## Finance Committee **Budget Allocation** Report

Organizational budgets for 1972-73 were approved by the SGA Senate at the weekly meeting on October 2. Following are the figures released by Treasurer Tom Dixon concerning the budgets. The total at the far right in the second portion of the report represents the money in each organizational account at the time the budgets were approved.

	Amt. Requested	Budgeted Last
Organizations	1972-73	Year 1971-72
B.S.U.	13,650.00	200.00
Beta Chi	900.00	225.00
Booster Club	200.00	-0-
Capitolist (Newspaper)	5,975.00	3,300.00
Capitolite	3,098.00	2,000.00
Chess Club	415.00	50.00
Chi Delta Sigma	-0-	-0-
Delta Tau Kappa	1,115.00	50.00
Dramatic Arts		150.00
Human Awareness	775.00	300.00
ITE	645.00	75.00
IEEE	160.00	-0-
Meade Hgts. Board of Gov.	-0-	-0-
Photo club		-0-
P.S.E.A.	1,080.00	200.00
<b>P.S.P.E</b> .	1,300.00	50.00
Resident Student Council	800.00	40.00
Republican Club	1,288.00	25.00
Ski Club	880.00	200.00
Social Committee	-0-	4,000.00
Tarhelm	500.00	230.00
S.G.A.	-0-	1,500.00
University Apartments	-0-	165.00
Women Club	-0-	25.00
X.G.I.		325.00
WZAP	2,615.00	800.00

## Organizational **Budgets**

0	Budgeted	Balance as of	Approved
Organizations	1972-73	Sept. 30	Total
B.S.U.	250.00	11.00	261.00
Beta Chi	250.00	30.66	280.66
Booster Club	75.00	6.40	81.40
Capitolist	3,700.00	216.00	3,916.00
Capitolite	1,200.00	-0-	1,200.00
Chess Club	35.00	26.87	61.87
Chi Delta Sigma	-0-	172.78	172.78
Delta Tau Kappa	150.00	7.46	242.54
Dramatic Arts	50.00	11.37	61.37
Human Awareness	200.00	175.84	375.84
ITE	100.00	-0-	100.00
IEEE	50.00	24.20	74.20
Meade Hgts. Board	-0-	10.81	10.81
Photo Club	100.00	1.21	101.21
P.S.E.A.	200.00	25.25	225.25
P.S.P.E.	125.00	75.67	200.67
Resident Sutdent Council	-0-	95.56	95.56
Rec/ Ath. Council	-0-	252.24	252.24
Young Democrats	25.00	67.80	92.80
Ski Club	100.00	60.95	160.95
Republican Club	25.00	25.00	50.00

## 'Roomate' **Prompts Civil Suit**

Poughkeepsie, N.Y. (CPS) -A one million dollar law suit at Vassar College over the university's liability for assigning persons with incompatible lifestyles as roommates has ended in a stalemate.

Nancy Graber, ex-class of '73, sued Vassar College for \$1,000,000 last October, but agreed last summer to an out of court settlement of \$2,100. Vassar officials say that this sum represents a "refund" on the remainder of Graver's tuition payment for the 1969-70 year she spent at Vassar.

Graber claimed that her roommate, identified as "Pamela," was "embraced by all the aspects of the drug culture" in February of their freshman year, and that "regularly, while Pam was on a trip, she would play guitar and sing throughout the night, oblivious to her surroundings."

Pam, according to Graber, was also involved with a circle of friends who also used drugs and that a "male non-student was a frequent late night visitor."

The original suit brought against Vassar by Graber's father, Raymond Graber, alleged that Nancy flunked out of Vassar in 1970 because her roommate held all night pot parties, "exposing Nancy to noise and the haze of pot smoke."

The million dollar law suit covered damages of a "prospective nature" because Graber failed at the college with a permanent "bad record." Graber wanted to stop Vassar from either proclaiming her "unfit for college" or denying her recommendations to another university.

Graber said that Vassar was responsible for her failure because residence officials refused to change her room, although she never actually applied for a formal room change. She claimed that Elizabeth Drouilhet, dean of residence, responded to her complaints by telling her to "open the window if the smoke bothered her."

Vassar

## **Grievance Procedure** May Be Established **At SUNY Buffalo**

Buffalo, N.Y. (CPS) - The student Association of the State University of New York at Buffalo is trying to establish a method for students to deal with student-faculty grievances.

Under the proposed procedures, a student at SUNY at Buffalo would have recourse through established channels if he or she felt they had a legitimate grievance concerning a faculty member.

The proposal has been passed by the Student Association and is expected to pass the executive committee of the SA soon. Whether or not it will be approved by the administration is unknown.

"Every department would have the same procedures," acording to SA academic affairs co-ordinator Janine Hanis, who aided in drawing up the grievance procedures for undergraduates. This is necessary, she explained, because many departments have no grievance process at all and those that do act solely within departmental rulings and tend to favor their own faculty members.

separate levels will exist in the

primary, faculty and undergraduate studies. The primary level will attempt to resolve the problem with the department and between the student and faculty member. The faculty and undergraduate levels, however, will judge the problem outside of the department involved.

This way, a student may appeal a decision made on the primary level to the chairman of the appropriate Faculty Divisional Committee or the undergraduate dean. If grounds for appeal are found, the case will be reviewed.

The Faculty Divisional Committee will be composed of two students and two faculty members chosen by the divisional chairman. The committee will issue its findings and reasons for recommendations to the parties concerned within ten days of its last meeting.

A student may appeal this decision to the dean of undergraduate studies.

Ronald Stein, associate director of the Office of Student Affairs, has praised the program as one that can "help the departments and the university to improve."

According to the undergraduate plan, three grievance resolution process:

