

DICK BARTH

General Manager, PA DHIA A few weeks ago I reported to readers that the Pennsylvania and Northeast DHIA boards had adopted a joint resolution to begin charging the dairy industry in 1992 for access to DHIA records. Since then, a considerable amount of discussion has occurred throughout the DHIA membership nationwide, as well as between DHIA's and their sister cooperators in the industry.

All this conversation was good because it created new understanding about the challenges facing DHIA and its members, challenges that didn't exist a few years ago. And, of course, these challenges must be shared with cooperators who use DHIA

Pa. DHIA provides forage testing services through a cooperative effort with the Northeast DHIA forage lab. The analysis form lists the sample results on an "as sampled" basis and also on a "dry matter" basis. It is important to keep in mind that Pa. DHIA processes forage information using the "as sampled" TDN and the "as sampled" moisture.

Although the Northeast DHIA needs are different, Pennsylvania does not calculate records using dry matter results. The complete

Percent T.D.N.

records for their businesses. Following these many conversations, action was taken by the National DHIA board in December to be responsible for this issue nationwide. The board released a statement on December 4, 1990 that you may find interesting. It is reproduced verbatim below:

"National DHIA recognizes that inequities exist in the present funding of DHIA data collection. In order for DHIA to remain current with rapidly advancing technology, it is necessary for allied industry to recognize the value of these data.

"At their December 2-3, 1990 meeting the National DHIA board adopted the following position statement:

"Sufficient additional income

analysis is used by nutritionists, veterinarians, and dairymen for any number of reasons.

With that background as an introduction, the following statistics may prove a valuable resource for some of our readers. Keep in mind that the figures presented here are on a dry matter basis.

There was a total of 717 Pennsylvania forage samples processed in November 1990. For information or assistance, call your local Pa. DHIA supervisor or the DHIA service center at 1-800-344-8378.

must be generated to equitably compensate for the cost of collecting DHIA data and for research, development and education to improve the usefulness of management information for the producer and the dairy industry. "The National DHIA board

believes these issues can be approached with a true cooperative spirit and resolved through successful negotiations. A National DHIA committee has been apointed to negotiate the value of DHIA data with primary users in allied industry."

Negotiations are starting in February in hopes that some progress can be reported to delegates at the National DHIA convention in Baltimore in late March. It's gratifying to see the National Association take leadership on this issue, and your national directors should be encouraged to see this issue through to successful completion.

In spite of the National DHIA action, the Pennsylvania DHIA board chose not to change their previous resolution with Northeast DHIA. Your state directors want action at the national level as soon as possible. And to that end, they left their resolution in place to be acted upon if the national efforts are not successful.





RELATIVE FEED VALUES: Multiple Feedstuffs PRICE INPUT: as of Jan. 2

| Shelled Corn Per Bushel>\$2.4144% Soybean Oilmeal Per Ton>\$217.50Relative FeedCrop/FeedstuffValue | 0 ` @ DM % |
|---|---------------------|
| Table 1. Grains | |
| 1 EAR CORN 77.29 Per Tor | n 85 |
| 2 EAR CORN, high moisture 56 76 Per Tor | n 65 |
| 3 EAR CORN, bushel basket 1.35 Per Bu | . 85 |
| 4 CORN, shelled, high-moisture 69.68 Per Tor | n 72 |
| 5 OATS, spring 1.45 Per Bu | . 90 |
| 6 BARLEY, winter 2.37 Per Bu | . 89 |
| / WHEAT, winter 2.72 Per Bu | . 86 |
| O RIE, WINTER 2.68 Per Bu | . 88 |
| 10 SOVREANS whole reverse 5.00 D | . 89 |
| TO SOTBEANS, Whole, raw 5.98 Per Bu | . 90 |
| Table 2. Supplements & Extenders | |
| 11 COTTONSEED MEAL 10.12 Per Cwt | 93 |
| 12 BREWER'S GRAIN, wet 35.58 Per Tor | 1 24 |
| 13 BREWER'S GRAIN, dried 135.71 Per Tor | 92 |
| 14 DIST. CORN GRAIN, dried 149.27 Per Tor | n <u>9</u> 3 |
| 15 HOMINY FEED 4.93 Per Cwt. | . 91 |
| 16 CORN GLUTEN FEED 6.81 Per Cwt. | . 90 |

(Turn to Page A26)

5.00 Per Cwt.

5.55 Per Cwt.

4.04 Per Cwt.

89

90

91

St. Dev.

