

S.G.A. Elections

On April 11 students will be participating in the spring term SGA Elections. All full time undergraduate student will be voting in three distinct procedures. First are the SGA Presidential and Senior Senate Elections. One vote will be cast for each of the SGA offices - President, Vice President, Treasurer, Recording Secretary and Corresponding Secretary. Votes will also be cast for the Senior Senate seats. Each individual will cast one vote for a candidate in his or her academic division (Math/Science, Humanities, Social Science, Elementary Education, Engineering and Business). A second vote will be cast for any of the senatorial candidates. Those running in the SGA election are as follows:

President-Dave Nicholas & Michael McAllister; **Vice President**-David K. DeLong, John H. Klock & Russ Hogg; **Treasurer**-Brian English & Thomas Sheets; **Recording Secretary**-none; **Corresponding Secretary**-none. **SENATORS**
Business- Bruce Karshner; **Elementary Education**- Nancy Wilt; **Engineering**- Skip Laratonda; **Humanities**- none; **Math/Science**-none; **Social Science**-none.

The second procedure will be the faculty nomination for the James Jordan Award for Teaching Excellence. Each student will vote for one faculty member that he or she feels is most deserving of this recognition. The six instructors with the highest total votes will become the nominees for the award. A student evaluation board of six seniors will review the six nominees and designate the one faculty members who will receive the award at June graduation.

The last section of the voting will be the action taken on the list of candidates for the University Faculty Senate. Each Commonwealth Campus has submitted a nominee for this seat. The six nominees will appear on one ballot from which the voters will select two students. All other Commonwealth Campuses will be holding similar proceedings and the one student with the highest total votes will be designated the winner.

James Jordan Award

At the Senae meeting of Tuesday, March 25, the SGA adopted new rules for the James Jordan Award. The new rules are as follows: I. Student nomination of six faculty from an alphabetical list. One vote will be cast by each student.

II Evaluation of the six faculty nominees by a student board of six seniors.

III Each senior member of the board must be enrolled in one of the six academic divisions: Social Science, Humanities, Education, Math/Science, Engineering, and Business. Board membership will be determined by the SGA Election/Screening Committee.

IV The Election/Screening Committee will consider any senior name submitted to it.

V The board will establish its own method and criteria for the evaluation of the six faculty nominees. The Board may decide, that for a given list of

nominees, none are qualified to receive the award.

VI The board will conduct its evaluation behind closed doors, and will only make public the one faculty nominee selected to receive the award or that no winner has been selected. The board will have three weeks to reach its decision.

For these rules to become effective it is very necessary that the student evaluation board (point II) be established. All seniors interested in serving on this evaluation board please put your name, address and phone number in Bob Hetzel's mail box in the SGA room W-104. After a list of possibilities is established the SGA Election/Screening Committee will set up appointments for all prospective board members. After the interviews are completed the Committee will name the six students who will comprise the evaluation board.

G.P.P. — Getting It Together

by John Bradford Langdon

Hold onto your seats and imagine fifteen to twenty thousand people swarming over Capitol Campus for a free outdoor concert. You're not dreaming in vain, because Good Peoples' Productions is going to make it a real happening on May 18, from noon to 9 p.m. Labeled the 'Capitol Campus Music Festival,' the free concert will feature at least seven bands performing a variety of contemporary music.

The success, enjoyment, and good times of concerts past have been established by campus-wide co-operation and communication. This year that vital co-operation and communication is in progress again, with GPP's organizational heads meeting regularly with school officials and security personnel over the last five weeks.

Gathering momentum, the Music Festival is rolling into its second phase: gaining student support and advertising. Mike Leasher and Peter Sell, two of GPP's co-chairmen, explained, 'We have most of the basic organizational work completed, but we'll need crowd and traffic control marshalls, cleanup personnel, and generally, active participating support from the college community. People definitely have to spread the word of the concert and volunteer.'

Tom Maioli, Security Chairman talked about the general requirements for becoming a concert marshall. He said, 'The type of persons we're looking for

are those that will show up for their scheduled duty, in other words, responsible, and have good common sense.' The traffic marshalls will be responsible for efficient traffic flow, while the crowd marshalls will be working in the crowd to help the visiting spectators and settle disturbances. Distributed in shifts, the marshalls' concert work will be allocated so that only an hour to two must be contributed per person.

