Len-Lyn Farm's

(Continued from Page A1)

The results for the annual show are as follows:

Junior Calf 1. Daniel Landis, Lancaster; 2. Philip Rutt, Quarryville; 3. Kenneth and Jere Skiles, Narvon; 4. Neal Crouse, Stevens; 5. Paul Welk, Peach Bottom. **Intermediate Calf**

1. Todd Reed, Denver; 2. Penn-Springs Farm, Elizabethtown; 3. Kenneth Long, Elizabethtown; 4. Scott Shertzer, Millersville; 5. Donald Welk, Jr., Strasburg.

Senior Calf

1. Robert Barley, Conestoga, Reserve Junior Champion; 2. Rhelda Royer, Lancaster; 3. Sheila Frey, Willow Street; 4. Jeffrey Welk, Strasburg; 5. R. Steven Kauffman, Elizabethtown. **Junior Yearling**

1. Penn Springs Farm; 2. Leonard Stoltzfus, Gap; 3. Judy Zımmerman, Ephrata; 4. Fultonway Farms; 5. Thomas Barley, Conestoga.

Senior Yearling 1. Paul Horning, Junior Champion; 2. Harold Witmer, Manheun, J. J.M. Frey; 4. Melissa Eckman, Peach Bottom; 5. David

Landis. Junior Get of Sire 1. Paul Horning, Sire: Jemini. Futurity Class

1. Robert Kauffman; 2. Joyce Stoltzfus Blank; 3. P. Robert Wenger, Quarryville; 4. Galen Crouse; 5. P. Robert Wenger. Dry Cow

Three and Four Year Old

1. Sheila Frey; 2. P. Robert Wenger; 3. Kenneth and Jere Skiles; 4. D. Ray and Linda Geissinger, New Holland. Dry Cow

Five Years and Older

1. J.M. Frey; 2. John Frey; 3. Nathan Stoltzfus; 4. D. Ray and Linda Geissinger; 5. Russel Kline, Denver.

Junior Two Year Old

1. Rhelda Royer, Best Udder; 2. John and Susan Howard, Willow Street, J. Gienn Shenk II, second Best Udder; 4. J.M. Frey; 5. Scott Shertzer.

Senior Two Year Old

1. Douglas Hershbergei, Quarryville, Best Udder; 2. Clitford and Joyce Blank, second best udder; 3. Fultonway Farm, 4. Robert Steven Kauftman; 5. Paul Horning.

Three Year Old

1. Penn Springs Farm, Best Udder; 2. James Michael Shertzer, Lancaster; 3. Clifford and Joyce Blank, second Best Udder; 4. Nathan Stoltztus; 5. Len-Lyn Farms.

Four Year Old

1. Russel Kline, Best Udder; 2. Penn Springs Farm, second Best Udder; 3. John and Susan Howard; 4. Paul Welk; 5. Paul and Maurice Welk.

Five Years and Older

Galen Crouse, Grand Champion and Senior Champion Best Udder; 2. Clifford and Joyce Blank, second Best Udder, Reserve Grand Champion and Reserve Senior Champion; 3. John Frey; 4. Donald Eckman, Peach Bottom; 5. Harold Witmer.

10,000 Pound Class 1. Nathan Stoltzfus

Clifford, left, and Joyce Blank, right, who recently adopted

the prefix, Smiling Holsteins, had the Top Dairy Herd at the county Holstein Show, Thursday. The Blanks, along with Nathan Stoltzfus, Gap, center, display their winning trio. Their entries from left to right are: Con-Noll Marvex Jo, a 2year-old, Con-Noll Standout Trina, a 3-year-old and their Aged entry, Con-Noll Gay Rosene, Reserve Grand Champion.

Senior Get of Sire

Con-Noll Farm, Sire. 1. Lawcrest Marvex; 2. Spring-Belle, Sire: Paclamar Astronaut. **Best Three Females**

1. Con-Nol Farm; 2. Fultonway Farms; 3. Penn Springs.

Produce of Dam

reduced by such measures

more products.

minimum wage and environment

protection requirements cut into

productivity by increasing

operating costs without yielding

• Technology is the final major

tactor. In the past, technological

1. Penn Springs; 2. J. Robert

Kindig, Conestoga; 3. Kenneth and Jere Skiles. **Dam and Daughter**

1. Russel Kline; 2. Donald Eckman; 3. James Shertzer. **Dairy Herd** 1. Smiling Holstiens; 2. Penn

Springs; 3. Neal Crouse.

U.S. farm productivity rises from era

data do not separate out trends on

state and local levels, so individual

tarmers may tare far better or

WASHINGTON, D.C. - The American farmer's - knack of squeezing the most out of agricultural resources has quadrupled the annual rate of productivity growth in the two centuries since the United States became a nation.

The annual productivity growth rate-a measure of the rate of change in the farm sector's total the rate of increase has slowed at

"Today, the growth of agricultural

productivity is governed by the sciences –

genetics chemistry, biology -

and by management."

as the 1970 corn blight and the 1980 drought."

