

## From Your Editors

### McGovern and Foreign Policy

While Senator McGovern's recently televised speech outlining his proposed steps for ending the Indochina War received much notice and critical praise, another speech which he delivered on October 5th in Cleveland concerning the broader aspects of a bold new proposed foreign policy was largely overlooked in the press.

The speech, entitled "The New Internationalism" represented a proposed change in foreign policy at least as great in magnitude as that made by President Kennedy in ending the Dulles era of cold war confrontation.

It criticized the very foundations of the Nixon concept of "balance of power" as a balance of terror which does nothing to alleviate the root causes of war among mankind. Nor does it encourage the kind of partnership among nations so necessary to combat such overwhelming problems as poverty, malnutrition, and overpopulation according to McGovern.

The Democratic Presidential candidate criticized the "six-gun diplomacy" of the Nixon Administration's John Connally as insulting to our traditional economic allies in Europe and Japan, and recommended a policy of prior consultation instead of ultimatum in dealing with them in the future.

Senator McGovern is determined to relax world tensions between the United States, Soviet Union, and China through a growing economic interrelationship and an avoidance of "reflexive interventionism" in internal political affairs of other countries. A strong national defense would be maintained based on a defense capability trimmed of its present wastefulness, and more importantly, on a "just and prosperous domestic society" -- our true source of strength.

Moreover, Senator McGovern would bring to our commitment of leadership in the world an unquestioned moral integrity and would emphasize the growing necessity for world community resolution of international disputes.

Such a foreign policy would represent a fundamentally different posture for the United States in world affairs. Specific manifestations of this proposed policy would be found in meaningful attempts at prudently reducing nuclear arms stockpiling and unnecessary troop commitments in Europe through negotiations with the Soviet Union. There would be a firm commitment to Israel's right to exist behind recognized borders.

In the far east, McGovern would recognize the Peking government in China and also encourage Japan's membership on the United Nation's Security Council. Our moral leadership would show opposition to racist and undemocratic governments in South Africa, Greece, and Pakistan and a compassion for the people of Bangladesh and the Palestine refugees.

The electorate at large must recognize the importance of such proposed policies. America must reassert its role of moral leadership in the world in the tradition of President Wilson. The United States must not remain merely the world's largest military power; we must begin again to make our foreign policy consistent with the ideals of our own Constitution.

Senator McGovern has shown the moral leadership necessary for America in this new role in the world. The time has come to decide between four more years of the "balance of terror" or taking the necessary steps to meet the challenge of achieving world peace. On November 7th, there will be a real choice for America's future.

\*\*\*\*

## The Capitolist

Published by the students of the Pennsylvania State University at the Capitol Campus in Middletown, Pa., THE CAPITOLIST serves as a vital point of communication for all those related to the Capitol Community. Funded primarily by the Student Government Association, THE CAPITOLIST is printed Thursdays of each week during the fall, winter and spring academic terms. THE CAPITOLIST is written and managed solely by its staff under direction of the co-editors. Views expressed by editorials or articles in this publication reflect only those of the individual authors and not necessarily those of faculty members, administrators or other persons associated with the University. Advisors are Dr. John Patterson and Mrs. Betty Duke.

Co-Editors  
Managing Editor  
Advertising Manager  
Business Manager  
Contributors

Bob Bonaker, Mike Welliver  
Barbara Boswell  
Max Brady  
John Wolford  
Aaron Spicher Ed Ganssle  
Frank Fox, Mike Collins  
Charlie Zitter, Barb Epstein  
Tom Ogden, Dave Link  
Delores Garrison

Signed articles and letters from interested students, faculty and staff are gratefully accepted.

THE CAPITOLIST subscribes to the College Press Service and Dispatch News Service. Publication and printing is handled by the WEST SHORE TIMES.

## Letters to the Editor

### SICK TO HIS STOMACH

To the Editors.

I have been following the Presidential race for the past few months and am sick to my stomach over the remarks of George McGovern. Richard Nixon is not a perfect man, but then, who is? I am going to vote for President Nixon and not for McGovern. Here are a few reasons why:

1. McGovern's ideas about getting everyone out of Southeast Asia are okay except for a few small items. After giving his formula for total withdrawal the Senator was asked this question. (If he were to get all our troops out of Southeast Asia, and the North Vietnamese didn't let our POW's go, would he re-enter the war. He said no. Asked if the Communists took over Thailand, Laos, and Cambodia would he interfere, his answer to all was a flat no.)

Maybe McGovern would rather support the Viet Cong and the North Vietnamese than our own men who are POW's???

2. McGovern keeps harping about the United States killing so many people in North and South Vietnam. About our attacking a poor agricultural nation that can't defend itself, but who have been carrying on attacks against South Vietnam for thirty years or more and are killing civilians at a rate exceeding ours. I have yet to see any accounts in the news about the Communists holding trials for all the atrocities that they have committed over the years. Have you?

