## THE BEDFORD GAZETTE IS PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY MORNING,

BY B. F. MEYERS. the following terms, to wit:

the following terms, to wit:
\$1.50 per annum, cash, in advance.
\$2.00 " " if paid within the year.
\$2.50 " " if not paid within the year.

No subscription taken for less than six months. No paper discontinued until all arrearages are paid, unless at the option of the publishers. It has been decided by the United States Courts, that the

rearages, is prima facie evidence of fraud and is a criminal offence. The courts have decided that persons are accountable for the subscription price of newspapers, it they take them from the post office, whether they subscribe for them, or not.

## OBSERVATIONS

## SENATOR DOUGLAS'S

POPULAR SOVREIGNTY,

AS EXPRESSED IN HARPERS' MAGAZINE, FOR SEPT., 1859.

## PREFATORY NOTE.

The writer of these "Observations" waited a few days after the appearance of Harpers' Magazine for September, in the confinent expectation that some-body with more leisure and greater ability, would express the almost universal dissent public mind from the views contained in Mr. Doug-las's article. He yielded to "the request of friends" only when he saw what he supposed to be a general wish for a discussion more extended than could be given of such a subject in newspaper paragraphs.

Why not put the writer's name to it? Because the truth or falsehood of what is written does not de-pend on the name or character of him who wrote it. Ho libellum! Let it go forth, and find what enter-Washington, Sept. 7, 1859.

the leading ideas without loss of time, and some

few have probably read it with care. Those who dissent from the doctrines of this

Many portions of it are very obscure. It seems semblance of a prohibition. to be an unsuccessful effort at legal precision;
like the writing of a judge, who is trying in

2. The dispute on the question whether slavery or freedom is local or general, is a mere

and saturated with mischief. The "irrepressible conflicts" which they speak of with so States, will be fatal, not merely to the peace of the country, but to the existence of the Govern-Mr. Douglas knows this, and he knows, also, that the Democratic party is the only power which is, or can be, organized to resist the Republican forces or oppose their hostile march upon the capital. He who divides and weakens the friends of the country at such a crisis in her fortunes, assumes a very grave

into three classes, and describes them as fol-

Those who believe that the Constitution

perative duty of Congress to interpose its authority | the Osage.

"Third, Those who, while professing to believe its protection; but insist that it is the duty of the judiciary to maintain slavery in the Territories

therefore, be most carefully considered.

belongs, and to both the others he is equally close on the heels of their abolition brethren; opposed. He has no right to come between but it is devoutly to be hoped that Mr. Dougfight it out. We shall therefore confine our- can keep that oath by fighting the judiciaselves to the dispute between Mr. Douglas and bis followers on the one hand, and the rest of the Democratic party on the other, presuming that he will be willing to observe the principal selves to the dispute between Mr. Douglas and of the country of the Constitution of the United States." This carries us round a full circle, and drops us precised that he will be willing to observe the principal selves to the dispute between Mr. Douglas and not represented, never dedlegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be that he was not talking about powers which the slave owner. Slaves were admitted to be belonged to either of these classes, but about a ding the slave owner. It is shown that all the great statesmen of former that he will be willing to observe the principal selves to the dispute between Mr. Douglas and not represented, never dedlegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be the legal or the natural right of the slave owner. Slaves were admitted to be belonged to either of these classes, but about a ding the slave owner. It is a shown that all the great statesmen of former that he will be willing to observe the principal selves to the dispute between Mr. Douglas and not represented, never delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas should have kown nies, be delegated. But Mr. Douglas s

which be has no concern.

We will invert the order in which he has liscussed the subject, and endeavor to show-1. That he has not correctly stated the doc trine held by his opponents; and

2. That his own opinions, as given by himself, are altogether unsound.

I. He says that a certain portion of the Dem. ocratic party believe, or profess to believe, that the Constitution establishes slavery in the Terstoppage of a newspaper without the payment of arritories, and insist that it is the duty of the judiciary to maintain it there without any law on the subject. We do not charge him with any intention to be unfair; but we assert, that he has in fact done wrong to, probably, nineteentwentieths of the party, by attempting to put tion did, in fact, make it lawful below the com-them on grounds which they never chose for promise line.

