# **Crash Victims Stranded In Andes Rugby Team and Followers Survive**

Alive: The Story of the Andes Survivors, by Piers Paul Read. A social-psychological analysis by Cecilia Hamilton, Alla Leever and Scott Campbell, edited by Eleanor Hall. (This analysis is based on papers written for Psychology 417.)

On October 13, 1972, a char-tered plane left Montevideo, Argentina, carrying a rugby team, its supporters, their friends, relatives, and fellow students, and a few extra passengers. They were bound for a rugby game and a short vacation in Chile. A few hours later, the plane crashed in a remote, snowcovered area high in the Andes. The survival of the crash victims for seventy days is one of the most amazing accounts of our times. It is a splendidexample of the processes involved in leadership in the emergence of new norms within a group, in stratification, in cooperation, as well as in conformity and obedience.

Immediately after the crash there was a tendency for leadership to reside in those who had been leaders previously. This could have been expected in light of Homans' theory. He asserts that if obedience to a leader's orders has brought success and net reward in the past, there is a tendency for him to be obeyed in the present. The crew of the plane was probably the natural place to look to for leadership. But the pilot was dead and the copilot seriously injured.

The other person who had previously exercised leadership was Marcelo Perez. He was the captain of the rugby team. Presumably, since rugby is described as requiring directed group effort and since this rugby team was a highly successful one, the members of the team had gained a net reward through obeying Marcelo in the past. Homans would predict that they would tend to obey him after the crash. And they did.

Marcelo Perez formulated plans for immediate survival and gave orders to put them into effect. He was optimistic about their emminent rescue and his main concern was to take care of the immediate needs of the crash survivors. He ordered the interior of the plane to be cleared and prepared for habitation. He gathered the available food supplies and determined the food rationing system. His orders were carried out and the group felt more comfortable and more secure as a result of his leadership. His contribution to the group was valuable and for the time being he remained the undisputed leader.

teenth day he died in an avalanche.

It was not then, however, that other leaders began to emerge. It was evident long before Perez's falling from authority that certain individuals were to be more influential. Among them were Robert Canessa, Nando Parrado, the Strauch cousins and Danile Fernandez (a cousin of the Strauch's). Unlike Perez, these individuals, who made up the ranks of the "pessimists", were seen as able to face the situation as it really was. In particular, Fito Strauch and Canessa had played leading roles in the group's agonizing decision to eat the bodies of their companions who had been killed in the crash. The others looked to these seemingly stronger members for answers.

A major source of leadership was the counsins Eduardo and Fito Strauch and Daniel Fernandez. None of them were members of the rugby team. Their connection with the team seemed to be only in Eduardo's friendship with Marcelo Perez, and Fito's with Roy Harley, another team member. It seemed that their leadership was in no part due to a past history of successful leadership with this group. Therefore their influence must have derived from their behavior after the crash.

Fito Strauch very early showed useful to the group. He was the one who devised a method of melting snow into water and of using the seat cushions as snow shoes. Later he found a way to fashion sunglasses for protection against the snow's glare. So the group came to view Fito

as having good ideas and sound judgement. Fito's relationship with his cousins reinforced his leader's role and he reinforced theirs. These three cousins were the only subgroup of friends and relatives that remained intact among the survivors. Their closeness gave them an advantage over the others. They were better able to withstand the psychological stresses of the situation

### **Team Leaders**

Their individual qualities were also mutually compensatory Fito was inventive and his faith in their ultimate rescue was sustaining for the group. But he was also tempermental. Daniel Fernandez balanced this with consistent calm, reason, and fairness. But he lacked physical strength and assertiveness. Eduardo Strauch filled this lack. He is described as bossy. But he was also kind to the younger, weaker boys. These three weaker boys.

out of his eyes." On the seven- Their ability to provide food to their companions was synonimous with life itself.

As the cousins exercised leadership the survivors found they gained a net reward from following them. They became more and more firmly entrenched as leaders as the group became more and more likely to obey them.

When hope of rescue was completely gone, plans began to crystallize for an expedition to civilization, and the expeditionaries became the elites of the group. They were chosen on the basis of physical fitness and their greatest chances to survive the rigorous trials of an expedition through the Andes. They were pampered in every respect. They did not have to work, they were provided with all the food they could eat, and their every whim was fulfilled. Everything was done to build up their health and strength for the expedition which would take place later in the spring when the snow would have melted somewhat.

## Expeditionaries

The relationship between the expeditionaries and the rest of the survivors seemed to have been a straight forward example of Homan's exchange theory, which describes social behavior in quasi-economic terms. The reward for the group was that the only way they could be rescued was if the expeditionaries con-tacted the outside world and sent them aid. The rewards for the expeditionaries were that they received extra food they were not required to do any of the work, and everyone strove to keep their morale high. The costs to the group were the depletion of the food supply, the extra work they had to do and the subordination of their emotional needs to those of the expeditionaries. The cost to the expeditionaries was the discomfort, exertion and danger of the expedition itself.

