

States generally. Under the circumstances, I am constrained to consider the attack upon her as unjustifiable, and as calling for satisfaction from the Paraguayan government.

Citizens of the United States, who have established a business in Paraguay, have had their property seized and taken from them, and have otherwise been treated by the authorities in an insulting and arbitrary manner, which requires redress. A demand for these purposes will be made in a firm but conciliatory spirit. This will be more probably granted if the Executive shall have authority to use other means in the event of a refusal. This is accordingly recommended.

It is unnecessary to state in detail the alarming condition of the Territory of Kansas at the time of my inauguration. The opposing parties then stood in hostile array against each other, and any incident might have rekindled the flames of civil war. Besides, at this critical moment, Kansas was left without a Governor by the resignation of Gov. Geary.

On the 19th of February, previous to the territorial legislature had passed a law providing for the election of delegates on the 31st of March of the following year, for the purpose of framing a constitution, preparatory to admission into the Union. This law was the main fair and just; and it is to be regretted that all the qualified electors had not registered themselves and voted under its provisions.

At the time of the election of delegates, an extensive organization existed in the Territory, whose avowed object was, if need be, to put down the lawful government by force, and to establish a government of their own under the so-called Topeka Constitution. The persons attached to this revolutionary organization abstained from taking any part in the election.

The act of the territorial legislature had committed to provide for submitting to the people the constitution which might be framed by the convention; and in the excited state of public feeling throughout Kansas, an apprehension extensively prevailed that design existed to force upon them a constitution in relation to slavery, against their will. In this emergency it became my duty, as it was my unquestionable right, having in view the union of all good citizens in support of the territorial laws, to express an opinion on the true construction of the provisions concerning slavery contained in the organic act of Congress of the 30th of May, 1854. Congress declared it to be "the true intent and meaning of this act not to legislate slavery into any Territory or State, nor to exclude it therefrom, but to leave the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way."

Under the Kansas act, when admitted as a state, was to be received into the Union, with or without slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission. Did Congress mean by this language that the delegates elected to frame a constitution should have authority finally to decide the question of slavery, or did they not to legislate slavery into any Territory by a direct vote? On this point, I have never entertained a serious doubt, and, therefore, in my instructions to Gov. Walker of the 28th March last, I merely said that when "a constitution shall be submitted to the people of the Territory, they must be protected in the exercise of their right of voting for or against that instrument, and the fair expression of the popular will must not be interrupted by fraud or violence."

In expressing this opinion it was far from my intention to interfere with the decision of the people of Kansas, either for or against slavery. From this I have always carefully abstained. Entrusted with the duty of taking care that the laws be faithfully executed, my only desire was that the people of Kansas should furnish to Congress the evidence required by the organic act, whether for or against slavery; and in this manner smooth their passage into the Union. In emerging from the condition of territorial dependence into that of a sovereign State, it was their duty in my opinion, to make known their will by the votes of the majority, on the direct question whether this important domestic institution should or should not continue to exist. Indeed, this was the only possible mode in which their will could be authentically ascertained.

The election of delegates to a convention must necessarily take place in separate districts. From this it may readily be seen, as has often been the case, that a majority of the people of a State or Territory are on one side of a question, whilst a majority of the representatives from the several districts into which it is divided may be upon the other side. This arises from the fact that in some districts delegates may be elected by small majorities, whilst in others these of different sentiments may receive majorities sufficiently great not only to overcome the votes given for the former, but to leave a large majority of the whole people in direct opposition to a majority of the delegates. Besides, our history proves that influences may be brought to bear on the representative sufficiently powerful to induce him to disregard the will of his constituents.

The truth is, that no other authentic and authoritative mode exists of ascertaining the will of a majority of the people of any State or Territory on an important and exciting question like that of slavery in Kansas, except by leaving it to a direct vote. Now, wise, then, was it for Congress to pass over all subordinate and intermediate agencies, and proceed directly to the source of all legitimate power under our institutions.