slavery in the Territories, nor anywhere else. Nobody in this country ever thought or said so. But the Constitution regards as sacred and inviolable all the rights which a citizen may legally acquire in a State. If a man acquires property of any kind in a State, and goes with it into in a code unrevealed, unwritten, and undefined territory, he is not for that reason to be stripped of it. Our simple and plain proposition is, that the legal owner of a slave or other chattel may go with it into a Federal Territory without the Constitution is rightly interpreted by the forfeiting his title.

which support it are very obvious and very con- and a covenant with hell." clusive. As a jurist and a statesman, Mr. Douglas ought to be familiar with them, and there

and hold them there under his contract. It is the Constitution recognized as property. precisely so with the status of a negro carried the man to be treated with a disdainful silence. on the law of the place where he came from, His ability is a fact unquestioned; his public and depends on that alone, if there be no concareer, in the face of many disadvantages, has flicting law at the place to which he goes or is been uncommonly successful; and he has been taken. The Federal constitution therefore refor many years a working, struggling candidate for the Presidency. He is, moreover, the Corrypheus of his political sect—the founder of a stand unabolished, and regards it as illegal status already impressed the first them, until it Republicans have yet witheld. new school—and his disciples naturally believe wherever the laws of the place have forbidden in the infallible verity of his words as a part of it. A slave being property in Virginia, remains property; and his master has all the The style of the article is, in some respects, rights of a Virginia master wherever he may go highly commendable. It is entirely free from the vulgar clap-trap of the stump; and has no comes in conflict with his right. It will not be vain adorument of classical scholarship; but it pretended that the Constitution itself furnishes shows no sign of the eloquent Senator; it is e-ven without the logic of the great debater.— to the Territories a connicting law. It con-tains no provision that can be tortured into any

vain to give good reasons for a wrong decision war of words. The black race in this country on a question of law which he has not quite is neither bond nor free by virtue of any general law. That portion of it which is free is so by With the help of Messrs. Seward and Lin-virtue of some local regulation, and the slave does not attempt to conceal his conviction that declare that everything done in the premises by their doctrines are, in the last degree, danger- the State governments is right, and they shall They are, most assuredly, full of evil be protected in carrying it out. But free negroes and slaves may both find themselves outside of any State jurisdiction, and in a Terrimuch pleasure between the "opposing and en- tory where no regulation has yet been made on during forces" of the Northern and Southern the subject. There the Constitution is equally impartial. It neither frees the slave nor enslaves the freeman. It requires both to remain in statu quo until the status already impressed ject ? upon them by the law of their previous domicil shall be changed by some competent local authority. What is competent local authority in a Territory will be elsewhere considered.

3. The Federal Constitution carefully quards the rights of private property against the Federal Government itself, by declaring that it Mr. Douglas separates the Democratic party shall not be taken for public use without compensation, nor without due process of law .-Slaves are private property, and every man of the United States neither establishes or prohibits slavery in the States or Territories beyond the powslavery in the States or Territories beyond the people legally to control it, but, "leaves bound to regard them as such. Does anybody the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United ly would wantonly destroy that right, not by "Second; Those who believe that the Constitution any words that are found in it, but by mere stabilishes slavery in the Territories, and withholds stabilishes slavery in the Territorial Legislature the jower to control it, and who insist that, in the event the Territorial Legislature fails to enact the requisite laws for its protection, it becomes the important of the constitution gave Lane and Montagonesis to the constitution gave Lane and Mo

4. The Supreme Court of the United States that the Constitution establishes slavery in the Territories beyond the power of Congress or the Territorial Legislature to control it, at the same time protest against the duty of Congress to interfere for protest against the duty of the legislature to control it, at the duty of the legislature to control it, at the same time protest against the duty of Congress to interfere for the legislature to control it, at the duty of the legislature to control it, at the same time bundless announced its opinion to be that a slaveholder, by going into a Federal Territory has decided the question. After solemn argubunal has announced its opinion to be that a does not lose the title he had to his negro in the State from which he came. In former times, a ithout any law upon the subject."

