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## DENTISTS.



| REPORT ON IRON AND STEEL. <br> The United States revenue commission have laid before the Secretary of the Treas- ury a report in regard to iron and steel, ury a report in regard comparing the resoures of this coututry with that of Europe, Asia and Africa, , trom What it is inierred lanest consuner, and so continues to this time. <br> The commission is of the opinion that the producion of iron in the United Statas durlont of vast deposits of iron minerals and of fuel, demonstrate the capacity of the country to manufacture the whole quantity re- quired for its progress in other manufactures, for the production of machinery and alother instruments of industry, and due continuous enlargement of iro productive ow puwers. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| wwers.Out natal advantages for the production of iron and steel, and other great instruments of production, are not surpassed in |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ments of production, are not surpassed in the world. Our progress shows that wehave learned to avail ourselves of these adhav larsed to avail ourso se chese ad |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| vantages. Ultimately, under a wise domes tio policy, we shall become the largest pro-ducers of iron, and perhaps the largett exporters, as many of our mines are near the seaboard, and can furnish large quantities |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| more promptly than any country exceptGreat Britain, and better and more varied Great Britain, and better qualities than any country. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| grow to its full height but by that profusion of machines snd implements of productionand facilities for transportation which iron alone can furnish. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| England now employs iron to the extent of 160 pounds per head of population, andexports considerably more than she conexpmes. We manufacture $1,500,000$ and in-port about 300,000 tons. Our consumption |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  elivities to the eraployment of iron, and thevery superior quality of our iron, we may |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| whole product then equal to $3,200,000$ tons. It is very wel known that the State of Peonsylvania could by no means of her own |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Pemport yearly 50,000 tons of iron; but her nish nearly 500,000 tons, worth over thirty |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| millions of dollars, and can now, by the further aid of machinery, carry the value ofthis iron to sixt or eighty millions. Thus this iron to sixty or eighty millions. Thus |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| the people of Pennsylvauia can by this medium exchange her minerals, labor and foodfor the labor and food and other raw matean mate |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| well informed has a doubt that our country has nearly emancipated itself from such dependence, and is seoure of a full supply ofthe best qualities of steel in peace and war. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| equisite quaities of iron are found within knowledge is now attained, and that the requisite confidence in American cast-steel |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| and common steel is now established. <br> It has been tried throughout all the manufacturing States by the most skifful man- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ipulators of steel in fine cutlery, edge-tools, in both heavy and delicate machinery, andthe evidence of its success is complete and |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| irrefutable. Testimony on that subject has been submitted with this report, which can-not fail to carry convietion to all friends of |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| domestic labor, and to satifify them thatAmerican persererance and ingenuity has American perseverance and ingenuity hastriumphed in the production of the highest quality of cast-steel-an object vitally im-portant to the progress of national industry. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| It was not as a geolosist it was not asa, |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| that Hugh Miller arrived principally at distinction. The deepest vein in his nature |  |  |
| was his Christianity, and it was as a Cariself. To have told Hugh Miller that he had |  |  |
| vielded a hair's breadth of the defences of Bible Christianity would have been to tell him that he had shed extinguishing drops |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| done, he eaid, referring to the Testimony |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { of the Rocl } \\ & \text { is done,". } \end{aligned}$ <br> $s_{1}$, on the last day of his life, "It exultation, but in the serene and noble satisfaction of one whose work was firished, and |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| raction of one whose work was inistina anywho saw in that a reward greater than any which man could bestow. And what was |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ted? He believed he had exhibited more plainly than had been done before, the harmony between the word and works of God; |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| and it is our solemn conviction, that excluding the express historical evidence of the New Testament facts, no argument for the |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| inspiratiod,of Scripture is more express, distinct, or irresistible, than that to which the |  |  |
| points the way. It is an argument, which may be said, with hardly any figure of |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| speech, to convert faith into sight. Already it appears to us, to be sufficient to convince any rea onable man we say not of the exis- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| erally and demonitrably equal ato the the ring |  |  |
| of one from the dead. The Christian apologist is already able by Hugh Miller's theo- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ence, or the first chapter of Genesis was |  |  |
| Not the slightest intimation exists that Moses scientifically understood what he wasmade the instrument of revealing, and it is |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| certain that no succeeding generation till the prosent, could scientifically explain his |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| writings. The proposition on which Hugh tain facts and sequences revealed in the rocks have a correspondence with certain |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| facts and sequences revealed in the first chapter of Genesis, a correspondence soelear and so precise that it caunot possibly |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| supernatural revelation, but in the wisdom of God it was uncomprehen led for many |  |  |
| generaitons, that it is written in characters of perfect definiteness, but in charactersbelonging to an unknown tongue and that |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| belonging to an unknown tonghe and und only, when, at length, the light of sciene is flashed upon the ingeription, only when the lost lauguage is studied and anown, is its meaning plain and unnistakable.- Peter Bayine. $\qquad$ <br> A Lapy visiting a cirl's sehool, asked one of the children, What are yon mationg my little mistress, is it a chemise," "No mam," replied the girl bashfuly, "it's a ma'm," replied the gir pashury, wpa |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |



