

A Weekly Paper Devoted to Literature, Politics, the Arts, Sciences, Agriculture, &c., &c --- Terms: One, Dollar and Fifty Cents in Advance.

BY DAVID OVER.

BEDFORD, PA. FRIDAY, JAN. 10. 1862.

THE MASON AND SLIDELL CASE. Letters of the English Minister and Secretary Seward.

Below will be found the correspondence between the British Minister and Secretary Seward from which it may be inferred that the difficulty between this country and England are amicably settled:-

EARL RUSSELL TO LORD LYONS.

FOREIGN OFFICE, Nov. 30, 1861.

It appears from the letter of Commander the question. The four persons before hand, and, secondly, how they ought to be Williams, dated "Royal Mail Contract Packet were then foreibly taken out of the ship. A Trent, at Sea, November 9," that the Trent at the Commander the question of Great Britain for the present case, what, as in the present case, what are the present c left Havana on the 7th inst, with her Majes- er of the Trent should proceed on board the nations, that whatever is contraband is liable circumstance that the Trent was proceeding and the United States. The title to personal ment, so far as Captain Wilkes is concerned. ty's mails for England, having on board nu- San Jacinto, but he said he would not go un. to capture and confiscation in all eases. ty's mails for England, having on board nu-merous passengere. Commander Williams states that shortly after noon on the 8th a steamer having the appearance of a man of

shell across the bows of the Trent, exploding international law. half a cable's length ahead. The Trent then stopped, and an officer with a large armed pliance with this demand being refu-ed, the lieve that the navel officer who committed this officer said he had orders to arrest Messrs. Max aggreesion was not acting in compliance with organizances, was going to Paris as a pretend, right to capture the same 2 son, Slidell, Maefarland and Eustis, and that he had sure information of their being passcogers in the Treat. While some pattey was going on upon this matter Mr. Slidell stepped forward and told the American officer that the four persons he had named were then standing before him. The Commander of the Trent the act of taking by force out of the Trent these four passengers, then under the protec-tion of the British flag. But the San Jaein-to was at that time only two hundred yards from the Trent, her ship's company at quars ters, her ports open, and tompions out. Re-sistance was therefore out of the operation and they are willing to believe that it could not be the deliberate intention of the Govern-ment of the United States unnecessarily te force into discussion between the two Govern-ments a question of so grave a character, and with regard to which the whole British nation and Commander Williams protested against sistance was therefore out of the question, and the four gentlemen before named were forci, feeling bly taken out of the ship. A further demand was made that the Commander of the Trent should proceed on board the San Jacinto, but

cent voyage an act of violence which was an affront to the British flag and a violation of British protection, and a suitable policy for the

across the Trent's bows, which exploded at tion occurred an insurrection was existing in of war. across the Trent's bows, which exploded at had a collect the United States which this Government was then stopped, and an officer with a large armed guard of marines boarded her. The officer land and naval forces; that in regard to this case the Trent? said he had orders to arrest Messrs. Mason, domestic strife the United States considered in regard to this search the Trent? Slidell, Maefarland and Eustis, and had sure Great Britain as a friendly Power, while she contract or merchant vessel -- a common carrier ing, and binds neither the beligerent State and incouvenience, as well as disappointment,

said to the American officer that the four per-sens he had named were standing before hun. It has been settled by correspondence that within the latter class. Whatever disputes have really determined, if at all; by diplomatic ar-crew in the prize, and suffered her to proceed The Commander of the Trent and Command- the United States and Great Britain mutually in time of poace, none, it is supposed, has cx-intract steamer Trent. It appears from the letter of Commander the quession. The four persons before named