3. A man who during the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations backed the fighting in Vietnam wholeheartedly and then gets the nomination of the Democratic party and starts stabbing himself in the back by going against everything he has voted for. And who would try and get us to believe that he has been against the War since 1964.

4. To pick a running mate and state before millions of Americans that he is behind him 1,000% and in a few days turn around and stab him in the back. That took either stupidity or guts, or maybe a little of each. And then to turn around and pick a social leech like Shriver. That puts the frosting on the cake.

If that's the kind of man you want running the Country, then go ahead and take a course in The Art of Suicide, because you'll be needing it. That's the reason that a lot of Democrat's like myself are going strong for Pres. Nixon. We don't want to commit Political suicide.

I don't think you will print this in the CAPITOLIST, but if you would I would greatly appreciate it. As a matter of fact, I don't think you have the guts to print this in the newspaper, word for word.

A Concerned American  
\*\*\*\*

### GARBAGE AND DEVIATES

Dear Editors,

Miss Shenefelt, in her letter under the heading NO DIRTY WORDS in last week's issue, reminds me that not too long ago in history, anyone could dump their garbage anywhere they wanted. As social groups developed and expanded, the need for restraints developed. Now, even with those group efforts, we have suddenly awakened to the fact that if we do not act as a cohesive body, our globe may become uninhabitable. (Doing our own things as individuals doesn't always work.)

In principle, there is really very little difference between throwing organic garbage in the river and using verbal garbage in a public newspaper. I applaud the CAPITOLIST in its restraint and its improved taste.

Miss Shenefelt referred to herself and those who reason like her as deviates. In this she

may have revealed her need to expose her self image to us so that we can dislike her too. Be that as it may, for all of us it eventually becomes necessary to grow up, mature, become less self-gratifying and less self-centered, and discontinue those childhood tantrums of anti-social behavior. (Good luck, Miss Shenefelt!)

Miss Shenefelt's attitude, while it has some good points, hardly instills a sense of confidence in the emotional stability of the PSU student image. As a family man, I would not want my name put at the end of this letter out of fear of reprisal by those who might resort to more than dirty words under the same rational guise. Hopefully, the majority of us PSU students are not as Miss Shenefelt's example might lead you to believe.

### Halloween Parade and Dance

A festive evening will take place Friday, October 27th. The famous Halloween parade will begin at 7:00 from the Coffee House, proceed to the dorms via the Meade Heights Walkway to pick up the rest of the parade, and go to the Olmstead Plaza to show-off its finery.

After the parade there will be a dance at the Student Center at 9:00. Admission is free for only those wearing costumes. The group St. Elmo's Fire will judge the costumes. A \$10.00 prize will be offered throughout the night.

\*\*\*\*

Ed. Note: We appreciate the preceding letter from A CONCERNED AMERICAN. We accepted his challenge and showed that we indeed had the guts to print his letter, word-for-word.

The CAPITOLIST makes a definite policy to print every letter it receives preferably if it is signed. This has been true in all instances. And we make it a policy to never censor or delete any portion of it because we feel that the content of an individual letter is the property of the author.

However, because A CONCERNED AMERICAN fails to identify himself, it seems as if he does not totally believe in what he is saying. If I felt the same way he does, I would be proud to sign my name to the letter. Because I do not, I wish to take issue with much of what he is saying. You can punch holes in the record of any politician. In the long run, it is his over-all consistency which looms important. McGovern, whom this publication is endorsing for the presidency, has indeed been consistent in his anti-war policy over the years. Although he did vote for the Tonkin Gulf Resolution, he did so in the belief that it was only a TEMPORARY approval of presidential power to act as he saw fit in regards to possible U.S. military involvement in Vietnam.

### PRESIDENTS COUNCIL

There will be a meeting of the campus' President's Council on Thursday, October 26 at 7:00 p.m. in the TV Lounge.

Topics to be discussed include the goals and purposes of the organization, possible revisions of the body's constitution, combined speaker symposiums, and discussions of club activities.

The person who is the executive head or designated representative of each organization chartered under the auspices of the Student Government Association automatically qualifies as a member of the President's Council. The Vice-President of the SGA serves as chairman of the Council. The Vice-President of the President's Council is Bob Bonaker, who was elected to that post by a unanimous vote at the last meeting on October 12.

The present constitution of the President's Council states that a representative of each campus club must attend each meeting or the charter of an absent club will be revoked upon two consecutive absences. A discontinued charter means that all allocated funds are withdrawn.

### Whoops!

Last week, in an article under the heading GRAD STUDENT INFORMATION, program heads in graduate curriculums were identified. But in that article, some of the names were incorrectly listed or not brought up to date.

Here are the correct people and their titles: Dr. F. Frederick Neubauer, Chairman of the graduate program in Administration; Dr. Jay Anderson, Chairman - American Studies; Dr. Robert Lesniak-Chairman, Elementary Education; Dr. Frank Ferguson-Chairman, Regional Planning.

Some of the incorrect listings were a failure to update the information, while some were due to the fact that the CAPITOLIST was not notified of the changes.

\*\*\*\*