How vain would any other principle prove in practice? This may be illustrated by the case of Kansas. Should she be admitted into the Union with a Constitution either maintaining or abolishing slavery, against the sentiment of the people, this could have no other effect than to continue and to exasperate the existing agitation during the brief period required to make the Constitution conform to the irresistible will of the majority.

they would cheerfully submit the question of slavery to the decision of the bona fide people of Kansas, without any restriction or qualification whatever. All were cordially united upon the great doctrine of popular sovereignty, which is the vital principle of our free institutions. Had it then been admitted from any quarter that it would be a sufficient compliance with the requisitions for the organic law for the members of a convention, hereafter to be elected, to withhold the question of slavery from the people, and to substitute their own will for that of a legally ascertained majority of their constituents, this would have been instantly rejected. Every where, it remained true to the resolutions adopted on a celebrated occasion recognizing the right of the people of all Territories, including Kansas and Nebraska, to elect their own legislatures, and fairly express will of a majority of actual residents, and whenever the number of their inhabitants justifies it, to form a Constitution, with or without slavery, and to be admitted into the Union on terms of perfect equality with the other States."

The convention to frame a constitution for Kansas met on the first Monday of September last. They were called together by virtue of an act of the territorial legislature, whose lawful existence had been recognized by Congress in different forms and by different enactments. A large proportion of the citizens of Kansas did not think proper to register their names and to vote at the election, for delegates; but an opportunity to do this having been fairly afforded, their refusal to avail themselves of their right could in no manner affect the legality of the convention.

The convention proceeded to frame a constitution for Kansas, and finally adjourned on the 7th day of November. But little difficulty occurred in the convention, except on the subject of slavery. The truths that the general provisions of our recent State constitutions are so similar—and I may add, so excellent—that the difference between them is not essential. Under the earlier practices of the Government, no constitution framed by the convention of a Territory preparatory to its admission into the Union as a State had been submitted to the people. In this respect, the example set by the last Congress, requiring that the Constitution of Minnesota should be subject to the approval and ratification of the people of the proposed State, may be followed on future occasions. I took it for granted that the convention of Kansas would act in accordance with this example, and, as it is, on correct principles, and hence my instructions to Governor Walker, in favor of submitting the constitution to the people, were expressed in general and unqualified terms.

In the Kansas-Nebraska act, however, this requirement, as applicable to the whole constitution, had not been inserted, and the convention was not bound by its terms to submit any other portion of the instrument to a direct vote, except that which related to the domestic institution of slavery. This will be recalled, either by a simple reference to its language, or by a resort to legislative history. It was not to legislate slavery into any Territory, nor to exclude it therefrom, but to leave the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way."

According to the plain construction of the sentence, the words "domestic institutions" have a direct as well as an appropriate reference to slavery. "Domestic institutions are limited to the family. The relation between master and slave and a few others are domestic institutions," and are entirely distinct from institutions of a political character. Besides, there was no question then before Congress, or indeed has there since been any serious question before the people of Kansas of the country, except that which relates to the "domestic institution" of slavery.

The convention, after an open and excited debate, finally determined by a majority of only five, to submit the question of slavery to the people, though at the last forty-three of the fifty delegates present affixed their signatures to the constitution. A large majority of the Convention were in favor of establishing slavery in Kansas. They accordingly inserted an article in the Constitution by this purpose, similar in form to those which had been adopted by the other Territorial Conventions. In the schedule, however, providing for the transition from a Territorial to a State government, the question has been fully and explicitly referred to the people, whether they will have a constitution "with or without slavery." It declares that, before the constitution adopted by the convention shall be sent to Congress for admission into the Union as a State, an election shall be held to decide this question, at which all the white male inhabitants of the Territory above the age of 21 are entitled to vote. They are to vote by ballot, and "the ballots cast at said election shall be endorsed with 'no slavery.'" If there be a majority in favor of the "constitution with slavery," then it is to be transmitted to Congress by the President of the Convention in its original form. If, on the contrary, there shall be a majority in favor of the "constitution with no slavery," then the article providing for slavery shall be stricken from the constitution by the President of this Convention; and it is expressly declared that "no slavery shall exist in the State of Kansas, except that the right of property in slaves now in the Territory, shall in no manner be interfered with; and in that event it is made his duty to have the constitution thus ratified transmitted to the Congress of the United States for the admission of the State into the Union.

