



BLOOMSBURG, PA.

Friday, February 5, 1875

Andy Johnson will meet in the United States senate thirteen senators, who voted jointly on his trial for impeachment.

The public debt statement shows that in the month of January the indebtedness of the country was increased \$1,307,870.37.

The Pittsburgh Chronicle says that the Democrats have lighted a fire which they will find it difficult to put out. They do not mean that it shall be put out, either, until all the loose rubbish is burned.

Difficulties about our power press machinery have prevented us from sending from getting our paper printed in time for some of the mails, and these troubles also caused some bad printing. We are glad to say these have at length been corrected, and that the printing in future will be perfect, and after this issue the paper will be mailed, as usual, on Thursday evening.

Augus Cameron has been elected U. S. Senator by the Wisconsin Legislature. In place of Matt. Carpenter, Republican Cameron received the votes of all the Democrats and some bolting Republicans. While the manner of his election and his name (though not a relative of Simon) portend no good, he is pledged to hard money, tariff for revenue and to favor the supremacy of the civil over the military power.

The Beecher trial is going on in New York, and city papers are loaded down with the filthiest stuff that ever got into newspaper columns. For publishing *Andy* in reference to this business our *Andy* Federal officials imprisoned and robbed two women in New York, and for publishing no more objectionable matter, an obscure and friendless printer has been lying in jail in Williamsport for months. Why is not the same strong arm stretched out now? Cowardice—official cowardice! Radical instincts—coward to the strong—bully the weak!

In Maine the temperance people are going back to the old "normal session" plan of attack upon alcohol, after vainly trying the enforcement plan for twenty years. The elder portion of people here will remember how much was accomplished by the old plan of holding public meetings, signing pledges, &c., and how little has been effected by the other plans since that was abandoned. Voluntary action is both the popular and the effective mode of accomplishing any reform in a free country.

The European news of the week are not important. The French Assembly is making progress in constructing a government, but as no party has a majority the machine does not promise to be of the most consistent workmanship. Except in name there will be little of Republicanism about it.

The entire army of the new King, Alfonso, is moving against the Carlist positions in Spain and decisive results may be looked for. The Turks and Montenegrins have been compelled to settle their difficulty, a war in that quarter not being convenient for the leading European powers.

State Superintendent of Public Schools. It is a noticeable fact that during the forty years of our present School System no one from Western Pennsylvania has filled the office, [of State Superintendent].—Hilobry Post.

The Post is certainly in error. James Fidelity was the first Superintendent, ex-officio, and we believe he was from Allegheny or Westmoreland. Afterwards A. L. Russell, of Bedford, and Charles A. Black, of Greene, followed. The western part of the State was never distinguished, we believe, for not receiving its full share of official position.

When the snobs of this country got through with their worship of King Calico, they took time to look around them and then discovered that nobody was staring on the scene. They then dropped the subject and Calico found himself alone. At St. Louis he passed the time by roasting around the city and going on a spree, after which he made tracks for the Sandwich Islands, being conveyed thither by a government vessel at the expense of the people, by order of Gen. Grant. Had the show been kept up that was started at Washington, Calico would no doubt have been back often, but the latter part of his experience will probably keep him away.

Texas probably suffered less in a direct way from the civil war than any other of the Southern States, and it has been either the most fortunate or the best governed State in that section since the war. The total debt of the State, according to Governor Cook's message, is only \$4,012,293 and the estimated receipts of the school fund \$715,129. The taxable property of the State is \$275,000,000, an increase of \$63,000,000 since 1872. There must be some potent reason for the prosperity of Texas as compared with Louisiana, and for the peace which reigns there, and a good reason might be gathered from a comparison of the conditions which the State have produced anarchy and misrule, and in the other, light and order and growing prosperity.—Hilobry Post.

The atmosphere of Texas is not congenial to the carpet bagger, and the white population there outnumber the black. The main reason, however, is that Texas is so extensive that the army is not large enough to enforce frauds. Hence the Radicals keep hands off.

How to do it! It is related by sundry Washington correspondents that when a committee on New Orleans importers waited on Gen. Grant with a request to remove his brother-in-law, Gen. Casey, from the office of collector at the Port of New Orleans, because of corruption and incompetency, the President naively inquired "but what will I do with him if I remove him?" The committee were non-placed and retired.

Had Gov. Curtin been with that committee they would no doubt have been more successful. Some years ago there resided in Bellefonte a broken down ex-slaveholder, who had become completely worthless for any practical purpose, but was nevertheless a rather progressing man in personal appearance. Gen. Reed had built a very large and fine hotel at Erie and was in want of a superintendent. A paper was gotten up at Bellefonte recommending ex-slaveholder W. Ward, which was duly signed by Gov. Curtin and other distinguished gentlemen of the locality, and upon the strength of it Ward got the position. His incompetency soon became apparent and with some difficulty Gen. Reed got rid of him. Meeting Curtin afterwards, Reed complained to him for recommending such a man. The ex-Governor coolly replied, "do as we did—recommend him on further!" If the New Orleans committee had understood that dodge they evidently could have gotten rid of brother-in-law Casey, by recommending him on further!

