

Mr. Ames was also in favor of the motion: He thought confining the selections for those companies to the particular period mentioned, would deprive us of the services of many of the soldiers of the late continental army, whose knowledge and experience would be highly useful. He suggested difficulties which would result from collecting the persons of the above age, from different parts of the country, in order to forming them into such companies.

Mr. Sturges observed, that he thought a simple regulation, that all the militia should be called out so many times a year would be sufficient: This general plan would leave the several independent corps as they now are—and these particular companies would be selected as heretofore.

Mr. Partridge was opposed to the amendment. The object of the bill being to discipline the militia, it seemed to follow of course, that persons of an age, the most likely to learn, should not be excepted.

Mr. Giles said, that gentlemen did not appear to take a comprehensive view of the bill: One object of which is to establish a military school. In order to this the bill proposes that persons should commence their military course at an early age. If such persons are called upon oftener than those of more than 25 years, it does not follow that it is unequal—their military knowledge must be supposed to be less. He obviated the objection of the gentleman from New-York, by saying that as military knowledge is necessary for all, it follows, that young persons of every size may be trained in one or other of these companies.

Mr. Fitzsimons still supported his motion, and said the difficulty started by the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Ames] arising from local circumstances, had not been obviated.

Mr. Boudinot said the difficulty, on account of manufacturers, had not escaped the committee; but it could not be avoided without destroying a principal feature of the bill. He said the objection might however be in some measure lessened, by altering the clause from 18 to 20.

The question being taken, it passed in the affirmative.

Mr. Smith's (S. C.) proviso, respecting independent corps, was read: It provided that companies in the respective States, incorporated by the Legislatures, should not be disbanded or included in the militia, but retain their former station.

Mr. Giles said he was disposed to think this proviso more extensive than gentlemen imagine: It may in its operation exempt all the companies in the United States. The expression is so general, and indefinite, that it may not answer the purpose intended, as those companies are known by different denominations—while it may be productive of great difficulties from its want of precision.

Mr. Ames gave an account of the several independent companies in Massachusetts, particularly that known by the name of the Ancient and Honourable Artillery—a company which possessed funds, and had for many years been in possession of a charter from government: It had been considered as a military school for a long time—it is composed of Generals, Colonels, and inferior officers, and other respectable persons. This, with other independent companies, rendered essential services in the time of the insurrection in that state; and they prove, by their example, a stimulus to the militia—they have incurred great expences to equip themselves, and it is supposed merit the privileges and distinctions they have long enjoyed.

Mr. Sturges observed that the proviso was defective, as it does not point out the duties which these companies ought to perform.

Mr. Huntington said these companies were, he believed, under the orders of the regimental colonels; at least that was the case in some of the States.

Mr. Seney moved, that as there were independent companies who are not incorporated, it would be proper to strike out the words *incorporated by the acts of the several States*. He said, if this proviso should pass without his amendment, it would give exclusive privileges to particular companies who happen to be incorporated—while the same privileges will not be extended to other companies, equally meritorious, who do not happen to be incorporated.

Mr. Smith's (S. C.) motion being put, was negatived.

The section which provides that the militia shall turn out four times a year in companies, Mr. Hartley objected to. He said it would be too frequent. He did not consider the militia as a military school—and such frequent assemblings of the people had a tendency to dissipate the manners of the people, especially youth. He moved that the clause should be altered, so that companies and battalions should be obliged to turn out only twice a year.

Mr. Wadsworth suggested an alteration in the amendment, that the clause should be altered to read once a year in battalion, and four times in companies.

Mr. Gilman moved another amendment, that

the militia should turn out in companies three times, and once in battalion.

Mr. Jackson regretted that one principle of the bill was struck out, respecting light-infantry companies. He did not suppose (that though we are obliged to have some standing troops) we were to depend upon them. He should regret the time when this country would depend on a standing army. He enlarged on the importance of disciplining the militia: This, said he, is consistent with the strictest principles of republicanism. He believed, the preservation of liberty very much depended on a good militia: He thought four times a year would not be too burthenome, and he was pretty sure it was little enough to answer any essential purpose.

Mr. Sherman was in favour of four times in companies at least, and in battalion as might be found convenient.

Mr. Wadsworth observed, that less than four times would answer no purpose at all. Indeed, it is said, nothing is to be expected; if that is the case, let us give up all thoughts of a militia-bill—but what then becomes of your national defence?

Mr. Hartley's motion was lost.

Mr. Sherman moved that the clause be amended, to read, that regiments turn out once a year—Carried.

On motion of Mr. Wadsworth, the times of rendezvousing in regiments and companies is to be regulated by the officer commanding the brigade.

The clause which provides for a Commissary of Military Stores for each State, Mr. Parker moved should be struck out. He said the several States are competent to taking care of their own military property.—This motion was agreed to.

Mr. Wadsworth moved that the Adjutant Gen. should have the rank of Brigadier, instead of Lieut. Col. as proposed by the bill.

Mr. Sherman observed, that according to the last regulations of the army, no staff officer was to have any rank.

Mr. Wadsworth replied, that the regulation which the gentleman had mentioned, respected staff officers only who never have any command—but an officer of such importance as the Adjutant General, on whom so much depended, and who might be invested with a very important command, he conceived ought to rank higher than a Lieut. Col.—This motion was adopted.

*Adjourned.*

TUESDAY, Dec. 21.

*Militia Bill still under consideration.*

The 9th, 10th and 11 sections were read.