At this election every citizen will have an opportunity of expressing his opinion by his vote, whether Kansas shall be received into the Union with or without slavery," and thus this exciting question may be peacefully settled in the very mode required by the organic law. The election will be held under legitimate authority, and, if any portion of the inhabitants shall refuse to vote, a fair opportunity to do so having been presented, this will be their own voluntary act, and they alone will be responsible for the consequences.

Whether Kansas shall be a free or slave State must eventually, under some authority, be decided by an election; and the question can never be more clearly or distinctly presented to the people than it is at the present moment. Should this opportunity be rejected, she may be involved for years in domestic discord, and possibly in civil war, before she can again make up the issue, now so fortunately settled, and again reach the point she has already attained.

Kansas has for some years occupied too much of the public attention. It is highly important to direct to her, for many important objects. When such admitted into the Union, whether with or without slavery, will excite passion beyond our own limits will speedily pass away, and she will soon be the first time be left, as she ought to have been long since, to manage her own affairs in her own way. If her constitution on the subject of slavery, or any other subject, be displeasing to a majority of the people, no human power can prevent them from changing it within a brief period. Under these circumstances, it may well be questioned whether the peace and quiet of the whole country are not of greater importance than the mere temporary triumph of either of the political parties in Kansas.

Should the constitution without slavery be adopted by the votes of the majority, the rights of property in slaves now in the Territory are secured. The number of these is very small, but if they were greater the provision would be equally just and reasonable. These slaves were brought into the Territory under the Constitution by the United States and are now the property of their masters.

This point has at length been finally decided by the highest judicial tribunal of the country—and this upon the plain principle that when a confederacy of sovereign States acquires a new territory at its joint expense, both equally and justly demand that the citizens of one and of all of them shall have the right to take into it whatever is recognized as property by the common constitution. To have summarily excepted the property in slaves already in the Territory, would have been an act of gross injustice, and contrary to the practice of the older States of the Union which have abolished slavery.

A territorial government was established for Utah by act of Congress approved the 9th September, 1850, and the Constitution and laws of the United States were thereby extended over it. In the same, or any provisions thereof, may be applicable. This act provided for the appointment by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, of a Governor, who was to be ex-officio separated out of Indian Affairs, a Secretary, three Judges of the Supreme Court, a Marshal, and a District Attorney. Subsequent acts provided for the appointment of the officers necessary to extend our land and our Indian system over the Territory. Brigham Young was appointed the first Governor, on the 20th of September, 1850, and has held the office ever since. Whilst Governor Young has been both Governor and Superintendent of Indian Affairs, throughout this period, he has been at the same time the head of the church called the Latter Day Saints, and professes to govern its members and dispose of their property by direct inspiration and authority from the Almighty. His power has been, therefore, absolute over both Church and State. The people of Utah almost exclusively profess the tenets of this sect, and the Territory by divine appointment, they obey his commands as if those were direct revelations from Heaven. If, therefore, he chooses that his government shall come into collision with the government of the United States, the members of the Mormon church will yield implicit obedience to his will.

Unfortunately, existing facts leave but little doubt that such is his determination. Without entering upon a minute history of occurrences, it is sufficient to say that all the officers of the United States, judicial and executive, with the single exception of two Indian Agents, have found it necessary for their own personal safety to withdraw from the Territory, and thereupon remain in some government in Utah but the despotism of Brigham Young. This being the condition of affairs in the Territory, it is not to be mistaken the path of duty. As Chief Executive Magistrate I was bound to restore the supremacy of the constitution and laws within its limits. In order to effect this purpose, I appointed a new Governor and other federal officers for Utah, and sent with them a military force for their protection, and to aid as a posse comitatus, in case of need, in the execution of the laws.

With the religious opinions of the Mormons, however deplorable in themselves and revolting to the moral and religious sentiments of all Christians, I had no right to interfere. Actions alone, when in violation of the constitution and laws of the United States, become the legitimate subject for the jurisdiction of the civil magistracy. My instructions to Governor Cummins have, therefore, been framed in strict accordance with these principles. At their date a hope was indulged that no necessity might exist for employing the military in restoring and maintaining the authority of the law; but this hope has now vanished. Gov. Young has by proclamation, declared his determination to maintain his power by force, and has already committed acts of hostility against the United States. Unless he should retract his steps, the Territory of Utah will be in a state of open rebellion. He has committed these acts of open hostility, notwithstanding Major Van Vliet, an officer of the army, sent to Utah by the commanding general to purchase provisions for the troops, had given him the strongest assurance of the peaceful intentions of the government, and that the troops would only be employed as a posse comitatus when called on by the civil authority to aid in the execution of the laws.

