16-Lancaster Farming, Saturday, April 17, 1965

## New Beef Standards To Reflect **Changing Consumer Preferences**

Secretary of Agriculture Or- put beef grade standards in ville L Fieeman has an-line with actual distinctions nounced that quality standards the consumer market defor beef grades were being mands" revised to reflect consumer "The cattle industry preferences more accurately, producers and feeders alike --

The principal effect of the has been moving toward proaction will be to reduce the ducing a meatier beef animal amount of fat, or marbling. The new grade standards will which has been considered a recognize and encourage that factor in determining the frend. grade of beef

courage production of beef will make the USDA grade enimals which will reflect con- mark an even more accurate sumer preferences for more and reliable buying guide for lean type beef, the Depart- the consumer Production of ment also is instituting a sep greater numbers of 'meatstate and optional standard type' cattle - stimulated by which can be used to deter use of the cutability standards glade factors being equal, the est mine the amount of closely - can mean larger quantities eating quality of beef tends nimmed retail cuts of meat of high quality beef at lower to decrease with increased that a beef calcass can plo- marketing costs as ploduction, maturity of the beef animal duce

if employed on a wide scale The resulting economic gains enhance eating quality As a in the industry can contrib- will be beneficial to the en- result, official grade standards fat which must be trimmed ute significantly to lower mar- the marketing system" keting costs Freeman noted

standards - which will leave beef and the establishment of in carcasses the prime choice good and separate cutability standards standard classifications un- for beef would be effective cringed — are "the most for- June 1 1965 ward looking step taken in Beef grading (based on beef grading since the official quality and conformation fac-

"The simplified application In a parallel action to en- of quality grade standards

standards were adopted They tors) will continue to be avail-

for the first time.

requirements for beef in the USDA Prime, Choice, Good, and Standard grades, depending on the glade and deglee of maturity, reduce the numbei of maturity classifications from three to two in these grades; eliminate consideration of the two degrees of marbling in excess of that classified as "abundant," and require that all beef carcasses, offered for grading be "ribbed" (that is, with the hind-andforequarters separated so that the calcass libeye muscle is visible to the grader).

shipping, and trimming of ex- At the same time, increasing These cutability standards, cess waste fat is reduced, amounts of marbling tend to historically have required in-He said the revised quality creasing amounts of marbling

months of age Under the 1e- seriously limited the useful-

able, as in the past, under the vised standards, manbling re- ness of the grades as a basis voluntary Federal meat grad- quirements have been reduced for establishing prices. Beef ing program. Official cutability about one full degree for car- carcasses of the same grade standards for optional and casses produced from animals and weight are often traded separate use will be available about 28 to 30 months old. at nearly uniform market Progressively smaller reduc- prices in spite of wide varia-The revised quality stand- tions have been made for car- tions in the amounts of waste ards will: reduce the marbling casses from younger animals. fat.

proposed in September 1963, establish five classifications to identify differences in the percentage of trimmed retail beef cuts which can be produced from various kinds of beef carcasses. These classifications tween carcasses and cattle of lange from 1 to 5. They are based on fat thickness over the carcass ribeye muscle; the "meat-type" cattle, and should size of the ribeye; the quantity of internal carcass fat; and the carcass weight The number 1 will identify carcasses with the highest retail cut-out, and the number 5 will It was explained that, other identify those with the low-

The cutability classifications trimmed ietail cuts that can be produced from a beef carcass The quantity of waste from carcasses in making re He said the new beef grade grade standards for carcass to offset increasing maturity but past grade standards have given no consideration to the Recent research indicates amount of this waste fat The that too great an increase in lack of some system of pro- and Milton Brubaker, Lititz,

maibling has been required viding a uniform distinction were appointed to serve on to offset increased maturity between carcasses with vary- Sire Approving Committees in cattle from about 18 to 30 ing amounts of excess fat has for 1965 according to Mark N.

Identification of cutability The cutability standards, differences in beef carcasses -and slaughter cattle - can provide the basis on which competition may establish prices reflecting true m'arket value, Division officials pointed out. Price differentials bevarying cutability would reward the producer of superior encourage the production of such animals.

The revised quality grade standards and the cutability have been published in the Federal Register. Copies of the standards may be obtained from the Livestock Division, Consumer and Marketwill provide reliable estimates ing Service, U. S. Department of the amount of closely of Agriculture, Washington, D. C 20250

## Groff, Brubaker Named To ABC Sire Committees

Earl L. Gioff, Strasburg,

(Continued on Page 12)

## **Outstanding Egg Production Record By** Donald Miller, R. D. 2, Halifax, Pa. With Musser M327 Leghorns

- 1200 pullets hatched April 27, 1964
- The pullets were raised in confinement in an uninsulated single deck house.
- At 6 months they were laying up to 590 eggs • per day. Culling and loss 4.17% --- 1150 pullets housed

1st two weeks of November	Average Production 52.80%	Dozen Large 192	Dozen Medium	Dozen Pullets and Peewees
of November	<b>52.80</b> %	199		
		122	224	302
Last two weeks				
of November	74.34%	275	607	114
December 1st they peaked at	92.50% — for	one week	in Decembe	
Entire month of				Pullets .
Dec. (28 day period)	84.79%	1241	964	60
January	/0			00
(35 day period)	74.75 %	2055	357	
February		2000		
(28 day period)	67.01%	1617	111	
March		2021		
(31 day period)				
1st floor	74.82%	1056	10	
2nd floor	<b>58.12</b> %	807	<b>20*</b>	
	of water 1 day due to pu	imp failure		
• The loss averaged 1% per month.				
SOUND INTERESTING?	WRITE FOR DETA	AILS AND CHI	CK PRICES.	
MUSSER LEGHORN	FARMS,	<b>R. D.</b> 1	l, Mt. J	loy, Pa.