## GRAIN, CATTLE AND HOG FUTURES MARKETS <br> (Closing bids: Thursday, Nov. 2, 1994)

| DTN FOTURES |  |  | 1411 |  | TECBHICAL POINTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | SLOW STOCHASTIC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RICES | ARE DE | Imal |  | , | VERIG |  |  |  | RSI'S |  | 5 DA |  | 20 D |  |
|  | ConTR | ACT | OSE | day | 9-D | -day | Y 45-DA |  | DAX | 14D | 30D | K | 3D |  |  |
|  | HEAT | DEC 387 | . 75 | 75 | 393.5 | 98.39 | 9396.2 | 2739 | 9.2 | 33.0 | 50. | 32 | 21 |  | 19 |
|  | ELT | MAR 399. | . 00398 | 8.62 | 404.1 | 108.69 | 9402.6 |  | 1.9 | 46.1 | 50.2 | 36 | 22 |  |  |
|  | CWHT | DEC 400 | . 75398 | 8. | 402.61 | 405.85 | 5401.92 | 9245 | 5.6 | 48.1 | 54.0 | 46 | 26 |  |  |
|  | WHTT | Mar 400 | . 50399 | 9, | 05. | 409.65 | 5405.73 | 7340 | 0.6 | 44.6 | 50.6 | 36 | 22 |  |  |
|  | WHT | DEC 39 | . 00392 | 2. | 97. | 402.51 | 1402.13 | 1311 | 1.3 | 45.0 | 49.6 | 46 | 28 |  |  |
|  |  | DEC | . 25215 | 563 | 216.1 | 5. | 217 | 15 | 5.4 | 45.6 | 44.9 | 15 | 22 |  | 45 |
|  | Cory | 2 | 25226 |  | 27, | 26.7 | 228 | 1146 | 6.2 | 46.9 | 46.0 | 10 | 18 | 4 | 58 |
|  | ORN | HMY 23 | 25 |  | 25. | 234. | 239.71 | 77 | 5.5 | 45.6 | 45.3 | 10 | 145 | 51 | 60 |
|  | TS D | DEC | . 75 |  |  | 127.82 | 2126.59 |  | 7.7 | 48.9 | 50 | 25 | 271 | 19 | 28 |
|  | RMS | HOV |  |  | 7.22 | 4.04 | 551.01 | 0152 | 2.0 | 49.8 | 46. | 34 | 236 | 64 | 72 |
|  | WIS | JaM 558.0 | . 00 |  | 58.97 | 555.51 | 1561.23 |  | 2.6 | 50.6 | 47.0 | 34 | 246 | 65 | 73 |
|  | Chis | HAR 568.00 | .00 56 | 6.12 | 69.19 | 565.43 | 1371.01 | 0152 | 2.5 | 50.7 | 47.2 | 34 | 24 | 65 |  |
|  | AL D | DEC 158.5 | . 50159 | .80 | 61.6 | 162.54 | 4 164.98 | 9828 | 8.0 | 32.3 | 38.0 | 9 | 10 |  | 24 |
|  | HOIL | DEC 26.87 | . 8726 | 6.15 | 26.06 | 25.42 | 25.03 | 0370. | 0.5 | 67.5 | 61.2 | 59 | 4 | 82 |  |
|  | TILE D | DEC 70.2 | 2570 | . 10 | 69.97 | 69.54 | 69.18 | 1860 | 0.0 | 57.8 | 53.2 | 65 | 58 | 81 | 76 |
|  | TIE | PEB 69. | 15 | 9.09 | 68.90 | 68.48 | 68.12 | 1260 | 0.5 | 58.4 | 52.8 | 73 | 72 | 85 | 78 |
|  | HL | HOV 75. | 25 | 5.36 | 74.90 | 74.07 | 74.10 | 1061 | 1.5 | 58.6 | 51.7 | 56 | 66 |  | 88 |
|  | GS D | DEC | 1734 | 4.46 | 34.30 | 34.18 | 36.46 |  | 4.4 | 41.3 | 37.5 | 36 | 53 | 50 | 43 |
|  | GS P | PEB | . 9537 | 7.08 | 37.13 | 36.84 | 38.00 |  | 7.4 | 45.5 | 42 | 24 | 406 | 61 | 64 |
|  | S | FEB | 2042 | . 13 | 41.44 | 40. | 40.41 | 1160 | 0.4 | 57.6 | 51 |  | 748 | 86 |  |
|  |  | CO |  |  |  |  | CO WHEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HICH | Low | Las | CHG |  | HIGH | LOW | LaST | CHG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEC | 2160 | ( 2150 | 2152* | -4 | DEC | 3930 | 3870 | 3876* |  | DEC | 040 |  |  |  |  |
| ar | 2270 | 02260 | 2262* | -4 | Mar | 4036 | 3980 | 3990* | d | Mar | 044 |  | 边 |  |  |
|  | 2346 | 62340 | 2342* | -4 | May | 3820 | 3770 | 3782* | -26 | MAY | 380 | 3780 | 304* |  |  |
|  | 2404 | 2394 | 2396* | -4 | JLY | 3500 | 3466 | 3474* | 14 | JL | 3554 | 352 | 3520* | -24 |  |
|  | 2450 | 2442 | 144* | -4 | SEP | 3522 | 3522 | 3522* | -2 |  | 60 |  | 3560* |  |  |
| DEC | 2494 | 2484 | 2490* | -4 | DEC | 3630 | 3620 | 3620* | -20 |  |  | W/1/ |  |  |  |
|  | 2552 | 2552 | 2552* | -4 |  |  | OATS |  |  |  |  |  | 促 |  |  |
| JY | 2622 | 2616 | 2620* | -6 | DR | 1270 | 1254 | 1266 | +10 | MA1 | 07 | 4020 | 4030* |  |  |
| DEC | 2510 | O 2502 | 2020 | 12 | mar | 1334 | 1320 | 1332* | 10 | MAY | 000 |  | 3954* | * -54 |  |
|  |  | SOYBEAMS |  |  | Mar | 1366 | 1354 | 1366* | 10 | JL |  |  | , |  |  |
| OV | 5476 | 5446 | 5462* | +4 | JLY | 1394 | 1386 | 1394* | +4 | SEP |  |  | 3600* |  |  |
| JaH | 5596 | 5560 | 5580* | +4 |  | SOYBE | CEAN MRA |  |  |  |  | Iblar |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{MaR}$ | 5694 | 5662 | 5680* | +2 | DRC | 1602 | 1582 | 1585* | 11 |  | 2695 | 632 | 687* | +53 |  |
| $\mathrm{MY}$ | 5774 | 5744 | 5762* | -4 | Jav | 1615 | 1600 | 1603* | -9 |  | 2595 |  | 2583* | +40 |  |
| $\pi y$ | 5840 | 5812 | 5826* | +2 | HIR | 1655 | 1641 | 1643* | 10 | MAR | 2517 |  | 2508* | +22 |  |
| ROG | 5874 | 5850 | 5854* |  | Hay | 1690 | 1682 | 1685* | -9 |  |  |  | 2461* | * +9 |  |
| SEP | 589 | 5860 | 5890* |  | JY | 1733 | 17271 | 1730* | -5 |  |  |  | 2430* | +2 |  |
|  | 5970 | - 5942 | 5956 | -12 | AVG | 1754 | 17431 | 1743* | -8 |  |  |  | 242** |  |  |
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## Pa. State Grange Holds Annual Convention