There is reason to believe that Governor Young has long contemplated this result. He knows that the continuance of his despotic power depends upon the exclusion of all settlers from the Territory except those who will acknowledge his divine mission and implicitly obey his will; and that an enlightened public opinion there would soon prosecute institutions at war with the laws both of God and man. He has, therefore, for several years, in order to maintain his independence, been industriously employed in collecting and fabricating arms and munitions for military service. As Superintendent of Indian Affairs, he has had an opportunity of tampering with the Indian tribes, and exciting their hostile feelings against the United States. This, according to our information, he has accomplished with regard to some of these tribes, while others

have remained true to their allegiance, and have communicated his intrigues to our Indian Agent. He has laid in a store of provisions for three years, which, in case of necessity, as he informed Major Van Vliet, he will conceal and then take to the mountains, and bid defiance to all the powers of the government.

A great part of all this may be idle boasting; but yet no wise government will lightly estimate the efforts which may be inspired by such phrenzied fanaticism as exists among the Mormons in Utah. This is the first rebellion which has existed in our Territories; and humanity itself requires that we should put it down in such a manner that it shall be the last. To trifles with it would be to encourage it and to render it formidable. We ought to go there with such an imposing force as to convince these deluded people that to resist would be vain, and thus spare the effusion of blood. We can in this manner best convince them that we are their friends, not their enemies. In order to accomplish this object it will be necessary, according to the estimate of the War Department, to raise four additional regiments, and this I earnestly recommend to Congress. At the present moment of depression in the revenue of the country I am sorry to be obliged to recommend such a measure; but I feel confident of the support of Congress, and that it will, in suppressing the insurrection and in restoring the sovereignty of the constitution and laws over the Territory of Utah.

I recommend to Congress the establishment of a territorial government over Arizona, incorporating with it such portions of New Mexico as they may deem expedient. I need scarcely adduce arguments in favor of this recommendation. We are bound to protect the lives and property of the citizens inhabiting Arizona, and these are now without efficient protection. Their present number is already considerable, and is rapidly increasing, notwithstanding the disadvantages under which they labor. Besides, the proposed Territory is believed to be rich in mineral and agricultural resources, especially in silver and copper. The people of the United States to California are now carried over it throughout its whole extent, and this route is known to be the nearest and believed to be the best to the Pacific.

Long experience has deeply convinced me that a strict examination of the powers granted to Congress is the only true, as well as the only safe, theory of the constitution. Whilst this principle shall guide my public conduct, I consider it clear that under the war-making power Congress may appropriate money for the construction of a military road through the Territories of the United States, when this is absolutely necessary for the defence of any of the States against foreign invasion. The constitution has conferred upon Congress power to "declare war," "to raise and support armies," "to provide and maintain a navy," and "to call forth the military to suppress insurrections." These high foreign powers necessarily involve important and responsible public duties, and among them there is none so sacred and so imperative as that of preserving our soil from the invasion of a foreign enemy. The constitution has, therefore, left necessary to the United States shall protect each of them [the States] against invasion. Now if a military road over our own territories be indispensably necessary to enable us to meet and repel the invader, it follows as a necessary consequence not only that we possess the power, but it is our imperative duty to construct such a road. It would be an absurdity to invest a government with the unlimited power to make and conduct a road, and at the same time deny to it only the means of reaching and defeating the enemy at the frontier. Without such a road, it is quite evident we cannot protect California and our Pacific possessions against invasion. We cannot by any other means transport men and munitions of war from the Atlantic States in sufficient time successfully to defend those remote and distant portions of the Republic.

Reference has proved that the routes across the Isthmus of Central America are at best a very uncertain and unreliable mode of communication. But even if this were not the case, they could at once be closed against us in the event of war with a naval power so much stronger than our own as to enable it to blockade the parts of their end of these routes. After all, therefore, we can only rely upon a military road through our own Territories, and ever since the origin of the government, Congress has been in the practice of appropriating money from the public treasury for the construction of such roads.