The Legislature. Not much progress has as yet been made by the Legislature in the transaction of the necessary public business. A most disgraceful and shameful row occurred in the House on Monday evening. The Speaker called Mr. Wolf of Union, to order, and directed him to take his seat. This he refused to do, but continued in the disorder. The Speaker then directed the Sergeant-at-Arms to arrest him. Some Republican members crowded around to protect him and some Democrats abruptly went to the assistance of the officer. Amidst this shameful scene, the House adjourned. On the next day Wolf was arrested by the Sergeant and brought to the bar of the House. He offered an impudent explanation, when a motion of censure was offered and debated to the hour of adjournment. If the House had even an ordinary respect for itself it would expel him. No matter what the merits of a controversy between a member and the Speaker may be, when the latter calls to order, or demands of a member to take his seat, the former must obey, and will if he is a gentleman, or its associate of gentlemen. By no other means can any deliberative body preserve dignity or the most ordinary respectability. If the Speaker acts wrongfully, there are proper means for correcting or checking him.

Wolf, we are told, is a young lawyer, from Lewisburg, serving a third term. His legislative reputation is not creditable. His conduct in the recent disgraceful occurrence is characteristic of ill-breeding, vulgarity and a total want of gentlemanly instincts or principles. He might have had the excuse of sudden passion had he not persisted in his impudence the next day. A frank, manly apology would have been creditable to him. As the case stands there is no extenuation for his conduct, and the House will exhibit itself in no creditable light if it does not deal summarily with him.

This scene is a sad commentary upon the increase of membership, and goes far to sustain the position of those who opposed that piece of folly. Two hundred men is too large an assembly for deliberation, when it is composed of a majority of inexperienced persons, many of whom are ignorant and conceited, and too many ill-bred and unprincipled. They, of course, in time could be schooled into proper demeanor, but by that time the session will expire and a brief of new feelings take their places at the next election.

We scarcely think the occurrence above referred to could have occurred with a competent and experienced Speaker in the chair. The House has since passed the resolution of censure, and if Wolf respects himself he will resign.

Congressional. Last week the Radical leaders in Congress, generally by Ben Butler, undertook to force the passage of the Civil Rights bill—a bill designed to bring the poor and moderately well off whites down to an equality with the blacks, north and south. The Democrats, led with consummate skill by Mr. Samuel J. Randall, of this State, were determined to resist. After a continuous session of forty-six hours, some of the Radicals yielded and consented to an adjournment.

The Speaker announced that he would decide that the yeas and nays might be omitted in reading the journal, but wisely backed down upon the do and of Mr. Randall that they should be read. This consumed another day. The Radical leaders then attempted to suspend the rules so as to introduce a new rule permitting a majority of the House to take up any bill they pleased. They succeeded in uniting their party in favor of this because it would let in various thieving schemes in the way of appropriations that would not otherwise be reached, but they failed at first simply because a single Republican from Virginia voted against them, and with the Democrats. The struggle is a fierce one, and the result depends upon two or three votes. If every member present the Republicans have the requisite two-thirds majority, and they may yet succeed in passing their iniquitous scheme. They are desperate and will resort to any form of corruption to effect success.

The Republicans also have trouble in the Senate. They have held frequent caucuses to drum in the refractory, but eight of their number still hold out against recognizing the Kellogg usurpation and more still refuse to vote to admit the negro blackie, Pinback, to a seat as Senator from Louisiana. A committee who assume to be the leading negro Radicals of the United States, are out with a protest against recognizing the Kellogg government of Louisiana unless Pinback is also admitted to the Senate, to which he was never elected by any Legislature having the semblance of legal authority. After the 15th of March, there will be no chance for any of these infamous schemes, and hence they are pressed now with extraordinary vigor.

Through unparliamentary decisions of the Speaker of the House, the rules were finally amended so as to enable the majority to take up any bill they pleased, except bills making appropriations. This enables the Radicals to pass the Civil Rights bill and sink themselves deeper in eternal infamy. Other proceedings so far unimportant.

