

Perspectives Page

Test Dates

TEST DATE	CLOSING DATE FOR APPLICATION
Graduate Record Examination (GRE's)	
4-22-78	3-22-78
6-10-78	5-10-78
Graduate Management Admission Test (GMAT's)	
3-18-78	2-24-78
7-08-78	6-16-78
Law School Admission Test (LSAT's)	
4-15-78	3-16-78
7-15-78	6-15-78
National Teacher Examination (NTE's)	
7-15-78	6-22-78

The Miller Analogies Test is given in the Counseling Center by appointment. Study books are available on a two-week loan basis and may be picked up in W-117.



W. H. O.

If you were at last year's Spring Concert then you know that "HEAD SHOP" was an organization of people working to help people.

We are now "WHO" cause We Help Others. So, if you are interested in helping out this year watch for the blue posters with the white ankh symbol. For further information contact John at 944 - 0114, Carolyn at 944 -1604, or Rick at 944 - 3793.

No Exit

1. If you think Capitol Campus is hell, come see "No Exit" in the Auditorium--March 9 at 12:30 and 8:00 p.m.
2. Heil is other people.
3. It's what one does and nothing else that shows the stuff one's made of.

Whose Who?

by P. McDermott 939-1474

Who's Who Selection Committee have sent forms to all students whose grade point average is in the upper third of their academic program. Students from each program will be selected to represent Penn State-Capitol Campus in the Who's Who publication next year. Eligible graduate students must have completed 15 credit hours and undergraduate students 9 credit hours of their course work. If you haven't been contacted and feel you are eligible, pick up a form in the Student Activities office. Please return all completed forms to the Student Activities office by Feb. 15.

Dear Sir:

I write to rebut the critics of H. Geiger's devastating exposure of the fallacious claims made in support of ERA and his/her exhaustive list of highly probable pernicious social consequences were this iniquitous project of feminist fanatics and lesbian elitists passed. Geiger enumerated an array of adverse ramifications of ERA most of which would be damaging to women themselves.

What is the joint riposte of Ms. Tarvydas and Ms. Howell? It is a disoriented jumble of non-sequiturs and extraneous irrelevancies. Although Geiger listed fourteen concrete adverse consequences hardly one was addressed or rebutted although Howell has great faith in "voluntary" support by a husband of his wife. (This seems strange coming from one who campaigns against "male chauvinism".) Tarvydas lists alleged "benefits" of the Pennsylvania version of ERA (which is not an amendment of the U.S. Constitution) some of which are laughably insignificant (how wonderful to be able to retain your maiden name!) and most of which were legally possible without any state ERA. With remarkably cogent logic she ridicules the charge that ERA, if passed, would undermine the family, by asserting that, although thirty-five states have passed it, the family still persists. Obviously ERA has not passed yet and Geiger did not say the family would be damaged even if ERA is not passed.

Howell's logical acumen is equally impressive. She is either markedly uncandid or extraordinarily unaware of the massive current "trivialization" of the Constitution (as one writer puts it). Doesn't she know that this is an age of litigation and hasn't she heard of the avalanche of appeals to the Supreme Court by those bent on "absolutizing" first amendment and other Constitutional

LETTERS



EDITOR

rights? How can she blandly and innocently contend that the highly probable "facts" of inevitable ERA Constitutional challenges listed by Geiger are "merely possible though highly improbable interpretation"? Father and son banquets, for example, already have been challenged as "sexist"; how can any challenge therefore be "improbable"?

I believe in the elimination of discrimination against women but not in the elimination of the distinction between men and women. We already have legislation which guarantees most of the former; ERA would attempt to effect the latter and would be a giant step backwards.

Ms. Jane Richards

To the Editor:

How much longer must the residents of first floor Church be forced to use the unsafe entrance at the north end of our building? How many more cuts must we sustain? How many more good pairs of gloves must be ruined before the situation is remedied? I am referring to the missing door handle at the north entrance of our hall. A handle which, by the way, has been missing since November.

On Wednesday, January 18, a meeting was held in the dining hall for all dorm residents. At this meeting, Mr. Gautreau was confronted with the problem. He admitted having prior knowledge of the problem. Even after it was explained to him that the handle had gradually come off, he chose to assume it was vandalism. He also stated that the door would remain in disrepair for several weeks. This was to be a reminder to us on the floor. I feel it is ludicrous for Mr. Gautreau to assume floor members are responsible.

Three weeks have passed and we are still using the homemade handle (a twisted coat hanger). It is understood that there had been an emergency in the meantime with the breaking of the steam pipe. However, we have waited long enough. Where is our handle!?

Wayne Bully
1st Floor Church

Dear Editor,

Although I applaud the clear and accurate rebuttals of Weaver, Howell, and Tarvydas to H. Geiger's attack on the ERA, my response is quite different. I admit my first reaction to Geiger's letter was to respond in a mad tirade that would rip holes in those well-worn and inaccurate assumptions about the effects of the ERA. It wasn't until I read Geiger's last criticism that I realized the letter was a hoax

with the simple purpose of getting some feminist to respond in a mad tirade that would rip holes...etc. That last criticism I refer to was: "ERA will not protect privacy based on sex in public restrooms..." That's one scare tactic that's been beaten to death. I seriously doubt there is anyone left who believes "Equality of rights under the law" will prohibit Americans from seeing in private.

A. VanDyke

Capitol Campus Reader
of the Pennsylvania State University
The Capitol Campus
RTE. 230, Middletown, Pa., 17057
Office W-129-131
Phone (717) 944-4970

Editors-in-Chief..... Brian McDonough
Doug George
Editorial Page Editor..... Jim Musselman
Layout Editor..... Jeff Stout
Copy Editor..... Ed McKeown
Arts Editor..... Greg Hall
Staff..... Peggy Hartzel, Rick Haythornthwaite, Neil Landes, Frank Lynch,
Randy Myers, John Stachowiak, Sandy Stern, Maureen Doyle
Business and Advertising
Manager..... Carol Anderson
Staff..... Bill Koutlikos

The Capitol Campus Reader is the school newspaper of Penn State's Capitol Campus. It is published by the students who attend this school. We of the Reader Staff try to accurately represent the voice of the students, and keep them informed as to current events and relevant issues. We are published on a weekly basis.

CREATE A CARTOON and WIN The C.C. READER CARTOON CONTEST

Use your imagination-comment on what you see around you.

Submit your entry to the C.C. Reader office W 129. All Entries suitable for publication will be printed.