It ought to be observed at the same time that true public economy does not consist in withholding the means necessary to accomplish important national objects intrusted to us by the constitution, and especially such as may be necessary for the common defence. In the present crisis of the country it is our duty to consider appropriations to objects of this character unless in cases where justice to individuals may demand a different course. In all cases ought to be taken that the money granted by Congress shall be faithfully and economically applied.

Under the Federal Constitution every bill which shall have passed the House of Representatives and the Senate shall, before it becomes a law, be approved and signed by the President; and if not approved, he shall return it with his objections to that house in which it originated. An order to perform this high and responsible duty, sufficient time must be allowed the President to read and examine every bill presented to him for approval. Unless this be afforded, the Constitution becomes a dead letter in this particular, and even worse, it becomes a means of deception. Our constituents, seeing the President's approval and signature attached to each act of Congress, are induced to believe that he has actually performed his duty when, in truth, nothing is, in many cases more unfounded.

From the practice of Congress, such an examination of each bill as the constitution requires, has been rendered impossible. The most important business of each session is generally crowded into its last hours, and the alternative presented to the President is either to violate the constitutional duty which he owes to the people and approve bills which, for want of time, it is impossible he should have examined, or by his refusal to do the duty of the country and individuals to great loss and inconvenience.

It is a practice which has grown up of late years to legislate in appropriation bills, as the last hours of the session, in new and important subjects. This practice endangers the President either to suffer measure to become laws which he does not approve, or to incur the risk of stopping the wheels of the government by vetoing unexamined bills. Formerly, such bills were prepared in special committees for the consideration of the President, and the President's signature was not attached to them until he had examined them. This practice, which has been followed since the year 1850, is a most dangerous and unconstitutional one, and it is my duty to call attention to it.

For my own part, I have deliberately determined that I shall approve no bill which I have not examined, and it will be a case of extreme and urgent necessity to depart from this rule. I therefore respectfully, but earnestly, recommend that the two hours previously to the adjournment of each session, in which no bill shall be presented to him for approval, be the existing law, and that the President be authorized in practice, that important bills continue to be presented to him up to the last moment of the session. In a large majority of cases no great public inconvenience can arise from the want of time to examine their provisions, because the constitution has declared that if a bill be presented to the President within the last ten days of the session he is not required to sign it, either with an approval or with a veto, which each shall not be a law. It may then be over, and be taken up and passed at the next session. Great inconveniences would only be experienced in regard to appropriation bills, but fortunately, under the present system, no appropriation bills are presented to the President within the last ten days of the session, and the expense and inconvenience of a called session will be greatly reduced.

I cannot conclude without commending to your favorable consideration the interest of the people of this District. Without a representative on the floor of Congress, they have for this very reason peculiar claims upon our attention. To this I know from my long acquaintance with them they are eminently entitled.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 8, 1857.

It will be well for those who are not buying their River Clothing to make a visit of Mr. Clifton's, at Albany City. His Stock embraces the latest styles of both Men's and Boy's Garments, and from the amount of business done at his Establishment, his prices must be considered favorable.

There are hundreds of remedies sold at every Drug Store, purporting to cure all diseases, nameable, each advertised as the very best ever known, till we had lost all faith in them all, but there is one exception. We speak of Dr. Sanford's Liver and Kidney Pills, which came to us recommended as a cure for Liver Complaints, and all diseases arising from a diseased Liver. The testimonials of so many of our Physicians in its favor, induced us to try it, and we are now convinced that it is one of the greatest blessings ever given to man before the first bottle was taken, and now we can eat anything eatable without trouble, while before nothing but the highest food would digest, and that we had the great gain. Now what we want to say to all our readers is, if Liver Complaints or Dyspepsia trouble you, do not fail to try this the greatest remedy in the world.

Sold by Dr. O. Cunningham Dealer and druggists generally.

Dr. Brentlinger's Fluid Extract of Sarsaparilla and Gamboge, the safest and only cure for Scrofula, Itches on the skin, Rheumatism of the Joints, and all cutaneous diseases. For sale at N. B. Young's, Weaver, Kaiser & Bros., Rochester, N. Y. Asking, Wheeling, Va. Gen'l Agent.