

SOME OF PACKER'S ANECDOTES.

We find these facts in a recent number of the Butler American. They come from one of the best men in the State. Let them be read and pondered.

Can any good reason be given why W. F. Packer, being connected with the public works as Canal Commissioner and other offices, should be elevated to the chief seat of honor in the State? The scrutinizing eye of an intelligent public cannot help to escape. The three years in the Canal Board and others were in the Canal Board in the years 1836-37 and 1838-39—the revenue upon the Portage R. R. was \$454,753.54, and the expenditures during the same time were \$489,224.00, being an excess of revenue of \$35,538.54.

The three years that Wm. F. Packer was Canal Commissioner, viz:—1839-40 and 41—the revenue was \$164,030.51, and the expenditures were \$512,989.07, being an excess of expenditures of \$348,958.56, or all the excess of revenue of 1839, 1840 and 41—the deficit of the years 1839, 40 and 41—was \$489,224.00, and the expenditures during the same time were \$489,224.00, being an excess of revenue of \$35,538.54.

They take three years succeeding Packer's administration: 1842-43 and 44—under W. B. Foster and others, and the revenue for those years amounted to \$101,428.92, and the expenditures to the sum of \$488,804.17, being a difference against Packer's administration of \$587,375.25.

May not the people of Pennsylvania say to Wm. F. Packer, give an account of thy stewardship, for thou hast been no longer steward.

Gen. Packer is a talented man, and an ambitious man. He knew well the power of corrupt politicians, and a desire on his part to propagate their favor was ever in the way of a fearless discharge of duty. He belonged to, and was one of the Cabinet officers of an Administration that was condemned by many of its own party. Many Democratic State Conventions passed resolutions approving Gov. Porter's Administration; an Administration that, at the end of its first term, and after Gov. Porter's election, refused to continue E. R. Shank as Secretary of Commonwealth, and Geo. R. Ely as Auditor General, both of whom occupied high positions in their respective stations as men of capacity and incorrupt integrity. W. F. Packer was, after the act passed making the Canal Commissioner elective, appointed by Gov. Porter, Auditor General.

Thus he was at once transferred from the Canal Board to the head of the Financial Department, and with Ovid F. Johnson, Attorney General, and G. M. Barton, Treasurer, and several of his own party. The very man who was dismissed as unworthy to be Secretary, was, before the close of the administration, nominated and elected Governor of the State, to the deep mortification of the outgoing administration.

Twelve years have rolled by, and death has silenced the many voices of Shank and Miller, Pettiker and Ely; and W. F. Packer is the associate of the Governor in the office of Secretary of the American Confederacy. We much mistake the signs of the times if he is not permitted to retire to private life upon the more honor of a mere nomination. Certain it is, that if the people properly appreciate their own interests, and our opponents will meet fairly the issue, and discuss the same in an honorable and generous spirit, Packer never could receive a majority of the free voters of this Commonwealth; for, verily he has been weighed in the balance and found wanting.

NATURE'S SAFETY VALVES.

BEWARE OF INDOLENT ENGINEERS. Infinite mischief has been done, is done daily, by a class of practitioners who may, with truth, be called medical tinkers. These gentlemen are content to patch up the diseased frame, instead of renovating the system. Their aim is to suppress rather than to eradicate. Professor Holloway belongs to a different school. His lectures are not superficial and temporary, but complete and permanent. His theory of external diseases is founded on reason and analogy. Abscesses, ulcers, and running sores, he looks upon as safety valves, opened by nature, to permit the escape of morbid matter. To suppress the discharge without removing the cause, he would consider as rational as to tie down the valve of a steam boiler while steam was rapidly generating within. As the careful engineer puts out the fire in the furnace when he would stop the production of steam, so Holloway quenches the inflammatory principle which induces suppuration, before he attempts to close the orifice through which the discharge takes place. Hence the extraordinary curative effects of his ointment, ointment, ointment, and ointment of all kinds, recommended by his Ointment and Pills. These exterior affections are the indices of internal corruption, and the effect of the pills is to disintegrate and purify the animal fluids in which corruption exists. The surface carried by the blood vessels to the pores is met and neutralized by the Ointment, and thus the disease is quenched at its source, and at the points where it is developed, at one and the same time. The result is that no relapse occurs, for the poisonous elements being eliminated, there is nothing left, either in the recesses of the system or in the outward integuments, which can rekindle inflammation. The disease is dead, past all recollection. To firm over a virulent ulcer, without destroying its cause, is to throw back inflammation upon the vital organs. The only name that can be given to such treatment is professional homicide. Holloway utterly ignores all Allopathy, and the cures wrought by his remedies are thorough and fundamental.—N. Y. Sunday Mercury.

WASHINGTON CITY ITEMS.