steamer having the appearance of a man of war, but not showing colors, was observed shead. On nearing her at 1.15 P. M. she chead. On neating her at 1.15 P. M. she fired a round shot from her pivot gun across the bows of the Trent, and showed American colors. While the Trent was approaching colors. While the Trent was approaching colors. While the Trent was approaching colors was proceeding to English flag and a violation of the bows of the Trent was approaching colors. While the Trent was approaching colors to the British flag and a violation of the bows of the Trent was approaching the bows of the Trent was approaching to the affected character of a Minister Pien-toter to the Court of St. James, under a to the flag and a violation of to the flag and a violation of the bows of the Trent was approaching to the affected character of St. James, under a to the flag and a violation of the bows of the Trent was approaching to the affected character of St. James, under a to the flag and a violation of the bows of the Trent the the statement of the court of St. James, under a to the flag and a violation of the bows of the Trent the the statement of the court of St. James, under a to the flag and a violation of the statement of the court of St. James, under a to the flag and a violation of the statement of colors. While the Trent was approaching her slowly the American vessel discharged a her slowly the American vessel discharged a Earl Russel next says that Her Majesty's who had assumed to be President of the insur- ready passed away before the modifications of exists, nor has any other yet been suggested the mind of Capt. Wilker and determine him Government, bearing in mind the friendly re-lations which have existed between Great Bria J. Maefarland was going with him in a like un-I proceed to the fourth icquiry, namely :guard of marines boarded her. The officer lations which have existed between Great Bris demanded a list of the passengers; and, com-

lief, that the owner and agent, and all the offi- law of nations ?

lieve that the savat offiser who committed this aggreesion was not acting in compliance with any authority from his Government, or that, if he conceived himself to be so authorized, he greatly misunderstood the instructions which he had received. Earl Russell argues that the United States must be fully aware that the British Govern-ment could not allow such an affront to the national honor to pass without fall reparation and they are willing to believe that it could not be the deliberate intertion of the Govern-ment of the Hanted States unnecessaril the law known as despatches. We are informed by our Consol at Paristhat these des-

Williams, had knowledge of the assumed char-acters and purposes of the persons before nam-hations prescribes for disposing of the contra-ligerent right of search were universally res-only, that the release of the Trent, if volunpelled likewise, and this demand was not in-sted upon. It thas appears that certain individuals have 'teen forcibly taken from the birish dividuals have 'teen forcibly taken from the birish attor, namely, the such vessel, the ship of a neutral Power, while web vessel was a mention and the web taken from the birtish attor, namely, the interview of the central of the contral of t vessel, the ship of a neutral Power, while such vessel was purening a lawfal and inno-cent voyage—an act of violence which was an affront to the British flag and a violation of by Capt. Wilkes to arrest and capture a nea- baud and capture. So, again, you would Russell finally instructs you to propose those tral vessel engaged in carrying contraband of promptic find the same answer if the question the friendly relations which have long subsist. ed between Great Britain and the United on the part of the Government. The question before us is whether this pro-ceeding was anthorized by and sonducted as. contraband if it be property or things of ma-But the question here concerns the mode of 1st. Were the persons named and their sup- procedure in regard, not to the vessel that was thority from his Government, or that if he fured, what it is now my duty to state, that conceived himself to be so authorized, he great-Capt. Wilkes, in conceiving and executing the contraband of war ! carrying the contraband, nor jet contraband of war ! carrying the carrying the contraband of war ! carrying the carryi carrying the contraband, nor yet contraband

While the Trent was approaching slowly to- the way most satisfactory to this Government. sons who were taken from the Trent by Capt. of the paramount public one, and possibly it Capt. Wilkes has presented to this Government wards the San Jacinto she discharged a shell These facts are that at the time the transac- Wilkes, and their despatches, were contraband and max make the fortunes, the safety, or the existence of a nation depend on the assidents of forbore to seize her," he says, "in consequence information that they were passengers in the for hire. While some parley was going on up-on this matter, Mr. Slidell stepped forward said to the American officer that the four per-tail to the American officer that the four per-

