as indications that very soon the nervous strength will be exhausted and that she will rapidly decline. Her love for the child and her remorse have over-shadowed her religious faith.

Edith Freeman's Mother. Her husband knows nothing of this. He is perfectly satisfied that she eats and sleeps well, still confident that God commanded the act. He has been reading "Freeman's Explorations." He said to-day to the Sun correspondent that he did not want any pettifoggers to defend him for notoriety because, he would accept such defence. Justice Hopkins, in his verdict given as Coroner and died to-day, says that Edith Freeman's death was caused by the act of her father, who promulgated it, and who committed it without provocation, abetted by the mother, and that such act was done because both honestly believed that it would be a sacrifice to God to do it to illustrate his justice. Justice believes that if any lawyer dare go before the court and argue that the act is consistent with a belief in the literal or supernatural teachings of the Bible and liable to be therefore repeated again, one of the most remarkable points will be placed before the courts of Massachusetts which they have ever been called on to consider.

Senator Ben Hill, of Georgia, hit the nail squarely on the head when he said last Wednesday in the Senate: "Members of Congress get \$5,000 a year and no other salary. I do not propose to accommodate the expense of extra sessions. I know that some noise is sometimes made that an extra session of Congress costs the Government so much. I think, when members of Congress are paid by the year, if the interests of the country require that they should attend here a dozen times, they ought to attend on their regular salary, and not be increasing their pay on account of the extra sessions. I do not think anybody ought to have anything extra. That is my judgment on it. Half the year we are getting pay without services any way."

Ending a Court House War. Thomas Brown was elected one of the Commissioners of Wayne county in 1875. He was then just out of college, and occupied a fine residence just west of Honesdale, Pa. He was a member of the Board of Commissioners the leading member of the Board of Commissioners. His son, H. S. Brown, was appointed clerk of the Board. Honesdale became the county seat of Wayne county in 1843. The frame Court House then erected had never been improved or enlarged, although the population and business of the county had more than doubled. The subject of building a new Court House had been agitated for some years. In 1876 the preliminary proceedings required by law having been taken, the Court ordered the County Commissioners to build a new Court House. With a population of 40,000 in the county, and no county debt it was thought that a \$200,000 Court House would not be too expensive. Arrangements were made to erect a building of that class. From the beginning the people of the county were strongly opposed to the work. The feeling was worked up by ambitious politicians, and it became so strong that ordinary party lines were forgotten, and the only parties in the county were the Court House and anti-Court House parties. Of the former Commissioner Thomas Brown was a conspicuous leader. William Hartwell, a wealthy and influential farmer of an interior township, became a power in the anti-Court House party. The opposition of the Court House party to the work by injunctions and legal proceedings of various kinds, Thomas Brown used liberally of his means to carry through the plans which were devised to defeat the efforts of the anti-Court House party. He was an old contractor and builder, and had set his heart on perpetuating his name in the county through work on the new Court House.

On the result of the election in the county in 1877 everything depended. The Court House party had control of the office, and the anti-Court House party were putting their extraordinary efforts to secure several to be filed that year. They elected their ticket by an overwhelming majority. Probably to no other person in the county did the result give more joy than to William Hartwell. He had resolved that Thomas Brown and the other Commissioners should not complete the new Court House, and the success of his party at the polls virtually put an end to the work. The disastrous result of the election so affected Commissioner Brown that he died a few hours after the news was received. Last fall William Hartwell was elected to the position Thomas Brown had filled, two other anti-Court House men taking the places of Brown's colleagues in the Board, and Brown's son was removed from the clerkship. The county was in debt \$60,000 for the work that had been done on the Court House, which was nearly half finished. The old Court House was torn down in 1877. It is not likely that the new one will be completed for years. Meantime credit is held over a bar in an out-of-the-way part of Honesdale.

It was supposed that Thomas Brown had left his family well provided for, but the troubles of the past two years had involved him ruinously. The claims against his estate were pressed, and a few weeks ago the sheriff levied on the Brown homestead, and advertised it for sale. His son H. S. Brown, desired to save the property, if possible, and appealed to Commissioner William Hartwell, three weeks ago, to pay off the claims against the estate, and take a mortgage on the property. Hartwell's wife had died a few days after he was elected County Commissioner. He had never seen the widow of Thomas Brown. He went to see her in regard to making the arrangement about the transfer of claims against her property. He was so pleased with her that a few days afterwards he made a proposal of marriage to her. She accepted him. He paid off the claims against the property, and made her a wedding present of a deed to the homestead. They were married on Wednesday of last week.

Men of endurance have healthy kidneys and liver. No aches in the back, no piles or spinal pains. The remedy for these distresses is undoubtedly Kidney-Wort, a vegetable preparation, which harmonizes all the internal forces of the body.

Candidates. (The following persons have been proposed for nomination by the next democratic county convention to be held August 27th, 1879. Candidates announced in this list are pledged to abide by the decision of the convention.)

FOR SHERIFF, SAMUEL JACOBY, of Bloom.

JOHN G. JACOBY, of Berwick.

JOHN G. QUICK, of Montour.

JOHN LORE, of Pine.

CHARLES A. KNORR, of Bloom.

SAMUEL SMITH, of Fishing Creek.

H. C. KELOHNER, of Scott.

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS. "THE ASHTONS" OPERA HOUSE Friday and Saturday Evenings, May 23d and 24th.

MR. J. B. ASHTON AS "RIP VAN WINKLE." Saturday Evening May 24th, THE GREATEST LIVING CHILD ARTIST LITTLE DORRIT ASHTON AN "FANCHON THE CRICKET." Great Reduction in Prices.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE. Estate of David V. Crites, deceased. Letters of Administration on the estate of David V. Crites late of Franklin township, Columbia county, Pennsylvania, deceased, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct list of the names of the persons who have been appointed as administrators of the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, and that the same have been qualified to receive and administer the estate of David V. Crites, deceased, in accordance with the provisions of the act in that behalf made.