Washington City, Sept. 11.—The President has received Chas. E. Kortright, as Consul for Her Britannic Majesty, for Pennsylvania, to reside in Philadelphia, and Dennis Danahy, as Consul for Her Majesty at Buffalo. The British Consul at New York has not yet asked to be officially recognized.

THE ROCK ISLAND BRIDGE CASE.

Judge McLean opened the U. S. Circuit Court yesterday morning, and the case of the Erie Affair vs. the Rock Island Bridge was taken up.

AMERICAN STATE CONVENTION.

Boton, Sept. 11.—The State Convention of National Americans met here yesterday, and recommended H. J. Gardner for Governor. The American Convention also nominated Mr. Gardner. Both Conventions nominated Alex. De Witt for Secretary of State, and J. W. Clifford for Attorney General.

ELECTION AT LEAVENWORTH.

St. Louis, Sept. 11.—The Municipal election at Leavenworth, Kansas, held on Monday, resulted in the election of the free State ticket by 200 majority. The Convention convened at Leavenworth on the 7th.

FAILURE.

Providence R. I., Sept. 11.—Phillips and Son, and Zabriskie Allen, extensive manufacturers, have failed. Their liabilities of the former firm will exceed \$2,000,000.

THE LAST NEW COMET.

The Boston Advertiser has received information from the observatory at Cambridge, Mass., to the effect that the new comet discovered on the 22d of August, by Mr. Tuttle, of the observatory, will doubtless be visible to the naked eye as soon as the moon ceases to rise until a late hour in the evening.

Wild Lands in Pennsylvania.

The fully of supposing that high prices for wild lands in the Far West can be maintained after the speculative fever is checked, may be seen in the large quantities of fertile lands in the old States, and much nearer the best markets, which are sold at lower prices than have been asked for wild lands in the West, so distant that transportation to market will in all ordinary seasons absorb nearly all the value of the produce. A writer in the Philadelphia Ledger states that in Centre county, Pennsylvania, within a few hours' ride by rail from Philadelphia or New York, are some of the best coal, timber, and tillage lands in the country, and yet there "the deer lies down under shady cover in safety, the wolf howls through the silent and beautiful valley, the scream of the panther is heard in the still night, and nature still claims her solitary reign." In this region, the writer says, there are four veins of coal, averaging from four to seven feet in thickness, the lower vein of coal, and also two strata of fine sand underlying the two upper veins of coal. This coal is very easily worked, and it is of the very best quality for making iron, and is also a large quantity of superior quality, close at hand. Some of the best forests of white pine and white oak ever seen in Pennsylvania, are found in this central part of the State. Large tracts of it will cut from 50,000 to 70,000 feet of saw timber to the acre; and white oak in proportion is found. Much of the land is of the best quality for what is called farming, and there is also a large quantity of land known as sugar-cane land, well adapted, a chocolate stewardship, for those who have no longer a steward.

The New York Pressure.

The pressure in financial circles continues, we regret to say, though all pretense of groundless panic has long since been dissipated. There were some failures among dry goods men yesterday, but not half so many as were reported by the lying tongues of a humor, several hundreds of which were heard at work all day to magnify and diffuse disaster. We do not name the houses thus shamelessly assailed in their solvency and good name, since to do so would be to subscribe unwittingly to the ends of the mischief-makers, suffice it that the reports affecting several leading dry goods firms were unfounded. But will not our merchants resolve now and remember hereafter, to curtail their credits, both in number and duration? Two-thirds of the credits extended by our jobbers to country retailers are a positive drain both to the debtors and their customers, both being lured into indebtedness all outlay beyond their means by the fatal facility of our credits without system. The time is ripe for a reform in this respect; let it be thorough and lasting.—N. Y. Tribune.

Address to the People of the States.

St. Louis, Sept. 11.—The Democrat publishes an address to the people of the U. S. prepared by a committee appointed by the Grand Convention, in which a fair history of the whole Kansas affair is given, and the present attitude of affairs in the Territory, temperately discussed. The address alleges that the chief incentive to a participation of the election in the free States, but expresses but little hope of success. The document contains a special appeal to the people of Missouri, setting forth the relative positions of Kansas and Missouri, and imploring citizens of the latter to refrain from any further invasion of the State. The previous course of aggression persisted in, a protractor and bloody war must ensue. The address concludes by exhorting the people of Kansas to vote at the coming election, in pursuance of the action of the Convention.

BEAVER ARGUS.

M. & J. Weyand, Editors & Proprietors. BEAVER, PA. WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1857.

FOR GOVERNOR.

DAVID WILMOT Of Bradford County.

FOR SUPREME JUDGES.

James Veech, Of Fayette County, Joseph J. Lewis, Of Chester County.

FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER.

W. M. MILLWARD, Of Philadelphia.

UNION COUNTY TICKET.