One way ot gauging tarm productivity, Farrell notes, is by using an index which compares the ratio of the index of total farm output with the index of total farm inputs used. The total productivity index has

gained steadily since 1950-though

worse. For example, national corn yields are now 21/2 times higher

than in the early 1950's-thanks mainly to adoption of hybrids-with Illinois yields still climbing rather steadily. But, since 1965, gains in North Carolina have leveled ott, partiy because more marginal land there has been cropped.

breakthroughs such as hybrid corn Although some factors beyond and sorghum, mechanical hartarmers' control-such as weather-

While no one can predict with certainty what technological marvels lie ahead, many promising paths of research are being explored.

early 1970's actually raised vesting systems, veterinary productivity by removing medicine advances, and other such improvements have triggered marginal land trom production. great leaps in tarm productivity. Total production is, of course, While no one can predict with Other regulations such as

certainty what technological marvels lie ahead, Farrell says many promising paths of research are being explored, such as increased photosynthetic efficiency, biological fixation of nitrogen, and twinning in beet cattle.

'Research and new technology will not, however, guarantee increasing productivity in the he cautions. ' The tuture,' economic incentives must exist, and the necessary information to evaluate and adopt the new technology must be available."

output relative to its level of production inputs-rose from 0.4 percent a year after the American Revolution to about 1.6 percent a year in the 1970's.

While the prodigious output of American agriculture is hardly a secret, Kenneth R. Farrell, administrator of USDA'S Economics and Statistics Service, reports that productivity growth has accelerated throughout the four major epochs of U.S. agricultural technology:

• In the "hand power" period of 1775-1870, productivity grew at an average annual rate of 0.4 percent. • It grew 0.5 percent per year in

the 1870-1920 "horsepower" epoch. During the "mechanical power" era, it gained 1.2 percent per year.

(high input costs) and 1980 (drought). However, despite solid overall growth, productivity gains vary from commodity to commodity and region to region. And this is a major drawback to using the overall productivity growth rate as the only indicator: measures only broad It aggregates, missing significant variations among individual commodities and states.

tared poorly during the 1970's as

"There has been a slight slowdown

-attect productivity, Farrell says that many major factors are

 Improvements in input quality and quantity-such as more and better tertilizers and other agricultural chemicals--have resulted in increases in crop yields in recent years.

Irrigation development, Farreli notes, "has improved the quality of

Pa. Beekeepers will swarm to summer picnic

CHAMBERSBURG - Over 300 Picnic Sign - pointing toward the Pennsylvania beekeepers and Lighthouse Youth Center, just north of the Marion exit of I-81.

times-except for lapses in 1975 within mankind's grasp:

Beet productivity, for example,

• The "science power" era of 1945-1980 saw gains of 1.6 percednt per year.

"Today, the growth ot agricultural productivity is governed by the sciences-genetics, chemistry, biology-and by management," Farrell says.

In a world that is growing more dependent on U.S. tarmers tor tood, American farm productivity improvement is vital. Since most inputs-land, labor, capital, and management-are limited, farmers must wring increasingly more production from them to meet international demand.

The rate of productivity increase is one indicator of just how successful farmers are in getting the most out of inputs. Farrell finds that, despite some problems, the historic upward trend in productivity continued through the 1970's.

"There has been a slight slowdown of productivity growth over the last three decades," he says, "but this has been rather strongly influenced by such factors

of productivity growth over the last three decades, but this has been

rather strongly influenced by such

factors as the 1970 corn blight

and the 1980 drought."

the calving rate fell below 90 percent each year from 1975 to 1979.

But overall livestock and poultry productivity climbed 1.1 percent a year, due to strong gains in poultry and dairy.

These gains reflected improved teeding etticiency, larger pig litters, more efficient labor use, selective breeding, hog and poultry confinement operations, and other improvements. However, the impressive gains for the livestock sector as a whole offer little benefit to consumers who preter beet to pork and poultry.

Similarly, national productivity

teed prices sharply increased and the land input and the quantity of water input into western agriculture and narrowed the gap between Illinois and Nebraska corn yields from more than 20 bushels per acre in the early 1950's topor a ranth, 14/8

> • Economic factors influence the choice of the commodity produced and the application of inputs. For instance, a taimer decides whether to plant corn or soybeans according to the relative market values. And the yield of the selected crop may be affected by the cost of inputs.

· Government regulations and policies have an effect Land diversion programs through the

expected to 1 annue: converge at the Lighthouse Youth Center, just south of Chambersburg, for their annual Summer Meeting and Picnic on Saturday, August 1.

The event, being held for the first time in Franklin County, is being hosted by the Franklin County Beekeeper's Association, according to County Agenty John Shearer All Pennsylvania beekeepers and their families are invited and urged to attend.

The day-long event will begin at 9 a m., and will conclude around 4:00 p.m Scheduled activities during the day include. a honey baking contest; appearances by Becky Lesher, Pennsylvania Honey Queen, and Sharon Barr, Pennsylvania Honey Princess; a covered-dish noon meal: door prizes; the Pennsylvania Beekeepers' Association Summer Business Meeting executive board meeting of the PBA; games for the children; and family entertainment

Those planning to attend should proceed south from Chambersburg on US Rt. 11 for about 5 miles, then turn east at the Beekeeper's

Families are requested to bring their own table service and a hot and cold dish. Contestants in the honey baking contest must have their entries at the site-by 10:30 a.m. Classes include pies; cakes; cookies; candy quick bread; and yeast bread.

Out-of-state beekeepers and other interested persons are invited to join the Pennsylvania Beekeepers' Association for their Annual Summer Picnic and Meeting. Further details are available from C. Robert Shank, Chambersburg 717/352-3256, or from Haven Keller, Greencastle 717/597-3786

