## Lancaster Farming, Saturday, December 2, 1995-C5



## FOOD PROCESSING WASTES AND **BY-PRODUCT FEEDS**

We have been getting a considerable number of requests lately about the use of food processing and by-product feeds for beef cattle. This usually occurs when the price of grain goes up and alternative, cheaper feeds are sought.

It is extremely hard to generalize about these feeds and what they can do for cattlemen, but there are a few comments that should be made.

These topics include:

• Nutritional value: the only way to determine if these feeds are economically sound is to know the nutritional value. This can only be accomplished with a laboratory analysis, and this should be provided by the seller. Of particular importance is the dry matter content because many of these feeds are high in water and this value must be subtracted from the total value both as a transportation cost and as a reduction in nutrient density.

• Variation in nutritional value: it would be a good idea to actually see where and how the by-product feed is generated to determine the variability of the nutritional value.

The best example is the cattle feeder who was feeding some potato chip waste, but noticed that his cows went off feed every Monday. A little investigation found that the processor dumped his grease in with the waste every Friday, thus throwing the cattle off feed. In some cases the products that are available may vary considerably from one day to the next. This variation must be accounted for in the cost of the product and in the formulation of rations.

• The presence of foreign objects: this is particularly important when feeding waste material from canneries. Metal and/or glass objects will often find their-way into the waste in these operations. Feeders who consistently use these products will have a strong magnet attached to an auger to capture the metal objects. Some consideration should also be given to material that may have been treated with pesticides or other chemicals that could still be present in the material.

· Adaptability to a feeding system: there are some products that may simply be more trouble than they are worth. A good example is the cattle feeder that depends on an auger system to deliver feed to a mixer wagon. One such feeder I

heard of tried using some waste candy bars and spent a lot of time cleaning candy out of his augers. Moisture level of the feed is also an important consideration, particularly because dry matter intake could be compromised when other high moisture stored feeds are part of the ration.

• Storage life and requirements: the moisture content of many of these feeds dictates oxygenlimiting storage for any length of time. This facility may not be available. Temporary storage, such as in silo bags, is always a possibility, but some byproducts will require the addition of some dry material to make the bags work properly. Daily supply of some products, such as cull potatoes, may be small enough that no storage is needed.

• Ration limitations: because of a number of factors, many byproduct feeds have a maximum ration value. The following table shows some commonly used byproduct feeds and their maximum dry matter value of the ration:

•		
<b>By-Product Feed</b>	Maximum Ration	n Dry Matter
apple pomance	25	
broiler litter		35
fresh carrots		20
wet corn gluten feed	50	
dry corn gluten feed	90	
cottonsced hulls		40
thin stillage	10	
bakery waste	20	
wet brewers grain		30
dry brewers grain		30
cookies	25	
cull beans		25
potato byproduct		15
whole soupeans	25	

• Fermentation rate: many byproduct feeds have high energy values and may be costcompetitive with corn. There is a difference, however, in the source of the energy. Potato chips, for

example, have a high energy value, but the source of the energy is fat and high starch. This changes the fermentation rate of these feeds for ruminants. Feeds with higher fermentation rates require more precise ration formulation, possible additions of sodium bicarbonate, and better bunk management.

• Opportunity costs: the value of any of these feeds is based on its comparable value with a traditional feedstuff. Some byproduct protein feeds are of the "high by-pass" variety and would have an advantage in rations under certain circumstances. For the cow herd, the protein values on a unit of crude protein must be compared with a conventional source such as soybean meal. Be sure to include all the costs of using the product including additional transportation, storage, feeding, labor, and "aggravation" factors.

Some specific feeding management to consider: · Watch out for glass and metal

%

in canned vegetable waste. · Do not mix urea with apple pomace and ensile the material. This has caused abortions when

fed to pregnant cows. . Know something about the history of herbicide and pesticide use o.i fresh vegetable and fruits. For example, frosted vegetables that were recently sprayed with a pesticide may have excessive residue.

• Poultry litter may contain high levels of some heavy metals, particularly copper. Many areas already have high levels of copper in the drinking water, and this could increase the intake of copper to toxic levels. Have the litter analyzed for nutrient content as well as copper levels.

 Corn gluten feed usually contains high levels of phosphorus and care must be taken to be sure the calcium:phosphorus ratio is correct when using high amounts of this feed in the ration.

• Potato chips are high in salt, so care must be taken to regulate the amount of the material in the diet to no more than 15-20 percent. This will prevent problems with ionophore use with the high salt levels, as well as regulate fat content in the diet.

Many food processors are paying for the removal and disposal of their waste. Much of this material has value as cattle feed, but care must be taken to account for all of the costs of its use.





- - - - - -