Assembly. DE LORMA HERRIE, Beaver. GEORGE P. SHAW, Lawrence. Sheriff. WILLIAM W. IRWIN, Pulaski Tp. Register & Recorder. SAMUEL B. WILSON, Borough. Treasurer. WILLIAM HENRY, of Borough. Clerk of Courts. ALFRED C. MCGREARY, N. Brighton. Commissioner. ABNER MORTON, Franklin. Auditor. WILLIAM C. HUNTER, Brighton Tp. Coroner. NATHAN P. COUCH, New Brighton. Poor House Director. JAMES STERLING, Independence. Trustees of Academy. M. T. KENNEDY, N. Brighton, (4 years.) SAMUEL MOREHEAD, Sharon, (4 yrs.) SMITH CUNNINGHAM, Beaver, (3 yrs.) ABNER PLACOCK, Rochester Tp, (2 yrs.)

Another Republican Rally!

A meeting of the friends of Freedom will be held at the Court House this (Wednesday) Evening, and will be addressed by Thomas M. Marshall, Esq., of Pittsburgh, an Eloquent, Fearless and Humorous champion of correct principles. COME OUT, ONE AND ALL!

MR. WILMOT AMONG THE PEOPLE.

Mr. Wilmot is now prosecuting his round of appointments, and speaking with great acceptance to the People in different parts of the State. From nearly every point which he has visited, we have the most encouraging and gratifying accounts of his reception, and the marked favorable impression he everywhere impresses. Plain and unostentatious in his manners; dignified and manly in his bearing; energetic, argumentative and persuasive in his address; avoiding the showy display of common place denunciations, and stumpy oratory; confining himself to facts, and addressing himself to the reason and the judgment, he wins his way irresistibly to the hearts of the people—inspiring his friends with ardor, inspiring hope, and life, and activity, where all before was listlessness, hopelessness and inactivity, and challenging and commanding the admiration of even his opponents, by the integrity of his life, his honesty of purpose, conscientious bearing, and the earnestness, ability and fearlessness with which he advocates the principles of which he is the chosen and distinguished champion.

MR. WILMOT IN THE WEST.

Mr. Packer very unexpectedly made his appearance in Pittsburgh last week. We understand some of the managers of this place wait upon him, with the view of giving him a banquet, but he refused to exhibit himself to the people of Beaver County at this time. Notwithstanding his Committee was not willing to let him meet Mr. Wilmot face to face, and condemned the practice, now in vogue, of gubernatorial candidates traversing the State, and canvassing for support, Mr. Packer insisted upon a sufficient number to resist that "old never do it give it up" Ho. He strikes out upon a new system of tactics. He does not place himself in advance of Mr. Wilmot, but skulks at a safe distance behind—thus in fact carrying on a kind of "whisking" "fillibustering" guerilla warfare, which must be as mortifying to his followers as it is disreputable to himself. Would it not be a capital joke, if the veteran Wilmot, sometime before the close of the canvass, should "take into his head, when within gun-shot of the enemy, to drop some of his appointments, for the purpose of picking up "baggage," and holding the "General" up to the ridicule he so richly deserves?

MR. WILMOT IN BEAVER.

