Hybrid Test Reports

(Continued from Page 16)

LOCATION DETAILS

Location (County)	Cooperator	Planting Date	Harvest Date	GDD (2001)	GDD (30-yr avg)
Berks	Kermet Schegel	May 1	October 17	2,910	2 829
Franklin	Richard Biesecker	April 25	October 12	2,964	2.876
Lancaster (grain tests)	Penn State	May 2	October 82	2.954	2 598
Lancaster (silage test)	Penn State	May 2	Not harvested	_,	
Lehigh	Dan Hunsicker	April 30	Not harvested		
York	Ritchie Flinchbaugh	April 28	October 11	3.076	3 012

1 GDD data calculated by ZedX, Inc., 369 Rolling Ridge Dr., Bellefonte, PA 16823 GDD are calculated from the planting date un harvest or through October 8. Thirty-year averages are calculated using the same dates.

2 Only one grain test was harvested

Prepared by John E. Ayers', Gregory W. Roth', Wayne R. Haas' John Shaffer' and W. Scott Harkcom' ('Department of Plant Pathology. 'Department of Crop and Soil Sciences)

Corn Growers Say Farmers Are Best Judges Of Biotech Corn Effectiveness

ST. LOUIS, Mo. — The National Corn Growers Association (NCGA) rejects the conclusion of an analysis released by Benbrook Consulting Services claiming that corn developed with Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) has hurt rather than helped U.S. farm income.

Economics rule in corn production and U.S. growers wouldn't use a technology that doesn't give a positive return on investment, noted Leon Corzine, Assumption, Ill., farmer and chairman of the NCGA Biotech Working

"U.S. corn producers are very attuned to costs and rev-

enues and the bottom line. About 18 percent of corn farmers in the U.S. chose to plant Bt corn this past year. It is ridiculous and downright insulting to assume that we would make that decision without having clearly weighed the costs and benefits," said Corzine.

NCGA has long stated that biotech hybrids are one tool that corn producers have at their disposal, Corzine continued. "Individual farmers decide whether it makes sense in their operations," he explained. "It is not appropriate nor effective in all corn production situations."

NCGA's Know Before You

Grow program available at NCGA's Website, www.ncga. com, helps farmers decide whether to use biotech hybrids, he pointed out. And, NCGA supports the Insect Resistance Management (IRM) program to ensure that Bt hybrids will retain their effectiveness in protecting corn from insects.

Corzine said much more credible studies of Bt corn show that it is very effective in areas of high corn borer population. Studies have shown that Bt hybrids offer yield enhancement, a reduction in mycotoxin levels and a reduction in the use of pesticides.

One recent example of such research comes from a group of 22 scientists from USDA and Midwestern land grant universities who made the following statement in response to similar criticisms of Bt corn: "The scientific community has examined the risks and benefits of Bt plants more than any other novel agricultural technology developed over the past two decades, as demonstrated by the vast body of literature, scientific discussions, and numerous public meetings facilitated by the EPA, the USDA, and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on this subject. We find the evidence to date supports the appropriate use of Bt corn as one component in the economically and ecologically sound management of lepidopteran corn pests."

The report by Benbrook is part of a series published by the Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy (IATP) and the Genetically Engineered Food Alert on issues related biotechnology. Rick

Tolman, NCGA executive vice president and CEO, noted, "The IATP report immediately lacks credibility because they use as their farmer organization spokesperson a representative of the American Corn Growers Association (ACGA). ACGA has much stronger ties to and support from the environmental extremists than they do from actual corn producers in the U.S. They are not credible representatives for U.S. corn growers.'

Concluded Corzine, "The bottom line is that if Bt corn were not economic and effective for those farmers who choose to buy it, it would not and will not survive in the marketplace. Farmers know what works for them and what will return net income to their operations. So far, Bt corn has proven its value in appropriate situations. As long as that continues, farmers will continue to use this tool."

For more information about NCGA and biotechnology, visit www.ncga.com.



ORN BITS

NCGA Lists State Winners Of Corn Yield Contests

The National Corn Growers Association recently announced the winners for each class in the Corn Yield Contest for Pennsylvania. The winners, hybrids and the yields are listed below.

National Corn Yield Contest Results — Pennsylvania: A Non Irrigated Class: 1. Donna Coleman, Hybrid Brand: Pioneer, #33B51, Yield (bu/A): 248.14. 2. D. Richard Snyder, Hybrid Brand: Pioneer, #31G98, Yield (bu/A): 235.80. 3. Robert More, Hybrid Brand: Pioneer, #33B51, Yield (bu/ A): 220.19.

A No-till Strip Till Non Irrigated Class: 1. Kyle Henninger, Hybrid Brand: Dekalb, #DKC60-08, Yield (bu/A): 221.71. 2. Richard Crone, Hybrid Brand: Pio-

1 + 3 2 8 - 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1

neer, #33A14, Yield (bu/A): 219.16. 3. Carl Shaffer, Hybrid Brand: Pioneer, #33A14, Yield (bu/A): 218.92.

A Ridge Till Non Irrigated Class: 1. Matthew Maximuck, Hybrid Brand: Dekalb, #DKC61-25, Yield (bu/A) 175.54.

No-till Irrigated Class: 1. Doug Bowersox, Hybrid Brand: Pioneer, #34G13, Yield (bu/A): 141.61.

Irrigated Class: 1. Jack Coleman, Hybrid Brand: Pioneer, #33P67, Yield (bu/A): 242.00. 2. Karl Kroeck, Hybrid Brand: Pioneer, #38P05, Yield (bu/A): 131.40.



WE CUSTOM MANUFACTURE.

Check Our Prices Before You Buy

Commerical Flat Bottom

Flex Flo Feed Systems

Safety Access Equipment

Painted, Galvanized and Stainless Steel Fabrication. Feed Bins, Feeders, Hoppers, Covers, Dump Pits, Augers, and Control Systems to Automate Your Operation.