I assume, in the present case, what, as I deficiencies are found in every system of mu. and, secondly, how they ought to be expected from a neutral port to another neutral port does property can hardly ever be received by a It could not desire that the San Jacinto, her

that the question must be determined by the festly, however, did not occur to him that becaptor biaself, on the deak of the prize vessel. Sond the saerifice of the private interests (as course. The captor is armed, the nuetral is unsamed. The captor is interested, prejudi ced, and perhaps violent; the neutral, if truly neutral, is disinterested, sub lued, and helpless. tound by no legal, moral, or treaty obligation less it did upon him. Its present convictions pers are interesting the law known as despitches, we are incomin forcened, out the vessel, which is the informed by our Consult at Paristhat these des-patches, having escaped the search of the Trent, tainted, also becomes contraband, and is sub-patches, having escaped the search of the Trent, tainted, also becomes contraband, and is sub-cere actually conveyed and delivered to the jestice. Only the fath question remains, namely :--Although it is not essential, yet it is proper to Although it is not essential, yet it is proper to

Williams, had knowledge of the assumed char-I think all unprejudiced minds will agree cise of his benevolence is past, recall or modi-I think all unprejudiced minds will agree that, imperfect as the existing judicial remedy We are thus brought directly to the question may be supposed to be, it would be, as a general practice, better to fellow it than to adopt the summary one of leaving the decision with the captor, and relying upon diplomatic debates to review his decision. Practically, it is a question of choice between law, with its imperections and delays, and war, with its evils and desolations. Nor is it ever to be forgotton that neutrality, honestly and justly preserved, is always the harbinger of peece, and therefore is the common interest of nations, which is only saying that it is the interess of humanity At the same time it is not to be denied that may sometimes happen that the judicial remedy will become impossible, as by the ship-wreck of the prize vessel," or other circumstances which excuse the captor from sending or taking her into port for confiscation. In such a case the right of the captor to the custody of the captured persons and to dispose of them, if they are really contraband, so as to defeat their unlawful purposes, cannot reasonably be denied. What rule shall be applied in such a case? Clearly, the captor ought to be required to show that the failure of the judicial remedy results from circumstances beyond his control. and without his fault. Otherwise he would be allowed to derive advantage from a wrongful

VOL. 35. NO. 2.

crew in the prize, and suffered her to proceed One may well express his surprise when after the detention necessary to effect the trans-

The reasons are satisfactory to this Govern-

If there be no judicial remedy the result is des which this decision would cost. It mani-Very grave objections arise against such a he calls them) of his officers and crew, there The tribunal is irresponsible, while its judge-ment is carried into instant exacution. The captured party is compelled to submit, though

international law.

Her Mejesty's Government, bearing in mind States, are willing to believe that the United States naval officer who committed the aggress

ing. Her Majesty's Government, therefore, trust British nation. It is true the British protection, and a suitable apology for a signal. the aggression which has been committed.

Seward you will propose them to him.

You are at liberty to read this dispatch to the Secretary of Ctate, and, it, you will give him a copy of it. RUSSELL. the Secretary of State, and, if he shall desire

MR. SEWARD TO LORD LYONS.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, WASHINGTON, Dec. 25, 1861. The right honorable Lord Lyons, &c., &c., &c. My Lord-Earl Russell's despatch of Noeffoot, namely:

That a letter of Commander Williams, day | sary to satisfy the parties concerned that refuleft Hayana on the 7th of November, with of the Trent was not at any time or in any Her Majesty's mails for England, having on board numerous passengers. Shortly after acon, on the Sth of November, the United States war steamer San Jacinto, Captain Wilkes, not showing colors, was observed ahead.

ssion which has been con

This despatch has been submitted to the

ment of the United States unnecessarily to

would be sure to entertain such unanimity of

Earl Russell, rosting upon the statement

and the argument which I have thus recited, closes with saying that Her Majesty's Govern-

