

FIRST EDITION

THE HONORED CABINET CHANGES.

Akerman on Reconstruction.

Minister Motley to be Relieved.

Some Peculiar Southern Humor

A Foolish Indian Scare.

Etc., Etc., Etc., Etc., Etc.

UNPARALLELED TIME.

Extraordinary Mississippi Travelling—An Exciting Narrative with a Certain Amount of Pathos in It.

The St. Louis Democrat of June 23 has the following:

The arrival of the Natchez, only 3 days, 23 hours, and 16 minutes out from New Orleans, a distance of 2000 miles, has revived the interest in fast river steamers.

The time made by the J. M. White for twenty-six years had remained unsurpassed by any steambot on the Western waters, and her fastness had made the attempt, but failure was written on all their efforts.

The time of the Natchez from New Orleans to Natchez was 24 hours and 11 minutes.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

HEADQUARTERS DEPARTMENT OF DAKOTA, ST. PAUL, MINN., JUNE 16, 1870.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

HEADQUARTERS DEPARTMENT OF DAKOTA, ST. PAUL, MINN., JUNE 16, 1870.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

General Hancock was absent at the time, but when he returned, he addressed the following letter to Governor Austin, in reply to communication of the latter covering a request from the settlers of Lyon county for military protection.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

We recently referred to the very groundless apprehensions of the whites among our remote Western frontier by the appearance of hunting parties of Chippewas and Sioux at various localities.

FRONTIER DEFENSE.

A Foolish Indian Scare—Letter from General Hancock to Governor Austin.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

On Friday the Cortes approached the subject, taking the veto of the first deputy. There was an attendance of over two hundred deputies.

INTRIGUING FOR A CROWN.

Montpensier Determined to Win—A Serious Conspiracy—Troubles Ahead—Speech of Kejo Arta.

SECOND EDITION

LATEST BY TELEGRAPH.

TO-DAY'S CABLE NEWS.

Details of the Cork Riots.

Streets Barricaded a la Paris.

Painful Suicide of an Old Man.

Etc., Etc., Etc., Etc., Etc.

FROM EUROPE.

The Cork Riots.

LONDON, June 25.—The morning journals publish interesting details of the riots which occurred at Cork yesterday and the day before.

THE REVISION OF THE BIBLE.

The commission to revise the Bible yesterday held a satisfactory preliminary meeting.

THE CANADIAN SITUATION.

The Spectator of to-day has a long article on recent affairs in Canada, and argues thence for the maintenance of the colonial empire.

CONDITION OF THE FRENCH CROPS.

PARIS, June 25.—In the Corps Legislatif yesterday, in reply to a question, M. Louvet, Minister of Agriculture and Commerce, explained that notwithstanding the drought the Government had satisfactory reports of the crops, and no precaution or provision had been neglected to guard against a famine.

THE CHINESE TREATY.

LONDON, June 25.—London merchants insist at the Foreign Office on their objections to the China treaty.

DISASTER AT SEA.

LIVERPOOL, June 25.—The Inman steamship City of Brooklyn, which left here on Tuesday, the 23d instant, for New York, ran down and sunk the Ceizer, a sailing vessel, off Arklow, Ireland, yesterday. The crew of the Ceizer was saved. The steamer was not injured, and proceeded on her course.

THE SPANISH CORTES.

MADRID, June 25.—In the Cortes on Thursday, before the adjournment till October was voted, the Minister of State, in reply to a question, said that Spain had signed a judicial treaty with France, after consulting the Council of State, and he therefore judged that the permission of the Cortes was unnecessary.

QUEEN ISABELLA'S ABDICATION.

PARIS, June 25.—It is reported here to-day that ex-Queen Isabella of Spain will sign her solemn abdication of the crown to-day.

THE ECUMENICAL COUNCIL.

ROME, June 25.—It is reported to-day that the Ecumenical Council will continue its sessions all summer, and will probably not adjourn finally before Easter of next year.

