## **Ten Considerations For No-Till Planting**

No-Til planting became a conversation piece, a subject for argument and an object of curiosity when it was introduced in 1968.

Today, No-Til planting has emerged into a popular farming technique. More than seven million acres in the United States alone are planted with this laborsaving, ecology-helping technique. Rather than discuss its oddity - as farmers did in the late 1960s - - today's farmer discusses how to best use No-Til planting to maximum advantage. This is recognition that a revolutionarey technique has come of age.

The decision to utilize No-Til planting is usually based on strong personal preferences, with little or no advanced planning. A farmer sometimes can give three, four or five reasons why he chose No-Til. He often admits that he used No-Til without a prior plan or knowledge of advantages.

However, the difference between a good or modest profit depends on prior planning. Firm consideration should be given to at least the following ten guidelines. Each guideline should be adapted to a particular soil, climate, and farmers objectives. The advantages should be considered thoroughly before the decision to go No-Til is made,

1. Soil Adaptability No-Til cropping or any other form of minimum tillage does not

For drawing

respond equally on all soils. Nor does conventional tillage, for that matter. Some studies have indicated that No-Til is better adapted to fine textured soils than on coarser sands and silt loams. Others do not recommend using No-Til with crusting soils that do not fracture upon drying.

There does appear to be a greater yield response from No-Til on finer texture soils. However, much of this difference can also be attributed to previous crop, percent surface cover and plant population.

So, No-Til is adaptable to most soil and slope conditions. The decision to go No-Til is usually based on management circumstances such as a desire to reduce hours in the field, reduce capital outlay and reduce soil runoff.

2. Time Saving Value

In planning a No-Til operation, consider specific cost reduction goals to create a worthwhile and basic yardstick against which to measure your success.

Time is a recognizable value measured in dollars. Consider the significance of time reduction for land preparation and planting. Can more acres be planted during an optimum time interval?

What effect can this have on vield?

First, consider the time saved by spending less time on the tractor in a particular field. No-Til reduces labor cost by as much as 70 to 80 percent. What is this worth to you? If you haven't

studied your hours in the saddle lately, try it. The cost may be startling.

Second, No-Til reduces the time machinery is in use in a particular field. A word of caution, though, in your planning. It is true that labor and machinery costs are reduced through No-Til. But the farmer who thinks he can eliminate most of his inputs may be disappointed since savings in labor and machinery are often offset by increases in other inputs such as chemical, seed and fertilizer.

Third, consider the effect of holding off on planting until precisely the right moment in terms of temperature and moisture. Studies show up to \$14 per acre gains in corn and soybean yield when planting is done at the optimum time for ideal germination. Similar opportunities in beans and grain crops show that response to timeliness in planting is profitable.

The decision to make is: Can you postpone your planting date to the precise moment for ideal conditions? Agronomists say that with favorable spring weather, the presence or absence of specific tillage operations may not affect the planting date for all farmers. However, with increased farm size, adverse spring conditions and in specific situations such as double cropping or cultural pest controls, planting dates become more critical.

Fourth, No-Til gives you the



## ability to plant more acreage, during the optimum time span.

3 Controls Water Erosion. Because erosion prevention

and water retention is of major concern on millions of cropland acres, discussion on the subject reduces itself to how much avoidance, on what kind of land and with what effects.

No-Til controls water erosion. Surface residues left by using No-Til soften the impact of rainfall reducing surface sealing and the amount of soil run-off.

Water erosion is costly. Top soil contains such plant nutrients as nitrogen, phosphorous and organic matter. When significant water erosion occurs, the most valuable ingredients of the soil profile is lost.

4. Avoids Wind Erosion.

This kind of erosion control can be applied in degrees tailored to maintenance of the ecology in an area beyond the immediate cropland. But whatever the purpose, soil preparation through No-Til planting leaves crop residue on the ground to retard wind erosion.

5. Conserves Soil Moisture.

No-Til leaves crop residues on the top of the soil to conserve moisture and aid soil infiltration. This is especially important during dry seasons. With conventional tillage, excess evaporation may take place, limiting crop yields and the amount of water available to the crop.

6. Soil Structure Improvement. Perhaps it is contradictory to say that No-Til improves soil structure because for centuries it

pected to produce a good crop with No-Til.

But with No-Til, a farmer can utilize land further up the slopes without the threat of soil erosion. And the No-Til cropping practice enables him to produce crops on land formerly suitable only for pasture and hay.

Furthermore, some farmers are finding that they can eliminate the time consuming and expensive practices of terracing or strip farming by using minimum tillage practices.

With No-Til cropping, several Kentucky researchers recently agreed that, based on their data, Class III land can be moved to Class II land without increasing erosion hazards.

Cne researcher summarized his findings by stating, "After 30 years and about \$30 billion of soil conservation work in this country, we stumble onto a system that not only pays its own way, but eliminates the need for further spending and, actually yields an immediate return. There aren't many soil conservation efforts that can show such immediate and sizable returns. And the best part is that the new land that can be put into production with this system is primarily in the marginal, hilly areas where farm income needs the bigest boost. It will more than double the productive acreage of many small farms."

9. Increased Yields.

Many minimum or Notil advocates would settle for crop yields equal to those of conventional tillage, feeling the other advantages are incentive enough to make the practice worthwhile. Others, particularly those seeking the soil and water conservation rewards of notillage might gladly sacrifice some yield in exchange for the

Actually, it isn't necessary to sacrifice, yield, or even to settle for equal yields, in order to enjoy the desirable side effects of No-

In fact, higher yields have become one of the chief reasons many growers are turning to minimum-tillage systems in some areas. Test have shown that in the average year the minimum-tillage crop has a better-than-average chance of out-yielding the conventionallytilled crop. Furthermore, in a dry year, the odds are increased in favor of the minimum-tillage

Profit opportunities from No-Til systems have been documented by university research and on-the-farm ex-