KIPPETS SALT, DRY, AND SHOKE DIZZY DEANS $19-1$
Firesh off the wire this morning is the salting, drying, and smoking administered the Deans of the Highacres young gentlemen's submissive sphere league by the "scourge of the seall Yankee Kippers. The outcome was 19 for the Kippers behind Karpinski's no-walk pitching and 1 (yes one) for the dehycrated Deans behind Fisher's 10-walk pitching. Felick had 3 two-base hits; Ungvarsky 2; Young 2; and Levan 1.

TO ERR IS HUMAN; TO ADIIT IT A VITTUE
Balloting londay, April 28 was to obtain a referendum from the students on two issues; the publishing of a COLJEGIAN pictorial review of the year and a suggested increase in the appropriation from student funds for the spring picnic. On the question of having the picture review of the year's activities the balloting was 89 against publishing and 39 for publishing. This was less that the two-thirds majority required to reverse a decision of the Student Council. In the case of the spending of more money on a picnic the vote was 99 to 26 in favor of more money, more than enough to reverse the decision of the Gouncil.

Meeting on Tuesday to consider the wishes of the students as indicated by the balloting, the Council voted to waive the two-thirds technicality and go along with the wishes of the majority on shelving the picture review. On the matter of the picnic, Council voted to add \# 30 transferred from the COLLEGIAN budget and bring the picnic appropriation up to $\$ 60$.

##  LETTER TO THE EDITOR DEPARTMTNT

May 1, 1952
COUNCIL OR CABAL
After shouldering the accusations of last weeks (sic) article which claimed we were a Neo-Nazi group haŕbouring the expectations of compelling each student to kneel to the axe, we have but this to say; tommy rot. We never entici ated forming such a faction and if any rumor cited otherwise it was merely a caprice of speculation.

But let us not confuse the basic issue which your comeditor in a most spurious manner has attempted to do. Our contention is and will be to the very end of this college year that in consideration of promoting the general welfare of the students through comordination of student social activities, the governing group has failed pathetically.

On questioning any member of Student Council of the reasons for this fiasco they will reply with one of two answers; lack of funds or a generel disinterest charactorized by the student body. In taking such a feeble defense they appear to have forgotten that in order to utilize the finance at hand, a certain degrecof managerial perseverance and disgression (sic) is necessary. In relation to the second reply they also could have exercised a little forethought by remombering that interest in social activities must be aroused and created, it is not concomitant with their much prized honor of acquiring office.

In consideration of obtaining specific grounds for their failure and intended impeachment we suggest thet they institute a poll concerned with questioning all the students seperately (sic) of their degree of satisfaction with this years activities. If a majority of the students can gratuitously give them an affirmative answer, we will make like all old instigators and slowly fade away.

signed Garth Fisher<br>Vincent J. Corrado

Ed, noto: Such communications as this help to make a college paper a lively soundingboard for student life and oninion. The COLIEGIAN welcomes such student opinion, but calls attention to the fact that the COLLFGIAN takes no responalbility for the opinion or the mechanics of composition and spelling in these letters.