President sion was not acting in compliance with an au-thority from his Government, or that if he tured, what it is now my duty to state, that ly misunderstood the instructions which he proceeding in question, acted upon his own had received. For the Government of the suggestions of duty, without any direction or United States must be fully aware that the instruction, or even foreknowledge of it on the British Government could not allow such an part of this Government. No directions had affront to the national honor to pass without been given to him or any other naval officer, to full reparation, and Her Majesty's Govern-ment are unwilling to believe that it could be on the Trent, or on any other British ressel, or the deliberate intention of the Government of ou any other neutral vessel, at the place where the United States unnecessarily to force into is occurred or elsewhere. The British Governdiscussion, between the two Governments, . ment will justly infer from these facts that the equestion of so grave a character, and with re- United States not only had no purpose, but gard to which the whele British nation would even no thought of forcing into discussion the be sure to entertain such unanimity of feel- question which has srisen, or any which could affect in any way the sensibilities of the

that when this matter shall have been brought | It is true that a round shot was fired by the under the consideration of the Government of San Jacinto from her pivot gau when the Trent the United States that Government will, of its was distintly approaching. But, as the facts own accord, offer to the British Government have been reported to this Government, the such redress as alone could satisfy the British shot was nevertheless intertionally fired in nation, namely, the liberation of the four gen- a direction so obviously divergent from the tlemen and their delivery to your Lordship, in course of the Trent as to be quite as harmless order that they may again be placed under as a blank shot, while it should be regarded as

So also we learn that the Trent was not ap. Should these terms not be offered by Mr. proaching the San Jacinto slowly when the shell was fired across her bows, but, on the contrary, the Trent was, or secmed to be, moving under a full head of steam, as if with a

purpose to pass the San Jacinto. We are informed also that the boarding officer (Lieutenant Fairfax) did not board the Trent with a large armed guard bat he left his marines in his boat when he entered the Trent. He stated his instructions from Capt. Wilkes to search for the four persons named, in a res-pectful and conrecous though decided manner, and he asked the Captain of the Trent to show vember the 30th, a copy of which you have bis passenger list, which was refused. The left with me at my request, is of the following Lieutenant, as we are informed, did not employ absolute force in transferring the passen gers, but he used just so much as was neces-

ted Royal Mail Contract Packet boat Trent, at sea, November 2th, states that that vessel Bo, also, we are informed that the Captain

way required to go on board the San Jacinto by Commander Williams are based upon our ance to the enemy that such persons shall be official reports.

Treat, at one c'olock lifteen minutes in the Russell, with the very proper and becoming for a purpose so intimately connected with the afterneon, fired a round shot from a pivot gun motive of allowing them to be brought into hostile operations."

cerding to the law of nations. It involves the terial or pecuniary value ?

fullowing inquires :

search the Trent for these contraband persons | sel, but to contraband persons. and despatches ?

proper manuer ?

on board and in presumed possession of the or courier from proceeding in his unlawful voy-contrat and deepatches, had be a right to capture the persons?

the manner allowed and recognized by the law | not be contraband. He, therefore, has a right of nations?

affirmative the British Government will have is bound to protect hum if he is not contraband, no claim for reparation.

supposed dispatches, contraband?

trary to proclamation, prohibited, illegal, un- and the belligerent State are equals.

lawful.

demnation.

A subtlety might be raised whether pretende ed ministers of an usurping power, but recog-nized as legal by either the belligerent or neu-tral, could be held to bo contraband. But it would disappear on being subjected to what is the true test in all cases-namely, the spirit of the law. Sir William Scott, speaking of ed as contraband, savs :

"It appears to mo on principle to be but

The books of law are dumb. Yet the ques-3d. Did he exercise the right in a lawfel, tion is as important as it is difficult. First, the belligerent captor has a right to prevent 4th. Having found the contraband persons the contraband officer, soldier, sailor, minister, jurious service. But, on the other hand, the

5th. Did he exercise that right of capture in person captured may be innocent-that he may f nations? If all these inquiries shall be resolved in the The neutral State has taken him under its flag, and is therefore entitled to be satisfied upon

I address myself to the first inquiry, name- that important question. The faith of that ly, were the four persons mentioned, and their State is pledged to his safety, if innecent, as its justice is piedged to his surrender if he is

Maritime law so generally deals, as its pro-fessors say, in rem. that is, with property, and claims, involving personal liberty, life, honor, so seldom with persons, that it seems a strain. and duty. Here are conflicting national claims ng of the term contraband to apply it to them. involving welfare, safety, houer and empire .-But persons, as well as property, may be con- They require a tribunal and a trial. The captraband, since the word means broadly "con- tors and the captured are equals; the neutral set of his own.