State from which he came. In former times, a question of constitutional law once decided by own statement. This is his mode of expressing the Supreme Court was regarded as settled by those differences, which, he says, disturb the all, except that little band of ribald infidels, harmony, and threaten the integrity, of the A- who meet periodically at Boston to blaspheme merican Democracy. These passages should, the religion and plot rebellion against the laws of the country. The leaders of the so-called The first class is the one to which he himself Republican party have lately been treading second and third class. If the difference las has no intention to follow their example. which he speaks of does exist among his oppo- In case he is elected President, he must see the nents, it is their business, not his, to settle it or laws faithfully executed. Does he think he

ple of non-intervention in all matters with so firmly that they did not even think of any tution, leaves every body subject to the Comstilit by paying their masters one hundred millions Federal Government, and incapable of being enactment. Nobody believed that a slave It merely darkens the subject, as words withmight not have been taken to and kept in the out meaning always do. or some other regulation had not been made to erty would be as lawful in the eye of the Constitution above 36 deg. 30 min. as below; and all agreed, that the mere absence of a restrictiver he goes, and adheres to him in every is competent to take it away. We say, No;

6. It is right to learn wisdom from our ene-The Constitution certainly does not establish mies. The Republicans do not point to any express provision of the Constitution, nor to any general principle embraced in it, nor to any established rule of law, which sustains their views. The ablest men among them are driv- race within their respective jurisdictions, so as sagacity to see that it was undenable. He deprived of his property except by due process en by stress of necessity to hunt for arguments But here we come to the point at which opinions diverge. Some insist that no citizen bible, and call it "higher law." The ultra abolitionists of New England do not deny that the Constitution is rightly interested by the provision of a State and the constitution of the property snall not of confiscating private property on the ground be taken for public use without just compensation." It is universally agreed that this applies only to the exercise of the power by the constitution is rightly interested by the provision of a State.

7. What did Mr. Douglas mean when he proposed and voted for the Kansas-Nebraska bill in favor of the latter doctrine, that if it be not gress for the necessary preservation of order But if the Territorial governments have this was a time when he was supposed to understand repealing the Missouri restriction? Did he inthem very well. We will briefly give him a tend to tell southern men that notwithstanding "irrepressible conflict," which shall end only ferred upon it are expressed in the organic act, the repeal of the prohibition, they were exclu-It is an axiomatic principle of public law, ded from those Territories as much as ever? dominion of slavery. On the other hand, the which may be changed or repealed at the pleasthat a right of property, a private relation, con- Or did he not regard the right of a master to his President, the Judges of the Supreme Court, dition or status, lawfully existing in one State or country, is not changed by the mere remorphishition? Did he, or anybody else at that Every one knows that Mr. Douglas, the Senator from Illinois, has written and printed an elaborate essay, comprising thirty-eight columns with it. For instance: A marriage legally solemnized in France is binding in America; Magazine in which he has undersolemnized in France is binding in America; The Kansas-New questions is to answer them? The Kansas-New and that those who desire to confiscate private property of any kind must judges, and all other officers whose appoint. if they are legitimate there; and a merchant snare. It was well understood that the repeal or the machinery of a State government into ment is not otherwise provided for, directly or take every kind of property in mere caprice, who buys goods in New York according to the alone of the restriction against slavery would their hands. We venture to give the following laws of that State may carry them to Illinois throw the country open to everything which reasons for believing that Mr. Douglas is in error

status already impressed upon them, until it shall be changed by competent local authority.

Republicans have yet witheld.

The right of property is sacred, and the first transcendent power, which even despend that this is sustained by the reason of the thing, have great principle of public sacred. It one to make it cautious about using, and which a constitutional party, by accident, by force, or by fraud, has a

who acts without law acts against law

tories as well as in the States, to be determined or housebreaker. by the local law, then we admit it, for it is the

other. It was universally taken for granted that tution, is most true. We are far from denying of dollars for the privilege of setting them free. delegated, for the simple reason that it does not a slave remained a slave, and a freeman a free-man, in the new Territories, until a change never will. But the statement of it proves nowas made in their condition by some positive thing, defines nothing, and explains nothing. interests of the South—which the people of

Northwest Territory, if the ordinance of 1787 But notwithstanding all this circuity of expression and consequent opaqueness of meaning kind among whom it has existed—which was liberately reflect on the probable consequences prohibit it. The Missouri restriction of 1820 in the magazine article of Mr. Douglas, we was imposed solely because it was understood think we can guess what his opinions are or will probably by every member of that Congress) be when he comes to reconsider the subject.that, in the absence of a restriction, slave prop- He will admit (at least he will not undertake to to the owner as much as any other property is

> It will also be agreed that the people of a State, through their Legislature, and the people ed, and so universally acknowledged, that any of a Territory, in the constitution which they argument in its favor would be a mere waste may frame preparatory to their admission as a of words. Mr. Douglas does not deny it, and restrictions. Accordingly our Federal Con-State, can regulate and control the subject black it did not require the thousandth part of his stitution declares that "no person shall be to make them bond or free.

