C O N G R E S S,

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

MONDAY, January 21.

The following meffage was this day received from the Prefident of the United States.

Gentlemen of the Senate, & Gentlemen of the House of Representatives

ACCORDING to an intimation in my meffage of Friday laft, I now lay before Con-grefs a report of the Secretary of State, containing his obfervations on fome of the documents which attended it.

IOHN ADAMS. January 21, 1799.

TO THE

PRESIDENT of the UNITED STATES.

The Secretary of State refpectfully fubmits the following report on the transactions relating to the United States and France fince the last communications to Congress on that fubject. TIMOTHY PICKERING. Department of State,?

January 18, 1799.5

REPORT,

Of the SECRETARY of STATE, on the Tran-sactions relating to the United States and France, since the last communications to Congress on that subject.

THE points chiefly meriting attention, are the attempts of the French government, I. To exculpate itfelf from the charge of corruption, as having demanded a douceur of Fifty Thouland Pounds flering (222,000 dollars) for the pockets of the Directors and Minifers, as reprefented in the difpatches of our Envoys :

II. To detach Mr. Gerry from his colleagues, and to inveigle him into a feparate

negotiation ; and III. Its defign, if the negotiation failed, and a war (hould take place between the United States and France, to throw the blame of the rupture on the United States.

1. The difpatches of the Envoys published in the United States, and republished in En-gland, reached Paris towards the last of May and on the 30th of that month, the French minister, Mr. Talleyrand, affecting an entire ignorance of the perfons delignated by the letters W. X. Y. and Z.—calling them in-trigners, whofe object was to deceive the Envoys—writes to Mr. Gerry, and "prays him immediately to make known to him

Mr. Gerry, in his answer of the 31ft, withes to evade Mr. Talleyrand's request; and with reason, for he and his colleagues had "promifed Messis, X. and Y. that their names should in no event be made public." Mr. Gerry in his letter of October 1, in noting the repetition of Mr. Talleyrand's re-queft for those names, flates as an objection to giving them up " that they could be other-wife afcertained;" and that Mr. Talleyrand's messenger, admitting the fact that they medlenger, admitting the fact that they were already known, immediately men-tioned their names. Mr. Gerry neverthe-lefs certified in writing the names of X. Y. and Z; with the referve " that they fhould not be publified on his authority :" and be-fides rormally certifying to Mr. Talleyrand the names of his own private agents, added, that " they did not produce, to his knowledge cordentials or documents of any kird." credentials or documents of any kind."-" Credentials" in writing were certainly not to be expected to be produced by agents embe expected to be prod ployed to make corrupt propolitions : but Mr. Gerry had Mr. Talleyrand's own affu-rance that Mr. Y was acting by his authori-ty. It is recited in the Envoy's difpatches, and upon Mr. Gerry's own report to his col-leagues, that on the 17th of December, 1797, Mr. Y "flated to him that two measures Mr. Y " flated to find that two measures which Mr. Talleyrand proposed, being adopt-ed, a refloration of friendship between the republics would follow immediately; the one was a gratuity of fifty thousand pounds sterling; the other a purchase of thirty two millions of Dutch referiptions," and after millions of Dutch referiptions," and after converting on these topics, Mr. Gerry and Mr. Y rode to Mr. Talleyrand's office, where "Mr. Gerry observed to Mr. Talley-rand, that Mr. Y had fated to him that morning fome propositions as coming from Mr. Talleyrand, respecting which, Mr. Ger-ry could give no opinion," and after making fome other observations, Mr. Talleyrand an-fwered " that the information Mr. Y had given him (Mr. Gerry) was just and might always be relied on." This declaration stambs with the ministers authority. all the stamps with the ministers authority, all the communications made by Mr. Y to the En-voys. And Mr. Y himfelf, who is Mr. Bellamy, of Hamburgh, in his public vindi cation, declares, that "he had done nothing cation, declares, that "he had done formug faid nothing, and written nothing, without the orders of Citizen Tallyrand." The fam may be afferted in regard to Mr. X, for h first introduced Mr. Y to the Envoys; any his feparate comunications were fublicatially the fame with thole of Y, and both togethe the fame with thole of Y, and both togethe were prefent with the Envoys when the com-munications were more than once repeated. It also deferves notice, that in flating the preliminary demands of the French govern-ment, the private agents, X and Y, and the minifter, use a fimilar language. The agents declare, that the Directory are extremely if-ritated at the fpeech of the Prefident, and re oning an explanation of form restered in quire an explanation of fome parts of it, and reparation for others; that this muft give pain to the envoys, but the directory would not diffenfe with it: And that as to the means of averting the demand concerning the Prefident's fpeech, the Envoys mulfearch for them, and propole them, then felves. Being afked to fuggeft the mean the antiwer is " money"-the purchase of th Dutch referiptions, and " the fifty thousand pounds fterling, as a douceur to the Direc. tory." The Minister told the Envoys, that the Directory were wounded by the Prefident's fpecch; and in his convertation with Mr. Gerry on the 28th of October, faid, " the