Large and enthusiastic meeting of the citizens of Beaver county, convened in the Court House, to listen to the address of the Hon. DAVID WILMOT, the Republican candidate for Governor. The meeting was organized by calling Dr. A. B. THOMSON, of Independence, to the Chair, and appointing Maj. Robert Darragh, of Sharon, John Mitchell, of Green, and John White, of Hopewell, Vice Presidents, and James Harper, of Hanover, and M. Weyand, of Beaver, Secretaries. Judge Wilmot, after being heartily cheered by the assembled multitude, commenced his address by referring to the fact, that he was here to address his fellow citizens, by order of the State Central Committee, and also that he was charged by his appointee Gen. Packer, with erasing the names of the campaign. What were they? Was it whether the State should or should not receive a certain amount of tonnage tax, on one of her public roads? Certainly not, and none of the bordering States, or the country so regarded? But why did not Mr. Packer accompany him in erasing the State? Then he could have ascertained what Mr. Packer wished to have discussed. But this was all nonsense. Mr. Packer knows it to be such, his State Central Committee knows it to be such; the whole country regards it as a contest between Slave labor and Free labor—between slavery extension and slavery restriction. This was the issue—the leading issue involved in the contest. He wished to remark to his fellow citizens, that although he was denominated an abolitionist, that it was untrue. He held that slavery was a local institution, created by local law, and with it, in the States, the U. S. Government had nothing to do. He never had, nor never wished to interfere with it, where it was created and governed by municipal law. He regarded it everywhere an evil, he deplored its existence, and always had and always would endeavor to prevent its extension into territory beyond these local limits. This was his position on this absorbing question, and this was the position of the party whose candidate he was. He asked his fellow citizens then, to indulge him while reviewing the slavery question from the Revolutionary War, until the present time. As early as 1787, after Virginia had ceded to the United States her claim to the North west territory, Mr. Jefferson, of Virginia, one of the wisest and purest of American Statesmen, in behalf of a committee, consisting of himself and two others, reported a plan for the government of all the territory then belonging to the Union. Among others, was a provision, in substance as follows: "That after the year 1800, of the Christian era, there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in any of the said territories, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been fully convicted." This, fellow citizens, was Jefferson's view of the extension of slavery. It met the approbation of the American people. It proved that the Declaration of Independence was not an empty profession, but a living faith. Had this plan been adopted we should not now be discussing this vexed question. It would have been settled, and freedom would have been the fundamental and perpetual law of the American continent. Unhappily, however, the proviso was lost. Mr. Spaight, of North Carolina, moved that it be stricken out, and as it required two-thirds of the States to carry any measure, and moreover, as an eccentric gentleman named Dick from New Jersey, voted with the South, Mr. Jefferson's grand and comprehensive scheme was lost, and that by a large minority vote. But let us pause for a moment, fellow citizens and see where the waters stood at this period. Mr. Jefferson, the intellectual giant of the Revolution, the father of the country, Patrick Henry, James Madison, the Lees, the Masons, and others of our revolutionary sires, together with every representative man save one from the non-slaveholding States, lamented the existence of Slavery, deplored its foothold in America, acknowledged the power of Congress to prevent its extension, and voted against its introduction into any territory belonging to the United States. There is where the waters stood then. Where do they stand now? Mr. Buchanan enunciated, that slavery can exist in all of the territories, and that it is under the protection of the government, wherever the flag of the nation floats. Let us follow its history a little further. In 1819-20, Missouri asked for admission into the Union with a Slave constitution. By this time interest began to seize upon the minds of the slave holders. They desired to have a wider field for slave labor. They wanted Missouri, and when they were opposed by an almost unanimous North, they threatened to dissolve the Union. Wm. Calmes and back were found to yield. Missouri was admitted as a slave State. Slavery triumphed and freedom for the first time trailed its banner in the dust. Follow Slavery down you perceive the revolution. The waters were receding. We pass now to 1847. One day before the close of the session of Congress, the President of the United States, in a message to that body, asked for an appropriation of three millions of dollars. Until this time, it had been suspected, yet nothing tangible evinced itself to show that the object of the war with Mexico, was to acquire a portion of her territory. This huge appropriation demonstrated this, and the speaker immediately proposed to prohibit and forbid the appropriation, provided, slavery should forever be excluded from the ter-

MR. WILMOT IN BEAVER.

Large and enthusiastic meeting of the citizens of Beaver county, convened in the Court House, to listen to the address of the Hon. DAVID WILMOT, the Republican candidate for Governor. The meeting was organized by calling Dr. A. B. THOMSON, of Independence, to the Chair, and appointing Maj. Robert Darragh, of Sharon, John Mitchell, of Green, and John White, of Hopewell, Vice Presidents, and James Harper, of Hanover, and M. Weyand, of Beaver, Secretaries. Judge Wilmot, after being heartily cheered by the assembled multitude, commenced his address by referring to the fact, that he was here to address his fellow citizens, by order of the State Central Committee, and also that he was charged by his appointee Gen. Packer, with erasing the names of the campaign. What were they? Was it whether the State should or should not receive a certain amount of tonnage tax, on one of her public roads? Certainly not, and none of the bordering States, or the country so regarded? But why did not Mr. Packer accompany him in erasing the State? Then he could have ascertained what Mr. Packer wished to have discussed. But this was all nonsense. Mr. Packer knows it to be such, his State Central Committee knows it to be such; the whole country regards it as a contest between Slave labor and Free labor—between slavery extension and slavery restriction. This was the issue—the leading issue involved in the contest. He wished to remark to his fellow citizens, that although he was denominated an abolitionist, that it was untrue. He held that slavery was a local institution, created by local law, and with it, in the States, the U. S. Government had nothing to do. He never had, nor never wished to interfere with it, where it was created and governed by municipal law. He regarded it everywhere an evil, he deplored its existence, and always had and always would endeavor to prevent its extension into territory beyond these local limits. This was his position on this absorbing question, and this was the position of the party whose candidate he was. He asked his fellow citizens then, to indulge him while reviewing the slavery question from the Revolutionary War, until the present time. As early as 1787, after Virginia had ceded to the United States her claim to the North west territory, Mr. Jefferson, of Virginia, one of the wisest and purest of American Statesmen, in behalf of a committee, consisting of himself and two others, reported a plan for the government of all the territory then belonging to the Union. Among others, was a provision, in substance as follows: "That after the year 1800, of the Christian era, there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in any of the said territories, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been fully convicted." This, fellow citizens, was Jefferson's view of the extension of slavery. It met the approbation of the American people. It proved that the Declaration of Independence was not an empty profession, but a living faith. Had this plan been adopted we should not now be discussing this vexed question. It would have been settled, and freedom would have been the fundamental and perpetual law of the American continent. Unhappily, however, the proviso was lost. Mr. Spaight, of North Carolina, moved that it be stricken out, and as it required two-thirds of the States to carry any measure, and moreover, as an eccentric gentleman named Dick from New Jersey, voted with the South, Mr. Jefferson's grand and comprehensive scheme was lost, and that by a large minority vote. But let us pause for a moment, fellow citizens and see where the waters stood at this period. Mr. Jefferson, the intellectual giant of the Revolution, the father of the country, Patrick Henry, James Madison, the Lees, the Masons, and others of our revolutionary sires, together with every representative man save one from the non-slaveholding States, lamented the existence of Slavery, deplored its foothold in America, acknowledged the power of Congress to prevent its extension, and voted against its introduction into any territory belonging to the United States. There is where the waters stood then. Where do they stand now? Mr. Buchanan enunciated, that slavery can exist in all of the territories, and that it is under the protection of the government, wherever the flag of the nation floats. Let us follow its history a little further. In 1819-20, Missouri asked for admission into the Union with a Slave constitution. By this time interest began to seize upon the minds of the slave holders. They desired to have a wider field for slave labor. They wanted Missouri, and when they were opposed by an almost unanimous North, they threatened to dissolve the Union. Wm. Calmes and back were found to yield. Missouri was admitted as a slave State. Slavery triumphed and freedom for the first time trailed its banner in the dust. Follow Slavery down you perceive the revolution. The waters were receding. We pass now to 1847. One day before the close of the session of Congress, the President of the United States, in a message to that body, asked for an appropriation of three millions of dollars. Until this time, it had been suspected, yet nothing tangible evinced itself to show that the object of the war with Mexico, was to acquire a portion of her territory. This huge appropriation demonstrated this, and the speaker immediately proposed to prohibit and forbid the appropriation, provided, slavery should forever be excluded from the ter-