11.8

3.2

3.7

Normal Range

54.5

23.1

30.9--

15.7--

55.6--

62.0

Forage Testing Services Update

17 WHEAT BRAN.....

18 WHEAT MIDS

19 BEET PULP, dried

HI-MOIST SHELL CORN

| | | Number Of | ſ | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|----------|
| | Analysis | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. Dev. |
| | Percent Dry Matter | 26 | 76.7 | 70.5 | 83.0 | 6.3 |
| | Percent Crude Protein | 26 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 9.9 | .9 |
| | Percent Available Protein | | | | | |
| | Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 26 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 9.9 | .9 |
| | Percent A.D.F. | 24 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 1.9 |
| | Percent T.D.N. | 24 | 86.8 | 83.8 | 89.8 | 3.0 |
| Dev. | | GRASS 1 | НАҮ | | | |
| 5.3 | | Number Of | | | | |
| 1.0 | Analysis | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. Bev. |
| 10 | Percent Dry Matter | 64 | 90.9 | 89.8 | 92.0 | 1.1 |
| 1.0 | Percent Crude Protein | 64 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 14.6 | 3.2 |
| 5.2 | Percent Available Protein | | | | | |
| 5.5 | Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 64 | 11.3 | 8 1 | 14.6 | 3.2 |
| | Percent A D F | 64 | 39.2 | 35.8 | 42.6 | 3.4 |
| | Percent T.D.N. | 64 | 60.8 | 58.1 | 63.5 | 2.7 |
| Dev. | | | | | | |
| 7.5 1.0 | | LEGUME S | SILAGE | | | |
| | | Number Of | | | | |
| 1.0 | Analysis | Samples | Average | Normat | Range | St. Dev. |
| 1.3 | Percent Dry Matter | 34 | 45.1 | 34.7 | 55.6 | 10.5 |
| 1.8 | Percent Crude Protein | 34 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 24.4 | 3.2 |
| | Percent Available Protein | 34 | 19.0 | 15.5 | 22.6 | 3.5 |
| | Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 34 | 20.1 | 16.5 | 23.6 | 3.5 |
| | Percent A.D.F. | 34 | 35.7 | 31.1 | 40.2 | 4.6 |
| | Percent T.D.N. | 34 | 61.4 | 58.5 | 64.4 | 2.9 |
| Dev. | | | | | | |
| 1.3 | | | | | | |
| 3.5 | | MML SII | LAGE | | | |
| 3.5 | | Number Of | | | | |

Samples

66

66

18

58.8

Average

42.7

19.4

HI-MOIST EAR CORN

| Analycis | Number Of | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|-------|--------|--|
| Percent Dry Matter | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. D | |
| Percent Crude Protein | 37 | 69.7 | 64.4 | 75.0 | 5.3 | |
| Percent Available Protein | 37 | 8.6 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 1.0 | |
| Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | | | | | | |
| Percent A D F | 37 | 8.6 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 1.0 | |
| Percent T.D.N | 37 | 8.0 | 4.8 | 11.3 | 3.2 | |
| refeat 1.D.N. | 37 | 83.1 | 77.6 | 88.6 | 5.5 | |
| | CORN SI | LAGE | | | | |
| Analysis | Number Of | | | | | |
| Percent Dry Matter | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. De | |
| Percent Crude Protein | 247 | 35.8 | 28.3 | 43.8 | 7.5 | |
| Percent Available Protein | 247 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 1.0 | |
| Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 3 | 6.9 | | | | |
| Percent A D F | 247 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 1.0 | |
| Percent T D N | 247 | 25.9 | 21.6 | 30.2 | 4.3 | |
| | 246 | 69.9 | 68.1 | 71.7 | 1.8 | |
| | MMC | 11 A V | | | | |
| | Number Of | na i | | | | |
| Analysis | Samples | Average | Normal | Dongo | St D. | |
| Percent Dry Matter | Samples | Average | 20 1 | Nange | 1 2 | |
| Percent Crude Protein | 90 | 90.4 | 09.1 | 91.7 | 1.5 | |
| Percent Available Protein | 98 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 15.5 | 5.5 | |
| Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 00 | 12.0 | 0 E | 155 | 25 | |
| Percent A.D.F. | 98 | 12.0 | ð.J | 13.3 | 5.5 | |