constitution or by the act of a State Legislature; which he thinks is to split the Democracy and also protected against the State governments Democrats, as not interfering against slavery in while others contend that an unlimited control impale the nation. But it is so entirely erro- by a similar provision in the State constitutions. Who denies the truth of this, and upon what the Territories : but they disdain to obey what over private rights may be exercised by a Terneous, that it must vanish into thin air as soon ground can it be controverted? The reasons they pronounce to be "an agreement with death ritorial Legislature as soon as the earliest settle- as it comes to be examined.

with the universal abolition or the universal

The Supreme Court has decided that a Ter-We have thus given what we believe to be ritorial Legislature has not the power which paper owe to its author, if not to his arguments, a most respectful answer. Mr. Douglas is not the question of his freedom or servitude depends ocratic party: namely, that the Federal Concepts the question of his freedom or servitude depends ocratic party: namely, that the Federal Concepts the question of his freedom or servitude depends ocratic party: namely, that the Federal Concepts the indicate the indicate that the finding the precisely so with the same of the power of the opinions held by the great body of the Demonstration of the power of the opinions held by the great body of the Demonstration of his freedom or servitude depends ocratic party: namely, that the Federal Concepts the indicate the power of the opinions held by the great body of the Demonstration of his freedom or servitude depends ocratic party: namely, that the Federal Concepts the indicate the power of the power of the power of the process of the power of the opinions held by the great body of the Dem- he claims for it. That alone ought to be suffistitution does not establish slavery anywhere in ty for any man's rights, unless the judicial the Union; that it permits a black man to be authority of the country be upheld. Mr. either held in servitude or made free as the local Douglas may do what he pleases with political law shall decide; and that in a Territory where conventions and party platforms, but we trust

son of the thing, by a great principle of public secure. Life is always unsafe where property monarch never exercises—how does it get into majority in the Legislature, the negroes are law, by the words of the whole course of our is not fully protected. This is the experience legislation, by the concession of our political op- of every people on earth, ancient and modern. ponents, and, finally, by the most important act in the public life of Mr. Douglas himself.

To secure private property was a principal that it accompanies the settlers, or exists in statute to plunder the graziers of their cattle in the public life of Mr. Douglas himself.

To secure private property was a principal that it accompanies the settlers, or exists in object of Magna Charla. Charles the 1. the Territory before its organization. Indeed Such things cannot be done by the Federal object of Magna Charta. Charles the 1. the Territory before its organization. Indeed Such things cannot be done by the Federal Mr. Douglas imputes another absurdity to his opponents when he charges them with insis- ling "that it is the duty of the judiciary to protect and maintain slavery in the Territories later period another monarch for a kindted the same time that it gives the Territorial gov- ments. Is it not every way better to wait until without any law upon the subject." The judge offence was driven out of the country, and died erument. But not a word of the kind is to be the new inhabitants know themselves and one Our own Revolu- found in any o surely no sentiment so atrocious as this was ev- ti on was provoked by that slight invasion upon It is thus that Mr. Douglas argument runs iter entertained by any portion of the Democrat- the right of the property which consisted in the self out into nothing. ic party. The right of a master to the servi- exaction of a trifling tax. There is no govern- But if Congress would pass a statute expressly regularly conterred upon them and properly coln, he has defined accurately enough the platform of the so-called Republican party; and he
form of the so-called Republican party; and he
form of the so-called Republican party; and he
stitution and laws of the United States simply
for without law, but in full accordance with
would not be disgraced and endangered by governments, they still would not have it; for nor without law, but in full accordance with would not be disgraced and endangered by governments, they still would not have it; for always accompany unrestricted power in law. If the law be against it we are all against wantonly sacrificing private property even to the Federal Government itself does not possess human hands ? it. Has not the emigrant to Nebraska a legal a small extent. For centuries past such any control over men's property in the Territo-

