

OUR COAST DEFENSES.

The Artillery Trials at Fortress Monroe—Letter from General Barnard.

To the Editor of the New York Times.—The importance of the subjects involved seems to require some notice. The editorials which appeared in your paper on the 26th and 27th, concerning our "coastal fortifications" and the recent experimental firing at Fortress Monroe, etc.,

Let me first say that no one connected with those firings is accountable for the expressions which you quote from newspaper accounts. Had you yourself been present, or a reporter delegated by you, not only would you have had free access, but full explanation. Indeed, the character of the targets and the object in constructing them were, in the beginning, explained to all who chose to be present and listen. I, therefore, you have not such detailed accounts as are given by the London Times of Shoburness experiments, it is not the fault of those who directed those at Fortress Monroe.

At those recent firings it was sought by the "engineers" to bring together some of the most prominent representatives of iron manufacture, of civil engineering, of science, and of naval and military construction. There was no other limit to the number invited than that imposed by the limited accommodations to be found at Fortress Monroe.

At those recent firings it was sought by the "engineers" to bring together some of the most prominent representatives of iron manufacture,

of civil engineering, of science, and of naval and military construction. There was no other limit to the number invited than that imposed by the limited accommodations to be found at Fortress Monroe.

Such is the "silence imposed upon us;" and you are quite right in the use of the pronoun. It is not a dilemma for "engineers" alone, but for the nations which it concerns. It is quite common to attribute to "engineers" certain "opinions" which they are warned they must "change." Until, however, something is established with regard to future construction, we must try to make the best use of such means as we have, and include among them are "earth works," "redoubts," "batteries," etc.; and, though last, perhaps not least, the newly devised carriage which I should call the "Mona-crit" were it not that the model is an American "engineer" officer on precisely the same principle, has been in existence for many years, and that other plans to accomplish the same purpose have been under study and even trial with us during the last year or two.

I am, very respectfully, etc., J. B. BARNARD,

Col. Engineers, Brev. Major-General, U. S. A.

P. S.—In writing the above I have been induced to add to my remarks above referred to, others had been made, prior to 1865, designed to protect granite or brick masonry by the use of iron plates, at 1862 and 1863, interesting and, for the date, very successful experiments were made upon iron shields designed by Captain Ingalls, R. E., an officer whose researches and experience in this branch of modern military and naval engineering probably exceed those of any other, and who has given us the most valuable summaries of the result of long-continued and varied English trials.

The Effort to Procure the Pardon of Hester Vaughan.

To the Editor of The Evening Telegraph:

Since from the New York papers of to-day it is ascertained that a meeting was held last night at Cooper Institute, to devise means for securing the pardon of Hester Vaughan, who has been sentenced to death for infanticide in Philadelphia. Subscriptions were taken up in large amounts, and the Northern papers, I suppose, had a fine opportunity to air their radical views on the suffrage and other kindred questions. It is surely benevolent in these New York ladies to turn their vision away from the "Boraboo Geh" that lies beside their own doors to alleviate the condition of us benighted heathens in Philadelphia, and we should, no doubt, be very grateful to them for their efforts in behalf of this woman, guilty of no greater crime than the brutal murder of her own baby.

This, however, is supposed to be a work of supererogation on the part of these New York ladies, as no one in this city has ever for a moment supposed that the sentence of death against Hester Vaughan would be commuted. Governor Geary is a humane man, and would be unlikely to sign the death-warrant of this unfortunate woman. It is probable that he may refuse to pardon her, thinking the prison the safest place for a woman of her proclivities, and in this view he will be joined by thousands of kind hearted people, male and female, in this city, who, while they would deprecate her execution, would not be willing to see her let loose again upon society.

About the large collection said to have been taken up in New York, to what purpose is it to be applied? These New York people think of their governor, Geary, as a Christian man and a brave soldier. Is it as well as some of the Governors of New York have been, and are ready to pardon murderers for a consideration?

Certainly, beyond the expense of having the evidence in the case copied, and the publication of the intention to apply for a pardon made through the columns of a newspaper, there should be no occasion for money. The writer has obtained pardons for more than one criminal, and never knew the cost of application to exceed \$30, all told. This sum could have certainly been contributed by some one of those most charitable sympathizers without the necessity of calling a public meeting for the sole purpose of showing that if a woman knows enough to be hanged she knows enough to vote.

PHILADELPHIA, Dec. 3, 1868.

FINANCIAL.

EW CLARK & CO.
BANKERS,
No. 35 SOUTH THIRD STREET,
PHILADELPHIA.

DEALERS IN
GOVERNMENT SECURITIES,
STOCK, GOLD
AND NOTE BROKERS.

Accounts of Banks, Firms, and Individuals received, subject to check at sight.

INTEREST ALLOWED ON BALANCES.

GENERAL AGENTS,

FOR

PENNSYLVANIA AND SOUTHERN NEW JERSEY,

OF THE

NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE CO.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

The NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY is a corporation chartered by special Act of Congress, approved July 25, 1865, with a

CASH CAPITAL, \$1,000,000, FULL PAID.

General offices offered to Agents and Solleitors, who are invited to call.

Full particulars to be had on application at our office, in the second story of our Banking House, where Circulars and Pamphlets, fully describing the advantages offered by the Company, may be had.

E. W. CLARK & CO.,

No. 35 South Third St.

LEGAL NOTICES.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA.

DONNELLY VS. DONNELLY

John T. Donnelly, Plaintiff in Error.

To MARY S. DONNELLY, Respondent:

Please notice a rule granted upon you to show cause why a divorce a vinculo matrimonii should not be granted in this case, returning to the Court on Friday, December 14, 1868, at 10 o'clock, personal service of this notice having failed in consequence of your absence.

ROBERT P. DECHERT,

Attorney for Plaintiff.

12 24 M.

12 24 M.