## (Continued from Page A1)

al boards.
A member for 52 years, Hiller is currently a member a Bottle Run Grange No. 1301, of Lycoming County. In the past he has been master of the West Branch Grange, Shemanata Grange in Perry County, and a member of the state Grange executive committec and coordinator of the state leadership/ membership program.
Also, William Steel, of Beaver County, who was reelected for 2 years as overseer (vice president).
Others reelected to office melude Stanley Gruber, of Stoney Pointe Grange No. 1694, steward; Jennifer Cummins, Big Beaver Grange No. 1578, lady assistant steward; Patncia Rudy, Mt. Nebo Grange No. 1872, state chaplain; Anna May Nauss, Valley Grange No. 1360, state treasurer; Dina Zug, Walker Grange No. 786, secrelary, Ronnie Harris, Iona Grange No. 272, gate keeper, Mary Ann Kcith, Lincoln Grange No. 914, ceres (Roman goddess of agnculture); Ruth Osborne, Morning Star No. 1271, pomona (Roman goddess of fruit trees); Nettie Martsolf, Jefferson Grange No. 1373, flora (Roman goddess of flowers); and William Vernam, Jackson Grange No. 1506; executive committee member.
Newly elected were Donald Evens, of Harslog Valley Grange No. 375, lecturer; and Henry Seidel, of Virginville Grange No. 1832, assistant steward.