said of a judge who tells him that he is not pro- and sell, and carry on their business, provide Congress, by the assent of the Executive, and Douglas, thought that, in the case of Kansas, tected, or that he is maintained in the possession for their families, and make their wills and di- by the direct ratification of the people acting in the question of retaining or abolishing slavery of his property "without any law upon the sub- vide their inheritance on that assumption. It their primary capacity at the polls. In addi- should not be determined by any representative is manifest to all who know them, that no tion to all this, the Supreme Court have delib- body without giving to the whole mass of the II. We had a right to expect from Mr. doubts ever cross their minds about the rightful- erately adjudged it to be an unalterable rule of people an opportunity of voting on it. Mr. Douglas at least a clear and intelligible defini- ness of holding such property. They believe constitutional law. tion of his own doctrine. We are disappoint they have a direct warrant for it, not only in This acknowledgment that Congress has no the constitution, denying even its validity, beted. It is hardly possible to conceive anything the examples of the best men that ever lived, power, authority, or jurisdiction over the subject, cause other and undisputed parts of it had not more difficult to comprehend. We will tran- but in the precepts of Divine Revelation itself; scribe it again, and do what can be done to a- and they are thoroughly satisfied that the rela- trine, or else to maintain it by asserting that a he is willing that the whole slavery dispute in tion of master and slave is the only one which power which the Federal Government does not any Territory, and all questions that can arise "Those who believe that the Constitution of the can possibly exist there between the white and United States neither establishes nor prohibits sla- the black race without ruining both. The peo- Territorial government. The right to abolish other property, shall be decided at once by a very in the States or Territories beyond the power of the people legally to control it, but "leaves the people legally to control it, but "leaves the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their ple of the South on the whole subject, but by the Constitution to Congress; it, is withheld, at all. Popular sovereignty in the last Congress domestic institutions in their own way, subject on-to the Constitution of the United States.'" knowing, as we all do, that these sentiments and therefore the same as if expressly prohibit-are sincerely and honestly entertained, we led. Yet Mr. Douglas declares that Consequent are sincerely and honestly entertained, we ed. Yet Mr. Douglas declares that Congress The Constitution neither establishes nor pro- cannot wonder that they feel the most un- may give it to the Territories. Nay; he goes hibits slavery in the States or Territories: If it speakable indignation when any attempt is further, and says that the want of the power in be meant by this that the Constitution does not made to interfere with their rights. This Congress is the very reason why it can deleproprio vigore, either emancipate any man's sentiment results unturally and necessarily gate it-the general rule, in his opinion, being slave, or create the condition of slavery, and from their education and habits of thinking. that Congress cannot delegate the powers it impose it on free negroes, but leaves the ques- They cannot help it, any more than an honest possesses, but may delegate such, "and only singular; but the reasons he has produced to tion of every black man's status, in the Terri- man in the North can avoid abhorring a thief such, as Congress cannot exercise under the support them are infinitely more curious still .--

ing to prove. But it, on the contrary, it is to ted the right of property in slaves held by their

course a subject, which is legally controlled, rights of the masters to the control of their cannot be beyond the power that controls it. slaves as property have been respected and on bestowed upon the General Government which he will read a common-school history of the But the question is, what constitutes legal con- no occasion has any government struck at those are in their nature judicial or executive. With Revolution, and then look at Ait. I, sec. 8, of the trol, and when the people of a State or Territo-rights, except as it would strike at other proper-ty are in a condition to exercise it.

The Constitution of the William of the World Strike at other proper-ty. Even the British Parlament, when it are in a condition to exercise it.

ty. Even the British Farmanicin, when it must they are executed by the Constitution of the United States \* emancipated the West India slaves, though it officers. It is also true that Congress has cerment has "power to lay and collect taxes, duties," and a condition to exercise it. leaves the people perfectly free, was legislating for a people three thousand tain legislative powers which cannot be imposts, and excises;" and, 2. That the colo-\* \* \* and subject only to the Constitution of the United States." This carnied either the legal or the natural right of that he was not talking about powers which taxed by Great Brittan; and so far from conceries us round a full circle, and drops us precise- the slave owner. Slaves were admitted to be belonged to either of these classes, but about a ding the power, foutght against it for seven long

Here, then, is a species of property which is of transcendent importance to the material Will anybody say to

legal only a short time ago in all the States without deprecating them. of the Union, and was then treated as sacred by every one of them-which is guaranteed deny) that the status of a negro, whether of guaranteed by the Constitution;—and Mr. always greater than any other. It is there that servitude or freedom, accompanies him where- Douglas thinks that a Territorial Legislature the subjects of a limited monarchy watch their the supreme legislative power of a sovereign State alone can deprive a man of his property.

claims for the Territorial governments the right of law," and that "private property shall not

it with a breath. ic act that ever was framed.

right to the ox team, which he bought in Ohio outrages have ceased to be committed in times to haul him over the plains? Is not his title of peace among civilized nations.