fered for perulal, in which they had demand-ed of the Envoys an explanation of fome parts, and a reparation for others, of the Pre-fident's fpeech to Congress of the 16th of May, 1797; that he was fentible that diffi-culties would exift on the part of the En-voys relative to this demand; but that by voys relative to this demand; but that by their offering *money* he thought he could pre-vent the effect of the arret. Mr. Z. (the "interpreter") at the requeft of Mr. Gerry, having flated that the Envoys have no fuch powers, Mr. Talleyrand replied, they can in fuch cafe take a power on themfelves; and proposed that they fhould make a "loan." But this "loan," as will prefently appear, did not mean the "money," which would "prevent the effect of the arret." Mr. Ger-ry then making fome observations, on the powers of the Envoys—that chey " were powers of the Envoys—that they " were adequate to the difcuffion and adjuftment of all points of real difference between the two an points of real difference between the two nations; that they could alter and amend the treaty; or, if neceffary, form a new one;" added, " that as to a loan, they had no powers whatever to make one, but that they could fend one of their number for infrucions on this proposition, if deemed expedi-nt :"-" That as he [Mr. Talleyrand] had xpreffed a defire to confer with the Envoys ndividually, it was the wift of Mr. Gerry that fuch a conference thould take place, and their opinions thus be afcertained." "Mr Talleyrand, in anfwer, faid, he fhould be glad to confer with the other Envoys, individu-ally, but that this matter about the MONEX must be settled directly without fending to America; that he would not communicate he aret for a week; and that if we could djuft the difficulty refpecting the fpeech, an pplication would neverthelefs go to the United States for a LOAN :" Now this matter if the MONEY that must be settled directly, of the MONET that must be settled directly, could only refer to the douceur ; tor aloan in the purchafe of millions of Dutch referipti-ons, or in any other form, could only be the fubject of a stipulation to be afterwards ful-filled by the United Stares; but the douceur of fifty thousands pounds sterling, was a fum within the immediate reach of the Envoys; for their credit would certainly command it : in fact, a mercantile house had offered to an-fwer their draughts; and this, Mr. Tal-leyrand unquestionably well knew; for it was a member of the stame house who first in troduced the minister's agent, Mr. X, to Ge-neral Pinckney, in the manner stated in the Envoys' dispatches. A collateral evidence neral Pinckney, in the manner flated in the Envoys' difpatches. A collateral evidence that in " this matter of the money that muft be fettled dire fily," Mr. Talleyrand referred only to the douceur, arifes from this circum-flance : The very next day (October 29th) Mr. X called on the Envoys and faid, " Mr. Talleyrand was extremely anxious to be of fervice to them, and had requefted that one more effort fhould be made to induce us to enable him to be fo." After a great deal of the fame converfation which had paffed at former interviews had been repeated, the En-voys fay—" the fum of this proposition was, that if we would pay by way of fees (that was his exprefine) the sum of MONEY de-manded for PRIVATE USE, the Directory would not receive us, but would permit us manded for PRIVATE USE, the Directory would not receive us, but would permit us to remain in Paris as we now were ; and we fhould be received by Mr. Talleyrand, until one of us could go to America and consult our government on the subject of a LOAN." Although the Envoy's difpatches, and the facts and circumftances herein before fated, cannot leave a doubt that X, as well as Y and Z, was well known to Mr. Talleyrand it Z, was well known to Mr. Talleyrand, in will not be amifs to add, that on the 2d of