## Policy Adopted

During the Grange's annual banquet, members set state policy based on the cumulative emphasis of local wishes as expressed by
delegates.
As a result of this session, some of the key policy set include positions on tort reform, property owners' rights, agricultural zoning exemptions, and ag .nusiance regulations.
More specifically, the Grange policy seeks tort reform by working with the Chamber of Business and Industry, the Pennsylvania Manutacturers Association, the Pa . Medical Society, the Pa Defense Institute and agricultural interests to establish a policy so there are fewer interpretations of the law and that, where punitive damages are to be levied, that the proceeds go to a fund to help pay legal fees for the poor and indigent, rather than to the plaintiff.

The goal of the tort reform policy is to reverse the high and evermereasing cost of liability insurance which contributes to a rise in health care costs, discourages innovation by business, increases costs of goods and services, and makes it difficult for some to pay for insurance.
It is the position of the Grange that the existing state tort liability system has been failing to provide reasonable compensation to people injured by the negligence of others, at a reasonable cost
The Grange also secks greater protection from liability lawsuits for property owners, especially if the land is properly posted against trespassing, or otherwise effectively fenced.
The Grange also seeks a tort policy that would make the plaintiff pay for all defense costs and penalties when a defendant is found "not guilty."

As far as agriculturally zoned land, the Grange supports legislation that would exempt such properties from other local ordinances which would unduly restrict agricultural development and/or practices, as long as those practices and development posed no danger to public safety.
In relation to that, the Grange has a long-standing advocacy of limits to nusiance lawsuits against agriculture. At the same time, the Grange strongly supports proposed legislation that would require prospective property buyers to be informed that, if the property they are considering for purchase is adjacent to agriculturally zoned land, that they are informed that they can expect to be subject to dust and odor associated with farming practices.
According to Brenda Shambaugh, Grange legislative liason, "We hope this piece of legislation (House Bill 2388) will alleviate potential problems when nonagricultural citizens move next to agricultural operations, by informing potential neighbors of the farming activities in proximity to their new dwelling."

Granger Of Year In other business, the winner of the Granger of the Year award was Judy Hetzel, of ML. Nebo, Allegheny County. Wife of Robin Hetzel and mother to Rebecca, 5, and Briuany, 2, Judy is the third person in her family to win the honor, following in the footsteps of her father Cart Rudy who won the award in 1984, and her mother Pat Rudy, who won in 1990.
She was presented with a plaque

## during the annual All-Granger

 banquet.Hetzel, who serves as a lecturer for her Grange and who is the master of Allegheny County Pomona Grange No. 42, is also a member of the State Grange Energy Committee. She has been active in Grange all her life.
"I've been raised in the Grange family with my family," she said. "By the time I wàs 14 years old, I knew the ritual by heart. I stayed with the Grange in the youth department because it was fun and we always had a good time."
In addition, Hetzel is also involved in other community activities and projects. She is member of the Sewickley United Methodist Church, and serves as its choir director, organist and Sunday school teacher, she is pres ident of the Methodist Women's Society, Learning Tree Pre-School Executive Committec; and the Quaker Valley Parent-Teacher Association. She also volunteers once a week at the Quaker Valley Elementary School.
"I firmly believe you can find the time to do the things you really want to do," she said. "There is always time tomorrow to mow the grass or clean the house. You have to make time to do the things you enjoy doing.'
A former Grange youth princess, Hetzel also was a youth chaırman of the year winner, and also a former winner of the state's talent contest in music
In other business, the Grange named the winners in its subordinate community service program. They are Berks Countys' Virginville Grange No. 1832, first; Bucks

County's Middletown Grange No. 684, second; and Greene County's Harvey's Grange No. 1444.

The pomona grange winners were Berks County's Berks No 43, first; Chester/Delaware counues No. 3, second; and Jefferson No. 20, third.

According to Miriam Forney, state community service coordinator, the awards recognize the Granges that have made significant contributions to their communitles. They are based on a notebook kept during the contest year, which include written artucles about the Grange projects, photographs of the event and media coverage.
In this year's talent contest Gina Gallimore was first place in the Grange's "Sign a Song" contest, which rewards those adept a using sign language to convey the lyrics of a song.
Gallimore is a member of Adams County's Battlefield Grange No. 2086. She also was the first place contestant in the public speaking contest for those aged 19 to 25 , and first in the group category for signing a song, signing with Wanda Gallimore

Other talent contest winners inlcude Ila Mae McMillin, of Lawrence County's Westfield Grange No. 1514 who earned a first place in public speaking among those aged 26 to 35.

The first place winners advance to the 1995 Mid-Atlantic Grange Conference, set to be held in West Virginia in April.

Members Honored
The directors of the Grange's women's activities and youth
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