That such power does not exist in the power to be committed in times of peace among civilized nations.

That such power does not exist in the power to be committed in times of peace among civilized nations.

The present Administration of the present Adm as good to it in the Territory, as it was in the Slaves are regarded as property in the South- State where he got it? And what should be ern States. The people of that section buy sides, established by the solemn decision of ic party throughout the country, including Mr.

literally obliges Mr. Douglas to give up his doc- also been submitted to a popular vote. Now possess may be given by Congress to the concerning the right of the people to that or Constitution!" By turning to page 520 and For instance, he shows that Jefferson once The jurists, legislators, and people of the 521, the reader will see that this astounding introduced into the old Congress of the very same proposition which we have been try- Northern States, have always sacredly respec- proposition actually made, not in jest or irony, but solemnly, seriously, and, no doubt in per- Territories, calling them by the name of "New be understood as an assertion that the Constitution own citizens within their own jurisdiction. It feet good faith. On this principle, as Congress States," but not making them anything like tion does not permit a master to keep his slave, is a remarkable fact, very well worth noticing, cannot exercise the power to make an ex sovereign or independent States; and though this or a free negro to have his liberty, in all parts that no Northern State ever passed any law to post facto law, or a law impairing the obligation was a mere experimental projet, which was reof the Union where the local law does not in- take a negro from his master. All laws for of contracts, therefore it may authorize such jected by Congress, and never afterwards referterfere to prevent it, then the error is not only the abolition of slavery have operated only on laws to be made by the town connecils of red to by Jefferson himself, yet Mr. Donglas a very grave one, but it is also absurd and self- the unborn descendants of the negro race, and Washington city, or the levy court of the dis- argues upon it as if it had somehow become the vested rights of masters have not been trict. If Congress passes an act to hang a man part of our fundamental law. "The Constitution neither establishes nor prohibits slavery in the States or Territories beyond In every nation under heaven, civilized, allow it to be executed; but the power to do Federal Government the same powers which as the power of the people legally to control it." semi-barbarous, or savage, where slavery has this prohibited thing can be constitutionally colonies they had been willing to concede to the This is sailing to Point-No-Point again. Of existed in any form at all analogous to ours, the given by Congress to a Territorial Legislature! British Government, and kept those which as

Will anybody say that such a power ought, as a matter of policy, or for reason of public that region think it right and meritorious in safety, to be held by the provisional governments the eyes of God and good men to hold-which of the Territories? Undoubtedly no true patriot, is sanctioned by the general sense of all man- nor friend of justice and order, can de-

This power over property is the one which

in all governments has been most carefully guarded, because the temptation to abuse it the subjects of a limited monarchy watch their king with the greatest jealousy. No republic has ever failed to impose strict limitations upon it. All free people know, that if they would remain This proposition is so plain, so well establish- free, they must compel the government to keep its hands off their private property ; andthis can Legislative robbery is therefore a crime which cannot be committed either by Congress or by A Territorial government is merely pro- any State Legislature, unless it be done in flat So strong are the sentiments of Mr. Douglas visional and temporary. It is created by Con- rebellion to the fundamental law of the land. tion whatsoever, and in all the fullness of which is the charter of its existence, and absolute despotism. They are omnipotent in ure of Congress. In most of those acts the sovereign, without a constitution to hold them indirectly, by Congress. Even the expenses of or for any purpose of lucre or malice, without the Territorial government are paid out of the process of law, and without providing for com-Federal treasury. The truth is, they have no attribute of sovereignty about them. The essence of sovereighty consists in having no miners to give up every ounce of gold that has superior. But a Territorial government has a been dug at Pike's Peak. If the authorities superior in the United States Government, of Utah should ficense a band of marauders upon whose pleasure it is dependent for its very existence—in whom it lives, and moves, and their sovereign right to so cannot be questioned. has its being-who has made, and can unmake A new Territory may be organized, which Southern men think should be devoted to the until the policy of the Territory is settled by some experience; and, above all, until the great powers of a sovereign State are limited, so as to prevent the gross abuses which

There is another consideration, which Mr. Douglas carried it further, and warmly opposed meant the freedom of the people from all the restraints of law and order now it means a government which shall rule them with a rod of iron. It swings like a pendulum from one side clear over to the other.

Mr. Douglas's opinions on this subject of sovereign Territorial governments are very federation a plan for the government of the

We admit that there are certain powers colonies they had claimed for themselves. If