Directory had paffed an arret, which he of-fered for perulal, in which they had demand-ed of the Envoys an explanation of fome parts, and a reparation for others, of the Preof Mr. Talleyrand's being continued in of-fice, after the account of these intrigues had been published to the world, is a decifive proof that they were commenced and carried on with the privity, and by the fecret orders of the Directory. It was to accomplifh the object of thefe intrigues that the American Envoys were kept at Paris unreceived, fix months after their credentials had been laid before the Directory: and it was only be-caufe they were fuperior to those intrigues, and that no hopes remained of wheedling or terrifying them into a compliance, that two of them were then fent away—and with

of them were then lent away—and with marks of infult and contempt. 2. The fact that the French Government attempted to inveigle Mr. Gerry into a fepa-rate negotiation will not be queftioned : at first it was made *privately*, and under an injunction of secrecy towards his colleagues : it was afterwars plainly infinuated by the minister, in his letter of the 18th of March, 1708 in which he tells the Envoys that the 1798, in which he tells the Envoys that the Executive Directory was difposed to treat with one of the three; and that one he o-penly avowed, in his letter of the 3d of April, penly avowed, in his letter of the 3d of April, to be Mr. Gerry. The pretence for felect-ing him was, that his ', opinions. prefumed to be more impartial, promifed, in the courfe of the explanations, more of that reciprocal confidence which was indifpenfable." But when before, have their " opinions" been flated as a jufifiable ground for rejecting the ambaffadors of peace ? Ambaffadors too, of eftablished probity, whofe characters were of the first diffinction in their own country, and whofe demeanor to wards the government and whole demeanor towards the government to which they were deputed, was decent and refpectful? Who had, with a franknefs which the candor of their inftructions warranted, communicated the important points which they contained ? And who unremittingly, and with the moft anxious folicitude, entreated that the negociations might be entreated that the negociations might be commenced? What more proper or more ho-norable qualities ought miniflers deputed to negociate with a foreign nation to poffels? But why fhould a foreign Government quef-tion the opinions of the ambaffadors fent to negociate with it on fubjects of difference between the two nations? If wifely chofen, and faithful to the interefts of their own country, they much of course, nother differ country, they must of coarse possible differ-ent opinions from the government, to which they were fent, the differing opinions main-tained by the two mations on their respective rights and interests, being the cause and obrights and interests, being the cause and ob-jects of the negociation. A government really disposed to treat on fair principles would never object to the opinions of foreign ambaffadors. It would receive them, and appoint its own ministers with proper powers to treat with them, propose its terms, and receive those offered; and discuss both, and if then they could not agree, but an and to receive those offered; and dicuis both, and if then they could not agree, put au end to the negociation. The French government did not with to negociate, it defired to im-pose a treaty on the United States. To this practice it had seen accuftomed towards this practice it had oeen accuftomed towards the minor powers in Europe, whom it has fubjected to its will: and it expected equal fubmiffion from the United States. Hence Mr. Talleyrand's fecret declaration to Mr. Gerry " that if he would negotiate, they could foon finifh a treaty; for the Executive Directory were not in the babit of spending much time about such matters." Hence the objections to Gen. Pinckney and Gen. Mar-fhall: they manifelted a differnment fuperi-or to the intrigues of the French Governor to the intrigues of the French Govern-ment, and an invincible determination not to furrender the honor, the interest, or the ceffary then to get rid of them; and feeing that neither defpair of negociating, nor fu-died indignities, could induce them to quit their pofts, paffports were fent to them to quit France; it was with difficulty that Gen. Pinckney could obtain permiffion to flay two or three months for the recovery of his fick daughter, to whom an immediate voyage would probably prove fatal. Unem-barrafied by the prefence of these Eavoys, the French Government, if it really defired a treaty on any terms, hoped to prevail on Mr. Gerry to negociate feparately, although from the first overture he declined and continued to decline it. But after the expulsion of his colleagues, it hoped, by its feductive arts, to prevail over his fcruples, and gain his confent to terms which, while they were prefent, would be rejected; or at all events to retain him, with the femblance of negotiating, regularly or informally, and thus keep the United States in the torpor of ndecifion, without preparation for offence or lefence. Unfortunately, Mr. Gerry was induced, by the threats of immediate war a-gauft the United States, to feparate from his colleagues and flay in Paris; threats, which, viewed with their motives, merited only detentation and contempt. Four or five months before, the threats of immediate orders to quit France, and the terrors of war in its molt dreadful forms, had been held up to all the envoys to frighten them into a com-pliance with the groundlel's, unjuft, and cor-rupt demands of the French Government. Those threats had not been executed, and the unworthy purpofes for which they had been uttered, had been obvious. Happily for the United States, the character of the French government as delineated in the official dif-patches of all the envoys, and the knowledge of its conduct towards other countries whofe governments it had overturned, and whofe people in the names of Liberty and E-quality, it had enflaved, fo operated as not coleave us exposed to all the evils which suspense was calculated to produce. Mr. Gerry indeed refifted all the arts of the French ninister to entice him into a formal negociaion, after that government had driven his colleagues from Paris; a negociation which in its nature would have been a furrender of our independence, by admitting a for ign go vernment to choose for us the minister who hould reprefent our country, to treat of our important rights and interests, which that government had itself violated and deeply