MR. WILMOT IN BEAVER.

Large and enthusiastic meeting of the citizens of Beaver county, convened in the Court House, to listen to the address of the Hon. DAVID WILMOT, the Republican candidate for Governor. The meeting was organized by calling Dr. A. B. THOMSON, of Independence, to the Chair, and appointing Maj. Robert Darragh, of Sharon, John Mitchell, of Green, and John White, of Hopewell, Vice Presidents, and James Harper, of Hanover, and M. Weyand, of Beaver, Secretaries. Judge Wilmot, after being heartily cheered by the assembled multitude, commenced his address by referring to the fact, that he was here to address his fellow citizens, by order of the State Central Committee, and also that he was charged by his appointee Gen. Packer, with erasing the names of the campaign. What were they? Was it whether the State should or should not receive a certain amount of tonnage tax, on one of her public roads? Certainly not, and none of the bordering States, or the country so regarded? But why did not Mr. Packer accompany him in erasing the State? Then he could have ascertained what Mr. Packer wished to have discussed. But this was all nonsense. Mr. Packer knows it to be such, his State Central Committee knows it to be such; the whole country regards it as a contest between Slave labor and Free labor—between slavery extension and slavery restriction. This was the issue—the leading issue involved in the contest. He wished to remark to his fellow citizens, that although he was denominated an abolitionist, that it was untrue. He held that slavery was a local institution, created by local law, and with it, in the States, the U. S. Government had nothing to do. He never had, nor never wished to interfere with it, where it was created and governed by municipal law. He regarded it everywhere an evil, he deplored its existence, and always had and always would endeavor to prevent its extension into territory beyond these local limits. This was his position on this absorbing question, and this was the position of the party whose candidate he was. He asked his fellow citizens then, to indulge him while reviewing the slavery question from the Revolutionary War, until the present time. As early as 1787, after Virginia had ceded to the United States her claim to the North west territory, Mr. Jefferson, of Virginia, one of the wisest and purest of American Statesmen, in behalf of a committee, consisting of himself and two others, reported a plan for the government of all the territory then belonging to the Union. Among others, was a provision, in substance as follows: "That after the year 1800, of the Christian era, there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in any of the said territories, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been fully convicted." This, fellow citizens, was Jefferson's view of the extension of slavery. It met the approbation of the American people. It proved that the Declaration of Independence was not an empty profession, but a living faith. Had this plan been adopted we should not now be discussing this vexed question. It would have been settled, and freedom would have been the fundamental and perpetual law of the American continent. Unhappily, however, the proviso was lost. Mr. Spaight, of North Carolina, moved that it be stricken out, and as it required two-thirds of the States to carry any measure, and moreover, as an eccentric gentleman named Dick from New Jersey, voted with the South, Mr. Jefferson's grand and comprehensive scheme was lost, and that by a large minority vote. But let us pause for a moment, fellow citizens and see where the waters stood at this period. Mr. Jefferson, the intellectual giant of the Revolution, the father of the country, Patrick Henry, James Madison, the Lees, the Masons, and others of our revolutionary sires, together with every representative man save one from the non-slaveholding States, lamented the existence of Slavery, deplored its foothold in America, acknowledged the power of Congress to prevent its extension, and voted against its introduction into any territory belonging to the United States. There is where the waters stood then. Where do they stand now? Mr. Buchanan enunciated, that slavery can exist in all of the territories, and that it is under the protection of the government, wherever the flag of the nation floats. Let us follow its history a little further. In 1819-20, Missouri asked for admission into the Union with a Slave constitution. By this time interest began to seize upon the minds of the slave holders. They desired to have a wider field for slave labor. They wanted Missouri, and when they were opposed by an almost unanimous North, they threatened to dissolve the Union. Wm. Calmes and back were found to yield. Missouri was admitted as a slave State. Slavery triumphed and freedom for the first time trailed its banner in the dust. Follow Slavery down you perceive the revolution. The waters were receding. We pass now to 1847. One day before the close of the session of Congress, the President of the United States, in a message to that body, asked for an appropriation of three millions of dollars. Until this time, it had been suspected, yet nothing tangible evinced itself to show that the object of the war with Mexico, was to acquire a portion of her territory. This huge appropriation demonstrated this, and the speaker immediately proposed to prohibit and forbid the appropriation, provided, slavery should forever be excluded from the ter-