A general meeting for concert volunteers will be announced soon and will be posted in the C.C. READER and on bulletin boards.

According to Jim Yorgey, GPP's Music Chairman, the organization is planning a bill of seven or eight groups for the nine-hour outdoor concert. The performers' musical repertoire includes rock, folk, jazz and country interests. Drawn mainly from Pennsylvania, the groups under consideration are FRED, AMERICAN STANDARD, GYRUS, FOREST GREEN, GHOSTOWN, MATRIX, MORNING SONG and the DIMBLER BROTHERS.

The Capitol Campus Music Festival will be the fulfillment of a recently-established tradition of free, outdoor concerts. Spring term, 1971, featured the beginning of Capitol's large-scale concert era as an estimated 15,000 people were attracted to a all-day affair. Rock Concert II, Spring '72, was backed by publicity efforts in Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland.

Increase in Tuition

The eight percent increase in the appropriation for The Pennsylvania State University included in Governor Milton Shapp's 1974-75 budget message will, if approved by the Legislature, help meet many of the high priority elements in the University's request, Dr. John W. Oswald, president of the University.

The Governor's request calls for a \$94,132,000 appropriation for the University to begin planning to meet the higher operational costs due to inflation and to make adjustments in compensation effective and payable on July 1, 1974.

'We are of course grateful to Governor Shapp for recognizing the urgent need for additional funds,' President Oswald said.

'As I have said on prior occasions, highest budget priority in 1974-75 will be given to provide equitable salary increases for University personnel,' he continued. 'Second highest priority is meeting the increased cost of operation because of inflation. The University has been hit particularly hard by increases in such essential items as fuel, electricity, postage, telephone service, and a \$2,000,000 mandated increase in the University's contribution to the State Retirement System. Virtually every item purchased by the University has been affected by the present inflationary trend.'

In regard to tuition, President Oswald said the specific amount of the tuition increase for next year has not been established.

'Enactment of the Governor's budget would help insure that the increase will be held to a very modest level, while at the same time, making some move toward tuition levels called for in the State Master Plan for Higher Education for state-related universities.'

Though postponed due to poor weather conditions, the sun beamed on Capitol's Rock Concert II rain-date and the people streamed in.

'With the beautiful weather, more spectators came to listen to the music. Estimates of the crowd size varied between 25,000 and 30,000 persons. It is a wonder where the marshalls for Good Peoples' Productions placed all the cars. Parking lots were filled in the back lot, Fruehauf, the Placement Office and the playing fields, roadsides—all the way down to Olmsted Plaza.'—from THE CAPITOLIST May 21, 1972.

Last spring's concert was the major attraction of the Capitol Campus Music-Arts Festival. A week-long schedule of flicks, parties, speakers and exhibits were to be highlighted by the planned two-day Folk/rock concert. The country and folk music, scheduled for Saturday, drew nearly 5000 people. Local camping areas were said to be filling up with arrivals for Sunday's rock concert that evening. Campus parking lots saw scores of cars arriving throughout the night. Approximately 25 kegs of beer were emptied in 'preparation-for-the-concert' parties in Meade Heights.

However, a sickening day-long downpour commenced at 5 a.m. Sunday morning, washing out the planned festivities. Hopefully, this year's concert on May 18 will be accompanied by a lot of blue sky and warming rays.

WHAT GOES ON?

M. G. Weidner

An inherent and essential part of the duties of a college of University governing board is to provide for the maintenance of order and decorum on the campus to an extent that will protect the regular operation of the institution from disruption, preserve its property from damage or destruction, preserve and assure that students, teachers, and all other persons having legitimate business there will not be obstructed or threatened. Performance of this obligation is delegated in manifold ways to the President and his administrative staff, to the faculty, and to the students.

Therefore, as Chief Justice of the Student Court as well as a student, I must take exception to the three proposed addendums to the Capitol Campus Vehicle Regulations.

According to the addendums proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer, 'In compliance with Paragraph A, General Provisions, Sub-paragraph 5, the following additions... are published and become effective as of March 18, 1974. This statement in its self raises questions.