Debt Held National Bank Notes. While National Bank Notes have so far proved a sufficiently safe currency, in any extraordinary depreciation of Government stocks they will be sure to run far under par and may become almost worthless. Nothing but the fact that their ultimate payment is secured by United States Bonds gives them value. If the bonds fall in value the notes must naturally diminish. It is unquestionably a fact that the Government is now in a great strait for revenue. The President and Secretary of the Treasury demand a tax upon Tea and Coffee to meet the emergency, but Congress dare not vote for it! Where then is the fifty million deficiency to come from? And if the revenue continues to fall off the deficiency will be a hundred millions in another year. Unless this should be met by largely increased taxation, which the people may not be able to pay, the National Bank notes with them. There are also other reasons why these notes may depreciate fearfully. We say, therefore, do not hoard National Bank notes. Keep them moving—pass them off as fast as you get them. That at least will do no harm and no loss will be sustained by it.

There is no getting behind the fact, that President Grant is in a fair way to be Johnsonized. There was a time—when he was an idol, may the idol of the Republican party, but that day has passed. The idol has been shattered, and there is only a broken remnant of the once powerful party left to do its reverence.

It is a wicked paper that says the late time Phil Sheridan "had em" he was tormented by forty thousand cockroaches crawling around him. Now he sees the gutters running with blood, and forty thousand murderers at large in Louisiana. When some men get the jingles, they have 'em pretty bad.

The New York Sun is a pattern sensational paper. It has no care for truth, does not want truth, for that is not sensational, and always greatly prefers a lie, but anything for a sensation, true or false, whether relating to the public or simply a private scandal. The paper lives by private gain and constant falsehoods effect this end better than any lying that is true or worthy of being said. None of its statements will bear repetition, no matter what they may be, and any body who believes them will find himself deceived.

Recently a printing office boy in Chester county undertook to practice upon this characteristic of the Sun and wrote an article for it, saying in substance that the Presbyterian clergyman of that place (naming a mythical person) was entirely too partial to a female member of his church and that a female member of his church was entirely too partial to the male member of his church. Instead of seeking to punish the infamous newspaper that would publish such stuff, written by a boy of whose existence even the editor had no knowledge, leading members of the church sought out the mischievous lad, who had merely burlesqued a scandalous newspaper, and gravely proceeded to punish him, by prosecution for libel, imprisonment, &c. The cowardly gunnies who could thus vengefully pursue the boy, and the boy's wife, while blindly failed to see the libel upon him, the editor's, are certainly highly deserving of leather medals. The fact is there was something clever in the boy's idea. He fooled a New York editor—his successful burlesque of a New York libeller in his own columns—his exposure to the public how readily the means of slanders will be propagated by the sensational papers of the cities, and how utterly unreliable are all their sensational statements, news, &c., and how foolish people are to patronize them! Boy like, the lad went too far in specifying real localities, and therein lies his whole offense, but the scheme, apparent purpose and effect of his plot, are all good and exhibit genius. We do not know what they have done with the lad (put him in jail, perhaps) but he has done a good thing, and exhibited much above ordinary ability in doing it. He will get through with the feather in his hat and can afford for all time to laugh at the stupidity and the staidity who punished an unpretending and obscure boy for humbugging a New York sensational editor of a "leading" city newspaper!

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

12. Exempts from taxation property owned by colleges, academies and other institutions of learning not for private gain and hospitals.

13. Strikes out of Sec. 8, Art. 9, phrase "at any one time," which is surplusage capable of an inference contrary to the intent of the section, which is to limit the aggregate indebtedness a city may contract to ten per centum.

14. Provides for the payment of all fines and penalties by municipal officers into the county treasury.

15. Revokes Sec. 7, Art. 15, providing for the election of county commissioners and auditors upon the plan of a limited vote.

16. Strikes out the provision providing for a similar election in actions for directors or managers of corporations.

17. A verbal amendment of Sec. 5, Art. 10, to enable a foreign corporation to transact its business in this State, without establishing offices in the State.

18. Strikes out the last sentence of Sec. 10, Art. 10, as verbiage, and in conflict with section 7, article 9.

19. Amends Sec. 9, Art. 17, to prevent "the extension" of passenger railways within city limits without permission of the local authorities.

20. Amends Sec. 9, Art. 17, to prevent "the extension" of passenger railways within city limits without permission of the local authorities.

21. Amends Sec. 9, Art. 17, to prevent "the extension" of passenger railways within city limits without permission of the local authorities.

22. Amends Sec. 9, Art. 17, to prevent "the extension" of passenger railways within city limits without permission of the local authorities.

23. Amends Sec. 9, Art. 17, to prevent "the extension" of passenger railways within city limits without permission of the local authorities.

24. Amends Sec. 9, Art. 17, to prevent "the extension" of passenger railways within city limits without permission of the local authorities.

25. Amends Sec. 9, Art. 17, to prevent "the extension" of passenger railways within city limits without permission of the local authorities.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.

By the said court, said profits and shall decline questions regarding the admissibility of evidence, and shall, at the request of the committee, pronounce his opinion upon the questions of fact involved in the trial.</