MR. WILMOT IN BEAVER.

Large and enthusiastic meeting of the citizens of Beaver county, convened in the Court House, to listen to the address of the Hon. DAVID WILMOT, the Republican candidate for Governor. The meeting was organized by calling Dr. A. B. THOMSON, of Independence, to the Chair, and appointing Maj. Robert Darragh, of Sharon, John Mitchell, of Green, and John White, of Hopewell, Vice Presidents, and James Harper, of Hanover, and M. Weyand, of Beaver, Secretaries. Judge Wilmot, after being heartily cheered by the assembled multitude, commenced his address by referring to the fact, that he was here to address his fellow citizens, by order of the State Central Committee, and also that he was charged by his appointee Gen. Packer, with erasing the names of the campaign. What were they? Was it whether the State should or should not receive a certain amount of tonnage tax, on one of her public roads? Certainly not, and none of the bordering States, or the country so regarded? But why did not Mr. Packer accompany him in erasing the State? Then he could have ascertained what Mr. Packer wished to have discussed. But this was all nonsense. Mr. Packer knows it to be such, his State Central Committee knows it to be such; the whole country regards it as a contest between Slave labor and Free labor—between slavery extension and slavery restriction. This was the issue—the leading issue involved in the contest. He wished to remark to his fellow citizens, that although he was denominated an abolitionist, that it was untrue. He held that slavery was a local institution, created by local law, and with it, in the States, the U. S. Government had nothing to do. He never had, nor never wished to interfere with it, where it was created and governed by municipal law. He regarded it everywhere an evil, he deplored its existence, and always had and always would endeavor to prevent its extension into territory beyond these local limits. This was his position on this absorbing question, and this was the position of the party whose candidate he was. He asked his fellow citizens then, to indulge him while reviewing the slavery question from the Revolutionary War, until the present time. As early as 1787, after Virginia had ceded to the United States her claim to the North west territory, Mr. Jefferson, of Virginia, one of the wisest and purest of American Statesmen, in behalf of a committee, consisting of himself and two others, reported a plan for the government of all the territory then belonging to the Union. Among others, was a provision, in substance as follows: "That after the year 1800, of the Christian era, there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in any of the said territories, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been fully convicted." This, fellow citizens, was Jefferson's view of the extension of slavery. It met the approbation of the American people. It proved that the Declaration of Independence was not an empty profession, but a living faith. Had this plan been adopted we should not now be discussing this vexed question. It would have been settled, and freedom would have been the fundamental and perpetual law of the American continent. Unhappily, however, the proviso was lost. Mr. Spaight, of North Carolina, moved that it be stricken out, and as it required two-thirds of the States to carry any measure, and moreover, as an eccentric gentleman named Dick from New Jersey, voted with the South, Mr. Jefferson's grand and comprehensive scheme was lost, and that by a large minority vote. But let us pause for a moment, fellow citizens and see where the waters stood at this period. Mr. Jefferson, the intellectual giant of the Revolution, the father of the country, Patrick Henry, James Madison, the Lees, the Masons, and others of our revolutionary sires, together with every representative man save one from the non-slaveholding States, lamented the existence of Slavery, deplored its foothold in America, acknowledged the power of Congress to prevent its extension, and voted against its introduction into any territory belonging to the United States. There is where the waters stood then. Where do they stand now? Mr. Buchanan enunciated, that slavery can exist in all of the territories, and that it is under the protection of the government, wherever the flag of the nation floats. Let us follow its history a little further. In 1819-20, Missouri asked for admission into the Union with a Slave constitution. By this time interest began to seize upon the minds of the slave holders. They desired to have a wider field for slave labor. They wanted Missouri, and when they were opposed by an almost unanimous North, they threatened to dissolve the Union. Wm. Calmes and back were found to yield. Missouri was admitted as a slave State. Slavery triumphed and freedom for the first time trailed its banner in the dust. Follow Slavery down you perceive the revolution. The waters were receding. We pass now to 1847. One day before the close of the session of Congress, the President of the United States, in a message to that body, asked for an appropriation of three millions of dollars. Until this time, it had been suspected, yet nothing tangible evinced itself to show that the object of the war with Mexico, was to acquire a portion of her territory. This huge appropriation demonstrated this, and the speaker immediately proposed to prohibit and forbid the appropriation, provided, slavery should forever be excluded from the ter-