1. The Policy and Rules for Students, 1973-74, manual does not include a sub-paragraph 5. If however a sub-paragraph 5 has been added since the printing of the manual the question arises as to what is it, and where is it located?

2. Why have the students as well as the faculty and administrative people been made aware of its existence?

As for addendum 1, allowing only one automobile and one motorcycle on the campus at one and the same time, with the exception of a married student living with his wife on campus. This is an infringement on the rights of other students as well as being discriminatory. Section B sub-paragraph 1 states 'A motor vehicle in these regulations means any motor driven vehicle (automobile, truck, motorcycle, motorbike, motor scooter, mini-bike, or other).' Why is the distinction now made between an automobile and a motorcycle? If the concern is for parking space, then a statistical survey must be taken to show the number of students in both the dorms, and Meade Heights, who do not have vehicles vs. the number of students in these areas who have vehicles. At the time of this writing, there has not been a survey taken. This addendum can and will cause harm to some students. The parking problem (if there really is one) can not be eliminated by causing another one, but merely transfers the problem to another area. This addendum is counter to the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th amendment.

Addendum 2:

All vehicles parked or operated on Capitol Campus must have a current state license plate, current state inspection sticker, and current Parking Permit. . . The word state is construed to mean the state of Pennsylvania. My question to that is, what happens to a student from out of state who has neither a Pennsylvania license plate or whose state doesn't require an inspection sticker or both? If allowances have to be made for out of state students, and against state residents, I recommend the addendum be either replaced by a properly worded and meaningful rule or revoked entirely.

Addendum 3:

'There is no appeal to a Restricted Sticker parking violation notice.'

University Procedures for Discipline Hearings, Section 1 states: 'The student shall be informed of the charges against him in writing sufficiently in advance of the hearing to afford a reasonable opportunity to prepare his defense. . . The student also shall be informed of his rights, together with a statement of the hearing procedures and possible sanctions. Section H sub-paragraph 1 gives the student the right to appeal. 'Student penalties (including fines) may be appealed within two business days by petition to the Office of the Student Affairs for hearing and/or adjudication.'

In addition to Section H, 'Rights To Equitable Procedures' page 8 of the Policies and Rules for Students, 1973-74, part 3 states, 'Charges of minor infractions of regulations, penalized by small fines or reprimands which do not become part of permanent records, may be handled expeditiously by the appropriate individual or committee. Persons so penalized have the right to appeal.' There are other references to the rights of appeal through this manual.

It has been stated that the Student Government of prior years traded this right of appeal for the Restricted Sticker. The Student Government has exceeded its jurisdiction if that be the case. No one has the authority to forgo the rights of another individual.

In summation, then, I must include an opinion written by Federal Chief Judge Frank M. Johnson, Jr. 'The student is subject only to reasonable rules and regulations, but his rights must yield to the extent that they would interfere with the institutions fundamental duty to operate the school as an educational institution.' The three addendums are counter to Due Process.

The 'Due Process' with which I am concerned is the procedure by which students are accused, heard, adjudged, and exonerated or punished for alleged infractions of discipline. The 'Due Process' now required in college disciplinary cases is not hedged about with the same formalities as are proceedings in a court of law, yet we may note that there is some resemblance between the two; that each may be said to be derived in some part from the 'Due Process Law' clauses of the 5th amendment, which are based on a sense of fairness.

Since the proposed addendums are or can be of a disciplinary nature, they have to be considered under the Due Process Law. United States District Judge Elmo B. Hunter further agreed with this in his statement that, 'A federal court should not intervene to reverse or enjoin disciplinary actions relevant to a lawful mission of an educational institution unless there appears one of the following:

(1) A deprivation of due process, that is, fundamental concepts of fair play;

(3) Denial of federal rights, constitutional or statutory, protected in the academic community;

(4) Clearly unreasonable, arbitrary or capricious action.'

The court case of Dixon vs. Alabama, has become recognized as setting a standard for due process so far as public institutions are concerned. The context of this letter therefore is also based on that case.

The three addendums are considered to have been drawn up in haste and without forethought, and should therefore be revoked immediately.