Second year student Jack Richard was found guilty of burglary and larceny in a mock trial held in Speech 301 this past Sat-Judge Gary Shapira, an urday. of Juliante and Kelleher, said that defense lawyers had failed to contest the evidence essential to the prosecution's case, and his finding for the State.

Following the brial, Speech ed that the most important lesson learned by students participating in the case was that justice in human affairs does not depend solely upon truth. Spielmann indicated that Richard was actually impocent. and that a miscarriage of justice occurred, and can easily happen in husan affairs whenever truth is not cloaked in the garb of eggestive rhetoric. Mr. Richard commented, "I was robbed."

Jack may have been framed. but he faced a prosecution who honestly and at times effectively presented the State's case. Under questioning by Bill Chisholm, Arnie Calibell, Cheryl Ott, and Alke Adams, the plausibility of Juon's guilt unfolded step -bap. Sue Paterson testified that Jall enverse her room on the eve of January 23re and made off with s valuable art print. Other prosscution testimony established the circumstances necessary to the commission of the alleged crime.

The defense concentrated on a running refutation attack designed to weaken each step of the prosecution's case. Jack's good character was attested to by Fr.

Moynihan, campus opiritual ector. The time plement purrounding the crime was shown to be it s clear than alleged by the District Attorney, and the fearibilattorney-at-law with the Erie firmlity of other routes to the crime's location, and the possibility of there being other criminals, were alluded to. Finally, Public Defenders Art Hannah and Steve kinger that judicial perogative permitted got a somewhat-less-than-believable witness, Bill Thomas, to testify taht Jack was in Turnbull Hall instructor John Spielmann suggest- around the time the crime curreosedly occurred. Richard was not called to testify in his own behalf.

Prior to closing arfuments by each side, Judge Shapira revealed his surprising decision for conviction. Later, jurors such as history professor Stephen Cohen and 6 student Chuck Deitsch indicated that a jury might have been more receptive to defense arguments than was Mr. Shapira. However, no appeal is being planned.

Forensic Union President Mike

\$