MR. WILMOT IN BEAVER.

Large and enthusiastic meeting of the citizens of Beaver county, convened in the Court House, to listen to the address of the Hon. DAVID WILMOT, the Republican candidate for Governor. The meeting was organized by calling Dr. A. B. THOMSON, of Independence, to the Chair, and appointing Maj. Robert Darragh, of Sharon, John Mitchell, of Green, and John White, of Hopewell, Vice Presidents, and James Harper, of Hanover, and M. Weyand, of Beaver, Secretaries. Judge Wilmot, after being heartily cheered by the assembled multitude, commenced his address by referring to the fact, that he was here to address his fellow citizens, by order of the State Central Committee, and also that he was charged by his appointee Gen. Packer, with erasing the names of the campaign. What were they? Was it whether the State should or should not receive a certain amount of tonnage tax, on one of her public roads? Certainly not, and none of the bordering States, or the country so regarded? But why did not Mr. Packer accompany him in erasing the State? Then he could have ascertained what Mr. Packer wished to have discussed. But this was all nonsense. Mr. Packer knows it to be such, his State Central Committee knows it to be such; the whole country regards it as a contest between Slave labor and Free labor—between slavery extension and slavery restriction. This was the issue—the leading issue involved in the contest. He wished to remark to his fellow citizens, that although he was denominated an abolitionist, that it was untrue. He held that slavery was a local institution, created by local law, and with it, in the States, the U. S. Government had nothing to do. He never had, nor never wished to interfere with it, where it was created and governed by municipal law. He regarded it everywhere an evil, he deplored its existence, and always had and always would endeavor to prevent its extension into territory beyond these local limits. This was his position on this absorbing question, and this was the position of the party whose candidate he was. He asked his fellow citizens then, to indulge him while reviewing the slavery question from the Revolutionary War, until the present time. As early as 1787, after Virginia had ceded to the United States her claim to the North west territory, Mr. Jefferson, of Virginia, one of the wisest and purest of American Statesmen, in behalf of a committee, consisting of himself and two others, reported a plan for the government of all the territory then belonging to the Union. Among others, was a provision, in substance as follows: "That after the year 1800, of the Christian era, there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in any of the said territories, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been fully convicted." This, fellow citizens, was Jefferson's view of the extension of slavery. It met the approbation of the American people. It proved that the Declaration of Independence was not an empty profession, but a living faith. Had this plan been adopted we should not now be discussing this vexed question. It would have been settled, and freedom would have been the fundamental and perpetual law of the American continent. Unhappily, however, the proviso was lost. Mr. Spaight, of North Carolina, moved that it be stricken out, and as it required two-thirds of the States to carry any measure, and moreover, as an eccentric gentleman named Dick from New Jersey, voted with the South, Mr. Jefferson's grand and comprehensive scheme was lost, and that by a large minority vote. But let us pause for a moment, fellow citizens and see where the waters stood at this period. Mr. Jefferson, the intellectual giant of the Revolution, the father of the country, Patrick Henry, James Madison, the Lees, the Masons, and others of our revolutionary sires, together with every representative man save one from the non-slaveholding States, lamented the existence of Slavery, deplored its foothold in America, acknowledged the power of Congress to prevent its extension, and voted against its introduction into any territory belonging to the United States. There is where the waters stood then. Where do they stand now? Mr. Buchanan enunciated, that slavery can exist in all of the territories, and that it is under the protection of the government, wherever the flag of the nation floats. Let us follow its history a little further. In 1819-20, Missouri asked for admission into the Union with a Slave constitution. By this time interest began to seize upon the minds of the slave holders. They desired to have a wider field for slave labor. They wanted Missouri, and when they were opposed by an almost unanimous North, they threatened to dissolve the Union. Wm. Calmes and back were found to yield. Missouri was admitted as a slave State. Slavery triumphed and freedom for the first time trailed its banner in the dust. Follow Slavery down you perceive the revolution. The waters were receding. We pass now to 1847. One day before the close of the session of Congress, the President of the United States, in a message to that body, asked for an appropriation of three millions of dollars. Until this time, it had been suspected, yet nothing tangible evinced itself to show that the object of the war with Mexico, was to acquire a portion of her territory. This huge appropriation demonstrated this, and the speaker immediately proposed to prohibit and forbid the appropriation, provided, slavery should forever be excluded from the ter-

MR. WILMOT IN BEAVER.