Yet in spite of the difficulties, the expedition was a success. After a ten-day journey through the mountains, Nando Parrado and Robert Caneesa met some Chilean peasants who contacted government authorities. The were remaining survivors rescued by helicopter.

Upon the return to civilization all members of the surviving group became heroes. A new type of leadership became essential. The ability to cut human flesh was not important any more. However to convey the group's experiences in the mountains required eloquence. It was thus that Pancho Delgado the scapegoat of the group rose to the

rank of greatest prominence.

## **Finals Schedule**

Classes for the Spring Term 1974 will officially end Wednesday, May 22. Final examinations will be held on the succeeding two days ac-

22. Final examinations will be held on the succeeding two days ac-cording to the schedule below. Students are reminded that the final examination is in integral part of the course, and failure to attend could result in the student's failing the entire course, regardless of the quality of the course work sub-mitted before that date. In accordance with section 0-4 of the Senate Regulations, "A student who is absent from a final examination for a cogent reason may have his grade deferred upon the authorization of the Director of the Commonwealth Campus at which the student is enrolled." The Senate Regulations also provide in Section 0-8 that "Any student with two or more final examinations at the same time should file a conflict of examination at the time and place specified in advance by the instructor for the course." Any student with three or more exams on the same day may file a similar request, and conflict examinations will be arranged if feasible. **THURSDAY, MAY 23** 

THURSDAY, MAY 23			
Course	Section	Room 8:30-9:50 a.m.	Instructor
Anthy 45 Chem 13 Chem 33 Ed Psy 14 E Mch 810 Engl 195 Psy 101	·	N8 B101 B120 B124 N111 T204 B121	Kolb Balmer Chisholm Tauber Zakrzewski Chiteman Mizusawa
10:00-11:50 a.m.			
C Lit 103 Engl 1 Engl 3 Ent 2 Fr 307 Mktg 122 ME 807 Psy 417	3 6,7 1,2	B113 T206 B101 B123 Reed Lect. N111 B117	Eilenberger Hilinski Horne Jubb Hovanyecz Hemberger Zakrzewski Hall
Biol 13 Fr 31 G Sc 2-21 G Sc 303 Ger 3 Psy 431	1,2,3	1:00-2:50 p.m. Reed Lect. N113 B101 B101 B114 B121 3:00-4:50 p.m.	Cunningham Hovanyecz Tucker Tucker Eilenberger Mizusawa
Anthy 1 EE 52 E Mch 13 Math 71 PI Sc 1 RI St 4	1,2 . 1,2	N8 B117 N116 B101 Reed Lect. B113	Kolb Pierce Wilson Chung Yena Frankforter
FRIDAY, MAY 24			
Acctg. 201 Biol 22 E Mch 811 Engl 133 Engl 133	1,2 1 2	8:00-9:50 a.m. B123 N8 N112 N111 N114	Monahan Eckroat Grode Scott Small
Soc 19 Phys 201 Phys 203, 204 Phys 237		T204 0:00-11:50 a.m. N8 B113 B118	Small Barnett Foote Scott Baker
PI Sc 14		B123 1:00-2:50 p.m.	Yena
Acctg 806 Biol 12 Biol 496 I E 811 ME 22 Mates 200 202	1,2	B123 N8 N113 N112 B118 B101	Monahan Masteller Cunningham Grode Zakrzewski
Meteo 300-303 Ph Sc 7	1,2	Reed Lect.	Baker Hagenbuch

## Chiricuzio Talks

#### (Continued from Page 1)

all the years that I've known and it would be such an instrumental tool to use on the SGA, so many things you learn by perience...the SGA is my love. ex-

Q. That's a good attitude for an SGA president to have. Anything that deals with people. I believe it's important to have a love for it. I know I love what I'm doing now--interviewing people and finding out how they feel about things. But in light of everything you've said, what advice could or would you give the new officers?

A. I'd say work hand-in-hand with Dean Lane and your new advisor whoever that's going to be. If things don't go well, I hope the students demand a can-

A. I can't answer that ... I really can't ... I haven't seen much change, on the whole the Behrend student is politically unaware. Maybe it's not an important thing for them so they don't bother...] don't know...it's a hard question...my opinion is from what I've seen they're not average people.

Q. What advice would you offer the Behrend student?

A. Get involved. Communicate. think the thing I would advise Behrend students to do is to come back and stay here. Be here for four years if they can. The tone and tradition of the students is set by those students who have been here and that's how you develop a college. It's been a definite experience that I would never