Mr. Bloodworth said, that in his opinion the house had entered too much into the minutiae of the business, and in a great measure were about depriving the states of the power granted to them by the constitution. The general government ought only to organize the militia, and direct the mode of discipline. The militia, he observed, was only under the direction of the general government when called out in the actual service of the United States; the different states had the appointment of officers and the right of training them: but owing to the many particulars attended to in the bill, he could see but little room left to the states for the exercise of their power. He thought that endeavouring to establish a perfect uniformity in fines, would render that part of the system very defective; as the same fine might be justly complained of as heavy in one part of the country, and at the same time be considered so trifling in another part as to render it ineffectual; he therefore wished that this part of the business be left to the states to perform. He moved for striking out a number of clauses, containing several of the particulars he objected to,—not carried.

The 12th, 13th and 14th sections were read. The two first passed without alteration; the third was struck out.

Mr. Madison said, he conceived it would be necessary to pass a law, authorising the President of the United States to call out the militia, as the constitution only says, that he shall be commander in chief of the militia when in the service of the United States, without giving him the power of ordering it out.

Mr. Fitzsimons wished a clause inserted in the bill, granting the President that power.

Mr. Boudinot conceived it was not the intention of the constitution that he should be possessed of such a power. It could only be granted to him by a special act of Congress.

Mr. Smith read a law passed last session, and still in force, giving him that authority.

The 16th section (providing penalties for those not performing militia duty, and pointing out exemptions) being read,

Mr. Sherman moved to have it struck out. It was, he said, an absolute poll tax, and not levied according to the number of inhabitants, which was in violation of the constitution.

Mr. Burke said it was contrary to the interest of the militia to establish so many exemptions as had been provided. He gave notice, that when the report came before the house, he would move for their reduction, and give his reasons fully.

It was contrary to the constitution, he also observed, to lay a tax upon certain classes of citizens; it was not consonant with the principles of justice to make those conscientiously scrupulous of bearing arms pay for not acting against the voice of their conscience. This, he said, was called the land of liberty, in it, we boasted, that no one suffered on account of his conscientious scruples—and yet we are going to make a respectable class of citizens pay for a right to a free exercise of their religious principles: It was contrary to the constitution—it was contrary to that sound policy which ought to direct the house in establishing the militia.

Mr. Jackson said he certainly should oppose the principle started by the gentleman last up. Who was to know, he asked, what persons were really conscientiously scrupulous? There was no tribunal erected to make them swear to their scruples. If the principle was adopted, he conceived, very few would be found, if their own word was to be taken, not conscientiously scrupulous. There are, he said, other sects, besides the society of Quakers, averse to bearing arms. If the principle was adopted of requiring no compensation from the exempted, it was laying the axe to the root of the militia, and, in his opinion, the bill might as well be postponed altogether. He did not chuse to enter into the subject fully at this time: He would wait until the bill came before the house.

The 17th section (providing inspectors of the militia) was read.

Mr. Seney said, Maryland he thought should have two inspectors, instead of one, as provided by the section: That state, he observed, was divided by a wide and sometimes dangerous bay, which could not at all times be crossed. Two inspectors were agreed to for Maryland, one to reside on the eastern, the other on the western shore.

Mr. Lawrence saw an impropriety in providing the same allowance for all the inspectors, without regard to the quantum of duty to be performed. The duty, he observed, of an inspector in the State of Rhode-Island, could not be near so great as that of the inspector in the State of New-York. He moved that their different salaries be fixed and specified in the bill.

It was agreed; and the blanks left to be filled with such sums as shall be deemed proper, when the house shall take that part of the bill into consideration.

Mr. Sherman was of opinion that some of the duties, by this section to devolve on inspectors, ought to be left to the States to exercise. Their duty should be confined to superintending the exercise and manœuvres.

Mr. Bloodworth was averse to appointing an officer to be directed by state laws. He should be appointed, said he, by the state.

Mr. Wadsworth said, in his opinion, he ought to be a continental officer, and conduct himself in his office in conformity to the laws passed by the States.

Mr. Smith moved that that clause which leaves the appointment of this officer to the president be struck out, and that it only be specified that such an officer shall be appointed.

Mr. Boudinot considered this officer as appointed to assist the President: It was necessary that the commander in chief should be acquainted with the state of the militia, throughout the continent; it was impossible for him to gather this information without assistance, the officer was appointed for that purpose, he should be considered as a continental officer, and as such was to be paid by the general government.

Mr. Smith said, if his motion prevailed of having this officer appointed by the states he would also move that his salary be paid by them. He was to all intents and purposes, a militia officer, and as such was in the appointment of the States.

Mr. Lawrence wished the clause struck out, and the power of the duty of inspector left to the adjutant-general: In New-York this is the case.

Mr. Boudinot said, he thought the duty too great, and the salary such an officer would require more than the states would consent to give, the officer would not be appointed, and the President could not receive the necessary information. The inspector was not a militia officer; but appointed to collect the information the President should want, for the benefit of the union.

Mr. Fitzsimons gave it as his opinion, that the officer should be under the appointment of the President.

Mr. Sherman said, there appeared to be a distrust of this inspector, unless appointed by the President; he thought there could be no just foundation for entertaining this opinion, if he should be appointed by the states. He was certainly appointed for the good of the union; but if the several states did pay his salary, the expence would in the end devolve on the United States.

It was agreed to leave the appointment to the states.

Mr. Stone moved that the clause giving to inspectors the rank of lieut. col. be struck out. He observed, that since the appointment of those officers was left to the states, the house could not with propriety fix the rank.