

CHI-RHO is Sponsoring





A trip to ATLANTIC CITY for two!

or

Dinner for two at BEEF STEAK CHARLIE'S!



Take a chance on a raffle ticket.

Just \$1.00, sold in the Main Lobby. (Jan. 25-30)

The Senator Speaks

Let me introduce myself. My name is Senator Hymie Quanotnepratz. I am a Senator for the people and I shall use this column to enlighten my constituents of problems or faults found in the Capitol Campus environment.

My first issue to deal with will be everyone's favorite columnist to hate, namely one Ron Alexander Muck. It seems that this person, male or female, has stirred up some dissention among the constituants. I agree whole heartedly that they should remain anonymous. However, I for one found out the identity without even so much as a little bit of effort. If you wish to remain anonymous, "Ron", I think you should be a bit more discrete.

Admitting to anyone who approaches you that you are indeed this "Run Amock" is not what I would call brilliant. No offense, but it was just plain moronic. About your column being buried on page four, it seems to be the consensus of most that it should've been buried a little deeper. My opinion is that maybe it should've been edited completely.

To continue in an effort to make you realize how immature and despotic your comments are and maybe let you be enlightened enough to know when you have gone too far (sic). Dorm beat, it seems you don't have much to say about the dorms that could even be misconstrued as constructive criticism. You wrote about the "Zoo" on third floor Wrisberg -- could be that the so-called "Zoo" is the brunt of your ignorance. It

turns out that the "Zoo" has not been defeated in an athletic contest yet this year. Could it be that your appraisal of beer drinkers is biased? You seem to totally neglect the good side of the "Zoo". I mean they might have gotten their nickname by the way they break physical and mental tension. Since your anonymity is revealed to me I believe that a large part of your remarks directed towards the "Zoo" are based on jealousy. But don't worry, we won't compare your body to that endangered mammal, the sperm whale. By the way, there are a lot of girls attracted to athletic type guys, obviously in your case it is not vice versa. Don't worry, there is still hope, or should we say plenty of tuna in the sea.

In describing second floor Wrisberg I seem to note an ambiguity. Aren't you being a little hypocritical when you say more power to those guys for partying right after you just put down the third floor for partying? It seems that you prefer second floor's way of drinking without limit to third floor's method of unlimited drinking. At least try and be consistant in your bias

First floor Wrisberg is a quiet place because that is what the multitudes on that floor desire. Also with constant awareness of both a Resident Assistant and an Assistant Coordinator being present, what would you be doing different? Running up and down the halls yelling fire — is that what you mean by something exciting to do at 3:00 a.m.? I'll bet, if given a choice, girls would like

a mature, quiet floor over a rowdy floor. How many men are attracted to beer guzzling girls? But in any event, is it your right to judge them?

In your description of first floor Church you use adjectives that seem a little unfair. One thing that is apparent is that obviously you consider yourself to fall into that category of normal human company. Isn't this an assumption on your part? I don't really think the guys on first floor Church are as bad as you present them to be -- it's just that they react to you like Captain Ahab did to Moby Dick. They seem to have formed an opinion of you that isn't exactly complimentary -- in fact it is down right degrading. A floor does not form an opinion of someone like that unless there is just cause, and from what I've heard, they have just cause. The two individuals to which you refer, Cheech & Chong, have been known to attract the to their room. But in all honesty I don't think it is their good looks: it could be the aroma from the room that draws them. This could be similar to the smell on the third floor during the World Series. But, since you have been observed, to use your term "flocking to their room", may we postulate that you are a user of those funny cigareetes?

Concerning you efforts to expose the second floor girls who make the best of a lonely situation here at C.C., I would venture to say that you are no Rona Barret, so why turn your column into a gossip corner? That is in bad taste, but that only proves what is fast becoming common knowledge.

In regard to your paragraph concerning a girl in Atlantic City: I think that that kind of nortoriety is uncalled for, since it was none of your damn business. That kind of material or news has no purpose for being in a column. By the way, did you get the permission of the R.A. and Assistant Coordinator to use their names in your article?

In reference to your last paragraph, I think that if your column were directed towards this type of exposure instead of the "Muck" you have been producing, you would earn more respect than contempt. Think about it!

I agree that some of the comments directed towards you by me have been abusive, but until the shoe is on the other foot or recieving the brunt of the uncomplimentary remarks, it really does not sink in. Now that you have felt a small amount of what the other floors have been feeling, maybe you will realize the error of your ways. I hope so! It would be a waste to lose you as an author, but the way you are now is of the sort we don't need.

Respectfully submitted, Senator Hymie Quanatnepratz

P.S. Another hint in your journalistic style could be to be a little more objective in your reporting of campus social events. There is no need to insult valient efforts in the combatting of boredom.