leyrand hoped and expected that General Pinckney and General Marthall would vo-Meffrs. Einckney and Marshall have thought it ufeful and proper, in confequence of the intimations which the end of my note of the 18th of March laft prefents, to quit the ter-ritory of the Republic." Yet Mr. Talley-rand had given them neither paffports nor letters of fafe conduct ! The fact is, the image for the set of the se French government wilhed to avoid the odi-um of fending them away, and the blame of a rupture. which Mr. Talleyrand predicted would be the confequence; while it was pri-vately intimated to them that they mult leave the country. The minister's conduct on the country. The minifier's conduct on this occafion, towards General Marthall (as detailed in his journal) was particularly marked with indignities. When it was ob-ferved to Mr. Talleyrand, that this was not the manner in which a foreign minifier ought to be treated; Mr. Talleyrand replied, that General Marthall was not a foreign minifier, but was to be confidered as a private Ame-rican citizen; and muft obtain his paffport like others through the Conful. To this it was anfwered, that Gen. Marthall was a was answered, that Gen. Marshall was a was antwered, that Gen. Brannah was a foreign minifer,* and that the French go-vernment could not deprive him of that cha-racter, which was conferred upon him, not by Mr. Talleyrand, but By the United States; and though the Directory might re-gin bi-States; and though the Directory might re-fufe to receive or treat with them, fill his country had clothed him with the requifite powers which he held independently of France, that if he was not acceptable to the French government, and in confequence thereof it was determined to fend him away, full he was determined to tend min away, thit de ought to be fent away like a minifier; that he ought to have his paffports, with letters of fafe conduct which would protect him from the cruizers of France. Mr. Talley-rand replied, that if General Marshall wifted for a paffport, he must give in his name, flature, rge, complexion, &c. to the American Conful, who would obtain obtain a paffport for him : that with re-fpect to a letter of fafe conduct, it was un-neceffary, as no rifk from the cruifers would be incurred be incurred.

The refult of these conversations was a plain demonstration of the intention of the ninifter, that in confequence of his intima-tion at the close of his letter of the 18th of March, that the "opinions" of two of the envoys were not agreeable to the govern-ment of France, Generals Pinckney and Marthall sbould appropriate to themselves the character which the minister had drawn generally. The envoys, aware of this fnare, in their answer of the third of April to the intheir aniwer of the third of April to the in-timation that "the directory was disposed to treat with one of the envoys," declare to the minifter, "that no one of the envoys was authorized to take upon himself a negocia-tion evidently entrufted to the whole," and " that no two of them could propose to withdraw themselves from the task committed to them by their government, while there remained a poffibility of performing it;" but that if " it fhould be the will of the Directory to order paffports for the whole or any number of them," it was defired that fuch paffports might be accompanied with letters of fafe conduct, to protect them egainst the cruizers of France.

These endeavors of the French Govern-ment, whether real or affected, to draw Mr. Gerry into a feparate negociation, conflictute the fubftance of the correspondence between him and Mr. Talleyrand. They appear to merit confideration in feveral points of view. t. Becaufe if seal, it was only in the hope and every factor that his instances

The Directory and their minifter Mr Tal- | Talleyrand afferting and Mr. Gerry denying the competency of his powers.