All writers and judges pronounce naval or it was suggested at an early day by this Gov- of the Trent in what seems to us a perfectly military persons in the service of the enemy erament that you should take the captured contraband. Vattel says war allows us to cut persons into a convenient port and institute juoff from an enemy all his resources, and to hin- dicial proceedings there to try the controversy. der him from sonding ministers to solicit as-sistance. And Sir William Scott says you in maritume cases, and these courts have formay stop the ambassador of your enemy on his mulas to try only claims to contraband perpassage. Dispatches are not less clearly con- sons. The courts can entertain no proceed-

It was replied all this is true; but you can

In the present case, Capt. Wilkes, after cap-While the law authorities were found silent | turing the contraband persons and making prize lawful manner, instead of sending her into action of the British Government ? port, released her from the capture, and perher voyage. He thus effectually prevented the have occurred.

If now, the capture of thescontraband pertraband, and the bearers or couriers who under-take to carry them fall under the same con-against the alleged contraband men. against the alleged contraband men. are to be regarded, not as two separate or dis-fused to accept the release upon that conditinet transactions under the law of nations, but tion.

It was replied all this is true; but you can blue transactions under the law of instons, but thou. reach in those courts a decision which will as one transaction, one capture only, then it But the case is not one with them, but with have the moral weight of a judicial one by a follows that the capture in this case was left the British Government. If we claim that circuitons proceeding. Convey the suspected unfinished or abandoned. Whether the Uni-men, together with the suspected vessel, into the States have a right to retain the chief pub-ted States have a right to retain the chief pubport, and try there the question whether the lie benefits of it, namely the custody of the leased the offending vessel cut of consideration vessel is contraband. You can prove it to be captured persons on proving them to be centra- for her more and passengers, I do not see how so by proving the suspected men to be centra- band, will depend upon the preliminary ques- she is to be bound to acquiesce in the decision civil magistrates who were arrested and detain- band, and the coart must then determine the tion whether the leaving of the transaction un- which was thus made by us without necessity vessel to be contraband. If the men are not finished was necessary, or whether it was un-contraband the vessel will escape condemna- necessary and therefore voluntary. If it was conditions or consent on her own. The ques-These modifications of the case as presented by Commander Williams are based upon our fiftial reports. I have now to remind your Lordship of some at one o'clock lifteen minutes in the at one of allowing them to be brought into be brought of raising such a question in afterneon, fired a round shot from a pivot gun motive of allowing them to be brought into hostile operations."

whether we are entitled to regard the release of the Trent as involuntary, or whether we are obliged to consider that it was voluntary. Clearly the release would have been involuntary had it been made solely upon the first ground assigned for it by Capt. Wilkes, namely, a want of a sufficient force to send the prize vessel into port for adjudication. It is not the duty of a captor to hezzard his own vessel in order to secure a judicial examination to the ceptured party. No large prize crew, howeve er, is legally necessary, for it is the duty of the captured party to acquiesce and go willing-lingly before the tribunal to whose jurisdiction it appeals. If the captured party indicate purposes to employ means of resistance which the captor cannot with probable safety to himself overcome he may properly leave the ves-sel to go forward; and neither she nor the State she represents can afterwards justly object that the capture deprived her of the judicial remedy to which she was entitled.

But the second reason assigned by Usptain Wilkes for releasing the Trent differs from the first. At best, therefore, it must be held that Capt. Wilkes, as he explains himself, acted from combined sentiments of prudence and generosity, and so that the release of the prize vessel was not strictly necessary or involuntary.

Secondly. How ought we expect these explanations by Capt. Wilkes of his reasons for leaving the capture incomplete to affect the

The observation upon this point which first mitted her to proceed with her whole cargo on occurs is, that Capt. Wilkes' explanations were not made to the authorities of the captured judicial examination which otherwise might vessels. If made known to them they might have approved and taken the release upon the

condition of waiving a judicial investigation of