Large and enthusiastic meeting of the citizens of Beaver county, convened in the Court House, to listen to the address of the Hon. DAVID WILMOT, the Republican candidate for Governor. The meeting was organized by calling Dr. A. B. THOMSON, of Independence, to the Chair, and appointing Maj. Robert Darragh, of Sharon, John Mitchell, of Green, and John White, of Hopewell, Vice Presidents, and James Harper, of Hanover, and M. Weyand, of Beaver, Secretaries. Judge Wilmot, after being heartily cheered by the assembled multitude, commenced his address by referring to the fact, that he was here to address his fellow citizens, by order of the State Central Committee, and also that he was charged by his appointee Gen. Packer, with erasing the names of the campaign. What were they? Was it whether the State should or should not receive a certain amount of tonnage tax, on one of her public roads? Certainly not, and none of the bordering States, or the country so regarded? But why did not Mr. Packer accompany him in erasing the State? Then he could have ascertained what Mr. Packer wished to have discussed. But this was all nonsense. Mr. Packer knows it to be such, his State Central Committee knows it to be such; the whole country regards it as a contest between Slave labor and Free labor—between slavery extension and slavery restriction. This was the issue—the leading issue involved in the contest. He wished to remark to his fellow citizens, that although he was denominated an abolitionist, that it was untrue. He held that slavery was a local institution, created by local law, and with it, in the States, the U. S. Government had nothing to do. He never had, nor never wished to interfere with it, where it was created and governed by municipal law. He regarded it everywhere an evil, he deplored its existence, and always had and always would endeavor to prevent its extension into territory beyond these local limits. This was his position on this absorbing question, and this was the position of the party whose candidate he was. He asked his fellow citizens then, to indulge him while reviewing the slavery question from the Revolutionary War, until the present time. As early as 1787, after Virginia had ceded to the United States her claim to the North west territory, Mr. Jefferson, of Virginia, one of the wisest and purest of American Statesmen, in behalf of a committee, consisting of himself and two others, reported a plan for the government of all the territory then belonging to the Union. Among others, was a provision, in substance as follows: "That after the year 1800, of the Christian era, there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in any of the said territories, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been fully convicted." This, fellow citizens, was Jefferson's view of the extension of slavery. It met the approbation of the American people. It proved that the Declaration of Independence was not an empty profession, but a living faith. Had this plan been adopted we should not now be discussing this vexed question. It would have been settled, and freedom would have been the fundamental and perpetual law of the American continent. Unhappily, however, the proviso was lost. Mr. Spaight, of North Carolina, moved that it be stricken out, and as it required two-thirds of the States to carry any measure, and moreover, as an eccentric gentleman named Dick from New Jersey, voted with the South, Mr. Jefferson's grand and comprehensive scheme was lost, and that by a large minority vote. But let us pause for a moment, fellow citizens and see where the waters stood at this period. Mr. Jefferson, the intellectual giant of the Revolution, the father of the country, Patrick Henry, James Madison, the Lees, the Masons, and others of our revolutionary sires, together with every representative man save one from the non-slaveholding States, lamented the existence of Slavery, deplored its foothold in America, acknowledged the power of Congress to prevent its extension, and voted against its introduction into any territory belonging to the United States. There is where the waters stood then. Where do they stand now? Mr. Buchanan enunciated, that slavery can exist in all of the territories, and that it is under the protection of the government, wherever the flag of the nation floats. Let us follow its history a little further. In 1819-20, Missouri asked for admission into the Union with a Slave constitution. By this time interest began to seize upon the minds of the slave holders. They desired to have a wider field for slave labor. They wanted Missouri, and when they were opposed by an almost unanimous North, they threatened to dissolve the Union. Wm. Calmes and back were found to yield. Missouri was admitted as a slave State. Slavery triumphed and freedom for the first time trailed its banner in the dust. Follow Slavery down you perceive the revolution. The waters were receding. We pass now to 1847. One day before the close of the session of Congress, the President of the United States, in a message to that body, asked for an appropriation of three millions of dollars. Until this time, it had been suspected, yet nothing tangible evinced itself to show that the object of the war with Mexico, was to acquire a portion of her territory. This huge appropriation demonstrated this, and the speaker immediately proposed to prohibit and forbid the appropriation, provided, slavery should forever be excluded from the ter-