leyrand hoped and expected that General Pinckney and General Marthall would vo-luntarily have ouitted France, after the mi-nifter's letter of the 18th of Marth, in which he made the offenfive diffinct on between them and their colleague Mr. Gerry, on the pretence, that his "opinions" were more "impartial" than theirs. Accordingly Mr. Talleyrand, in his letter to Mr. Gerry of the 3d of April, fays,—"I fuppole, fir, that Meffre, Enchange and Marthall the the optimises of the second the second life hore and the second the s admiffible, others founded in reafon and e-quity, and in the ufual courfe of diplomatic gotiation, might be brought forward. Doubtlefs they alfo wifhed, when their at-tonifhment at the first overtures had fubliced, by listening still longer to fuch dishonorable propolitions, to afcertain the true character of the French government. We have feen them, after waiting five weeks from the prethem, after waiting not weeks from the pic-fentation of a copy of their letters of cre-dence, 'entirely unnoticed, " folicit an at-tention to their miffion," and foliciting in vain. Thus denied an official hearing, they hoped by an unufual flep to excite the attention of that government: they determined to transmit to the minister a letter representing the views of their own government in relation to the fubjects in diffute with France. This letter dated the 17th was devered the '31st of January, 1798. Waiting near a month without an anfwer, and "fill being anxious to hear explicitly from Mr. Talleytand himfelf, before they fent their final letter, whether there were no means, within their powers, of accommo-dating our differences with France, on just and reafonable grounds,—on the 27th of February they defired "a perfonal interview on the fubject of their miffion;" and after-terwards a fecond interview. They remark on what palled at these meetings, " that the views of France, with regard to the United States, were not effentially changed fince their communications with its un-official a-

gents in the preceding October." At length they received Mr. Talleyrand's letter of the 18th March, 1798, in anfwer to theirs of the 17th of January. The mi-nifter's letter reprefented the complaints of France; as ufual, charging the American Government with the inexecution of the treaties with Brance, with discussion of the treaties with France-with dissimulationtreaties with France—with dissimulation— infinuating that our tribunals were fubject to a secret influence—holding up the British treaty as replete with evil and injury, and "the principal grievance of the republic"— accusing the American government of a wish to feize the first favorable occasion to confummate an intimate union with Great-Britain, and fuggetting that a devotion and partiality to that power have long been the principle of the conduct of the federal go-

To this letter of the French minifier, the To this letter of the French mininer, the envoys fent their reply on the 3d of April. This reply and their former letter detect the iophifins and erroneous flatements of the minifter—expose his naked affertions—refute his arguments—repel his calumnies—and completely vindicate the fidelity, the juffice and, as a neutral power, the impartiality of the government of the United States; and, at the fame time, exhibit the weighty and well-founded complaints of the United States against the French republic. " Hitherto, instead of a define to obtain a

reconciliation, we can different in the French government only empty professions of a defire to conciliate ; while it haughtily refufed to receive our Envoys, and during fix months diffregarded their refpectful and ardent folicitations to negotiate : And after one of them, whom it induced to remain in France, had declared that " be bad no powers to areat separately, that the measure was impossible," then the Directory expelled the other

he mone y propositions, which had before been made, were repeated, in the room and in the preferce, though perhaps not in the hearing of Mr. Talleyrand. Mr. X put hearing of Mr. Talleyrand. Mr. X put the queftion to Mr. Gerry in direct terms, either, "whether the Envoys would now give the *douceur*," or "whether they had got the MONEY ready." Mr. Gerry, very juffly offended, anfwered politively in the nega-tive, and the convertation dropped. Mr. Z, who has avowed himfelf to be Mr. Hutteral was the applied of 2

December, X, Y, and Z, dined together at Mr. Talleyrand's, in company with Mr. Gerry; and that after rifing from the table

Mr. Hauteval, was the perfon who first made known to the Envoys the Minister's lefire to confer with them individually, on he objects of their miffion : He it was, who inf introduced Mr. Gerry to Mr. Talley-rand, and ferved as the interpreter of their converfations : and in his letter to Mr. Taleyrand, at the close of Mr. Gerry's docu-ment, No. 35, he announces himfelf to be the agent of the Minister, to make commu-

Mr. Hauteval declares " his fentibility muft be much affected on finding himfelf, under the letter Z, acting a part in company with certain intriguers, whole plan, (he ays) it doubtless was to take advantage of he good faith of the American Envoys, and make them their dupes" : yet this perion, the avowed agent of the French Minister, apparently fo anxious to forcen himfelf from the fufficion of an agency in foliciting the pribe required by Mr. Talleyrand, did himfelf arge a compliance with that corrupt

The fenfation which thefe details irrelifta-The ientation which thele details irrelifia-bly excite, is that of affonifhment at the unparalleled effrontery of Mr. Talleyrand, in demanding of Mr. Gerry the names or X, Y, and Z; after Y had accompanied him on a vifit to the minifler, with whom the con-versation detailed in the printed dispatches then passed, and who then afford Mr. Ger-ry, fither the information Mr. Y had given y "that the information Mr. Y. had given him was juft, and might always be relied on ;" after Z had in the first instance introluced Mr. Gerry to the minister, and ferved as their mutual interpreter, and when the conversation between them had also been stated in the dispatches; and after X, Y, and