LEGAL INTELLIGENCE.

The West Philadelphia Arson—Sentence of the Boys.

Court of Quarter Sessions—Judge Ludlow.

Our readers will recollect the trial, which occurred a few days since, of two boys named Kincaid and William Francis, for arson in setting fire to a barn at Fifty-first street and Summer road, on Sunday night, May 1st, and which resulted in a verdict of guilty of the misdemeanor of arson, and a sentence of six months imprisonment.

THE JUDGE ADDRESSED THEM, SAYING:

"Since your conviction I have been considering what ought to be done with you, and I confess I have never before been obliged to dispose of three boys, in whose cases I had more difficulty in coming to a proper conclusion than in the case of the other two."

THE JUDGE ADDRESSED THEM, SAYING:

"Since your conviction I have been considering what ought to be done with you, and I confess I have never before been obliged to dispose of three boys, in whose cases I had more difficulty in coming to a proper conclusion than in the case of the other two."

THE JUDGE ADDRESSED THEM, SAYING:

"Since your conviction I have been considering what ought to be done with you, and I confess I have never before been obliged to dispose of three boys, in whose cases I had more difficulty in coming to a proper conclusion than in the case of the other two."

THE JUDGE ADDRESSED THEM, SAYING:

"Since your conviction I have been considering what ought to be done with you, and I confess I have never before been obliged to dispose of three boys, in whose cases I had more difficulty in coming to a proper conclusion than in the case of the other two."

THE JUDGE ADDRESSED THEM, SAYING:

"Since your conviction I have been considering what ought to be done with you, and I confess I have never before been obliged to dispose of three boys, in whose cases I had more difficulty in coming to a proper conclusion than in the case of the other two."

THE JUDGE ADDRESSED THEM, SAYING:

"Since your conviction I have been considering what ought to be done with you, and I confess I have never before been obliged to dispose of three boys, in whose cases I had more difficulty in coming to a proper conclusion than in the case of the other two."

THE JUDGE ADDRESSED THEM, SAYING:

"Since your conviction I have been considering what ought to be done with you, and I confess I have never before been obliged to dispose of three boys, in whose cases I had more difficulty in coming to a proper conclusion than in the case of the other two."

FROM WASHINGTON.

Naval Minister.

Special Dispatch to The Evening Telegraph.

WASHINGTON, June 25.—Secretary Robeson to-day nominated Homer L. Law to be an assistant surgeon and William C. McGowan to be an assistant paymaster in the United States Navy.

THE SAN DOMINGO CASE.

Senator Nye, from the select committee appointed to investigate the charges preferred by Davis Hatch against General Babcock, made a report to the Senate this morning. It is quite lengthy, and goes into an elaborate analysis of the testimony taken by the committee, reviewing at length the evidence of Babcock and Perry, the commercial agent of the United States at San Domingo City, and concluding with a recommendation that the position of Hatch in the case be sustained.

CONGRESS.

HOUSE.

Mr. Poland, from the select committee on the bill to amend the bankruptcy law, made a report, which was agreed to, applying to only some technical points, in the House.

SENATE.

Mr. Conger introduced a bill to encourage the building of ships for foreign trade. Referred to the Committee on Commerce.

THE HOUSE.

On motion of Mr. Julian the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE SENATE.

Mr. Journal of the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE HOUSE.

On motion of Mr. Julian the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE SENATE.

Mr. Journal of the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE HOUSE.

On motion of Mr. Julian the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE SENATE.

Mr. Journal of the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE HOUSE.

On motion of Mr. Julian the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE SENATE.

Mr. Journal of the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE HOUSE.

On motion of Mr. Julian the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE SENATE.

Mr. Journal of the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE HOUSE.

On motion of Mr. Julian the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE SENATE.

Mr. Journal of the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE HOUSE.

On motion of Mr. Julian the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE SENATE.

Mr. Journal of the Senate bill to change the boundaries of the Pennsylvania and New York States, tabled and passed.

THE HOUSE.