98

98

39.7

59.8

36.3--

57.4-

43.1

62.2

| | | | | | | Percent Available Protein | 00 | 17.1 | 15.1 | 21.0 | 4.0 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|----------|
| | LEGUME | HAY | | | | Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 66 | 18.1 | 14.1 | 22.1 | 4.0 |
| | | | | | | Percent A.D.F. | 66 | 36.9 | 31.7 | 42.2 | 5.2 |
| Analysis | Number Of | | | | | Percent T.D.N. | 65 | 61.7 | 58.1 | 65.4 | 3.7 |
| Percent Dry Matter | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. Dev. | | | LACE | | | |
| Percent Crude Protein | 20 | 89.3 | 87.8 | 90.9 | 1.6 | | MMG SI | LAGE | | | |
| Percent Augilable Protein | 20 | 19.4 | 17.3 | 21.5 | 2.1 | | | | | | |
| Process Advested Crude Protein | | | | | | | Number Of | | | | |
| Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 20 | 10 / | 173 | 21.5 | 21 | Analysis | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. Dev. |
| Percent A.D.F. | 20 | 17.4 | 20.6 | 21.5 | 2.1 | Percent Dry Matter | 51 | 39.5 | 28.1 | 50.8 | 11.4 |
| Percent T.D.N. | 20 | 52.0 | 29.0 | 55.5 | 5.0 | Percent Crude Protein | 51 | 15.7 | 11.7 | 19.6 | 3.9 |
| | 20 | 63.5 | 61.6 | 65.3 | 1.8 | Percent Available Protein | 51 | 13.2 | 9.1 | 17.4 | 4.2 |
| | | | | | | Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | 51 | 14.2 | 10 1 | 18.4 | 4.2 |
| | | | | | | Percent A D F | 51 | 40.5 | 363 | 10.4 | 4.2 |
| | MML F | AAY | | | | Persont T.D.N | 50 | 40.5 | 56 4 | 44.0 | 7.2 |
| | | | | | | Fercent I.D.N. | 50 | 39.5 | 30.4 | 02.2 | 2.9 |
| Analysis | Number Of | | | | | | GRASS S | LAGE | | | |
| Percent Dry Matter | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. Dev. | | | | | | |
| Percent Crude Protein | 56 | 90.0 | 88.7 | 91.2 | 1.3 | | Number Of | | | | |
| Percent Available Protein | 56 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 20.1 | 3.0 | Analysis | Samples | Average | Normal | Range | St. Dev. |
| Percent Adjusted Crude Protein | | | | | | Percent Dry Matter | 18 | 36.4 | 29.2 | 43.6 | 7.2 |
| Present A D E | 56 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 20.1 | 3.0 | Percent Crude Protein | 18 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 15.8 | 28 |
| Percent A.D.F. | 56 | 367 | 32 7 | 40.6 | 3.0 | Parcent Available Protein | 10 | 10.6 | 76 | 13.5 | 2.0 |
| Percent I.D.N. | 56 | 61.0 | 50.2 | 64.6 | 2.7 | Descent Adjusted Crude Drotein | 10 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 14.5 | 2.5 |
| | 30 | 01.9 | 39.2 | 04.0 | 2.1 | Percent Aujusted Crude Protein | 18 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 2.9 |
| | | | | | | Percent A.D.F. | 18 | 41.7 | 5/.8 | 43./ | 3.9 |

3.4

2.4

Analysis

Percent Dry Matter

Percent T.D.N.

Percent Crude Protein