* Extract of a letter, dated June 15th, 1798. from Mr. King, Minifter of the United States in London, to the Secretary of State. " Col. Trumbull, who was at Paris foon af-ter the arrival there of the Commiffioners, has more than once informed me that Hauteval told him that both the douceur and the loan were in-diffeesfable, and urged him to employ his influ-ence with the American Commiffioners to of-ter the bribe as well as the laam." injured_ and expectation, that by intrigues and ter-rors the French government might influonce Mr. Gerry to enter into a formal treaty, on the terms which he and his colleagues had repeatedly rejected as incompatible with the interests, honor and independence of their country. For at this time Mr. Talleyrand had not renounced the demands of loans and had not renounced the demands of loans and a douceur as the indifpenfable preliminaries of a treaty. Accordingly we fee Mr. Tal-leyrand, in his letter of the 3d of April to Mr. Gerry, proposed " to resume their reci-procal communications upon the interests of the French republic and the United States of America." And in his letter of July 12th, to Mr. Gerry, having mentioned the arrival at the Havre of a packet, the Sonhia from at the Havre of a packet, the Sophia, from the American government, he fays, " until then I never fappofed you entertained the defign of embarking before we had come to an agreement upon the definitive articles to be ratified by your government." 2. Be-caufe if that government had fo far fucceed ed, it would have infifted on its ratification by the Prefident and Senate, on the ground onstantly taken by Mr. Talleyrand, that the powers of the envoys being several as well as joint, Mr. Gerry when alone, even after the French government had ordered his lleagues to leave France, were adequate to the formation of the treaty ; and that there-fore the public faith would be violated, if it were not ratified. 3. Becaufe under fuch circumftances, the French government doubt-lefscalculated at leaft on a division of the public opinion in the United States in favor of the ratification of fuch a treaty ; by means of which it might *enforce* the ratification, or effect still greater mischiefs. 4. But these endeavors to draw Mr. Gerry into a formal negociation are chiefly remarkable because they were perfevered in during near five months, against his constant, direct and positive refusals to treat reparately : Mr

* On the 9th of October 1797, the day af-ter the Envoys had delivered to the minifier a copy of their letter of credence, "cards of hof-pitality were fent to them and their Secretaries, in a flyle fuitable to their official character." [Difpatchesp. 17.] And in the minifier's letter to them of the 18th of March, 1798, he calls them "the Commificaners and Envoys Extra-ordinary of the United States of America." Difpatches p. 92.] Difpatches p. 92.]

If now we furcey Mr. Gerry's individual correspondence, we shall find no folid evidence of any change in the disposition of the French

In his first letter to Mr. Gerry, Mr. Tal-eyrand's astifice is visible : he address him leyrand's article is vilible : he addrefies him as "Envoy Extraordinary of the United States of America, to the French Republic ;" and proposes to him to "resume their recipro-cal communications." Mr. Gerry, appre-hending that the Minister intended to draw him into a negotiation, repeats what he had often before declared, that for him to treat eparately was impracticable ; and that he an only confer with him informally.

On the 20th of April, Mr. Gerry addreffes a letter to the minifter, and preffes him to come forward with propositions for terminating all differences, reftoring harmony, and re-effablishing commerce between the wo nations. He receives no answer. On the 28th he confers with the minister, who fays he cannot make propolitions, becaufe he does not know the views of the United States in regard to a treaty. Mr. Geny gives him the information. He then promites in three or four days to deliver Mr. Geiry the project of a treaty : This promife was never performed. On the 12th of May, the new inftructions of March 23d, fent by the Sophia packet, reached Mr. Gerry ; and he gave immediate notice to the minister that he fhould return to America in the Sophia, as foon as the could be fitted for fea.

"On the 25th of May the minister fent his principle fecretary to inform Mr. Gerry, that his government did not wish to break the British Treaty; but expected such prov-fions as would indemnify France, and put her on a footing with that nation." Yet that treaty had been made, by the French goverr-ment, its chief pretence for those unjust and cruel depredations on American commerce which have brought diffress on multitudes which have brought differs on multitudes and ruin on many of our citizens; and occa-fioned a total lofs of property to the United States of prebably more than twenty millions of dollars; befides fubjecting our fellow... citizens to infults, firipes, wounds, torture and impriforment. And Mr. Talleyrand,